

## DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 060 926

24

LI 003 632

AUTHOR Fry, Bernard M.  
TITLE Evaluation Study of ERIC Products and Services.  
Volume IV of IV. Final Report.  
INSTITUTION Indiana Univ., Bloomington. Graduate Library  
School.  
SPONS AGENCY National Center for Educational Communication  
(DHEW/OE), Washington, D.C.  
BUREAU NO BR-0-0375  
PUB DATE Mar 72  
GRANT OEG-0-70-3271  
NOTE 339p.; (0 References)  
  
EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.65 HC-\$13.16  
DESCRIPTORS \*Educational Resources; \*Evaluation; Information  
Centers; Information Networks; Information  
Processing; \*Information Services; \*Information  
Systems; Surveys; \*Use Studies  
IDENTIFIERS \*Educational Resources Information Center; ERIC

## ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to examine the use made of the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) products and services by members of the educational community, and in this context to evaluate the extent to which the ERIC system is achieving its objectives of guaranteeing ready access to the nation's current significant literature in the field of education. The report is prepared in four volumes and a summary volume. This appendix volume contains supplementary and parallel tables keyed to Chapters 4-7 of volumes 1 and 2 of this study. (The other volumes of this study are available as LI 003628 through 003631.) (Author/NH)

PA-24

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,  
EDUCATION & WELFARE  
OFFICE OF EDUCATION  
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-  
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM  
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIG-  
INATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPIN-  
IONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY  
REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDU-  
CATION POSITION OR POLICY.

## FINAL REPORT

Project No. BR 00375  
Grant No. OEC-0-70-3271

# Evaluation Study of ERIC Products and Services

Complete in Four Volumes  
Including One Appendix Volume

## VOLUME IV OF IV

Bernard M. Fry  
Principal Investigator

Graduate Library School  
Indiana University  
Bloomington, Indiana

March 1972

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE  
Office of Education  
National Center for Educational Communication

ED 060926

003 632

# EVALUATION STUDY OF ERIC PRODUCTS AND SERVICES

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### VOLUME IV

Supplementary and Parallel Tables--Keyed to Chapters 4-7  
of Volumes I and II

#### Chapters

4. Goal #1: Making Documents Available
  - A. Documents Acquired and Processed (no tables)
  - B. Documents Distribution: Microfiche and Hard Copy
  - C. *Thesaurus of ERIC Descriptors*
  - D. *RIE and RIE Annual Indexes*
  - E. *CIJE*
  - F. Indexes to Special Collections
  - G. Some Comparisons of Index Journals in Education
5. Goal #2: Providing Summary and Interpretive Information;  
Information Analysis Products, 1967-70
6. Goal #3: Strengthening Dissemination Channels
  - A. Overall Dissemination
  - B. Use of Professional Journals
  - C. Newsletters
  - D. Brochures and Audio Visual Materials (no tables)
  - E. Efforts through Professional Organizations (no tables)
7. Goal #4: Providing a Base for a National Information Network;  
Development of the Total ERIC System



VOLUME IV - Appendix  
MICROFICHE AND HARD COPY



TABLE A4B.1

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF ERIC MICROFICHE  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

<u>Primary Association</u>	Very Useful				Item Never Used		
	1	2	3	4	5	(N)	
Preschool	83.3%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	100%	(6)
Elementary	61.4	8.8	8.8	5.3	15.8	100%	(57)
Secondary	73.3	6.7	13.3	0.0	6.7	100%	(30)
College or University	61.6	17.7	5.9	3.0	11.8	100%	(203)
State Dept. of Education	61.5	23.1	0.0	7.7	7.7	100%	(13)
Regional Educational Lab	75.0	14.3	7.1	3.6	0.0	100%	(28)
R & D Center	41.7	25.0	8.3	16.7	8.3	100%	(12)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Other Federal Agency	62.5	12.5	12.5	0.0	12.5	100%	(8)
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	(2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Other	60.0	4.4	11.1	4.4	20.0	100%	(45)
Overall	63.1	14.3	7.5	3.7	11.4	100%	(412)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5, calculations have been omitted

TABLE 4B.2

EVALUATION OF USEFULNESS OF ERIC MICROFILMS  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> <u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>Of No Use</u> <u>5</u>	<u>Item Never Used</u> <u>6</u>	<u>(N)</u>
High School Diploma	62.5%	6.3%	6.3%	0.0%	6.3%	18.7%	100% ( 16)
Bachelor's	54.2	13.7	5.3	3.1	.8	22.9	100% (131)
Master's	66.5	15.3	9.3	3.3	0.0	5.6	100% (215)
Specialist's	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% ( 7)
Doctorate	55.3	17.0	12.8	4.3	0.0	10.6	100% ( 47)
Other	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% ( 7)
Overall	62.4	14.2	8.0	3.1	.5	11.8	100% (423)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A4B.3

NUMBER OF TITLES OF ERIC MICROFICHE  
USED DURING 1970 BY  
RESPONDENTS' PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

	<u>None</u>	<u>1-10</u>	<u>11-25</u>	<u>More Than</u> <u>25</u>	<u>N</u>
Preschool	14.3%	42.9%	14.3%	28.6%	100% (7)
Elementary	13.1	50.8	19.7	16.4	100% (61)
Secondary	21.9	37.5	21.9	18.7	100% (32)
College or University	18.9	33.5	23.1	24.5	100% (212)
State Department of Education	7.7	7.7	30.8	53.3	100% (13)
Regional Education- al Laboratory	7.1	17.9	35.7	39.3	100% (28)
Research and Development Center	0.0	30.0	20.0	50.0	100% (10)
Professional Organization	---	---	---	---	--- (2)*
Office of Educa- tion Regional Office	---	---	---	---	--- (4)*
Other Federal Agency	11.1	66.7	11.1	11.1	100% (9)
Local or Regional Information Center	---	---	---	---	--- (2)*
Business or Industry	---	---	---	---	--- (1)*
Other	27.7	21.3	27.7	23.4	100% (47)
Overall	17.3	33.4	23.6	25.7	100% (428)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.



TABLE A4B.4

NUMBER OF TITLES OF ERIC MICROFICHE USED  
DURING 1970 BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>1-10</u>	<u>11-25</u>	<u>More Than 25</u>	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	29.4%	52.9%	17.6%	0.0%	100% (17)
Bachelor's	33.1	33.8	19.0	14.1	100% (142)
Master's	9.1	34.0	25.8	31.1	100% (209)
Specialist's	20.0	20.0	20.0	40.0	100% (10)
Doctorate	8.7	26.1	32.6	32.6	100% (46)
Other	0.0	28.6	14.3	57.1	100% (7)
Overall	17.9	33.4	23.7	25.1	100% (431)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

NUMBER OF TITLES OF ERIC MICROFICHE USED DURING 1970  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

Age	None			More Than 25		(N)
	None	1-10	11-25	More Than 25		
25 or below	26.4%	39.6%	17.0%	17.0%	100%	(106)
26-35	14.1	27.6	27.0	31.3	100%	(163)
36-45	14.6	41.7	23.3	20.4	100%	(103)
46-55	17.9	30.4	28.6	23.3	100%	(56)
56-65	9.1	9.1	9.1	72.7	100%	(11)
Over 65	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
Overall	17.4	33.9	23.4	25.3	100%	(442)
<u>Sex</u>						
Male	14.5	29.5	28.0	28.0	100%	(193)
Female	20.9	36.8	19.7	22.6	100%	(234)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

MAIN PURPOSES FOR USING MICROFICHE BY  
RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION\*\*

Primary Association	Keeping Abreast in a Field	Assignments and Term Papers	Updating of Course Bibliographies	Curriculum Development	Program Improvement	Speech, Report, Article Preparation	Browsing	Research Project	Other
Preschool	100.0 (5)	---- (4)	---- (2)	---- (1)	---- (3)	---- (1)	---- (2)	---- (2)	---- (0)*
Elementary	95.2 (21)	84.8 (46)	91.7 (12)	85.7 (14)	85.7 (14)	81.5 (27)	86.7 (15)	91.9 (37)	---- (3)*
Secondary	93.7 (16)	91.7 (12)	87.5 (8)	93.7 (16)	90.9 (11)	100.0 (8)	100.0 (7)	90.0 (20)	---- (0)*
College or University	80.3 (66)	88.0 (40)	85.0 (40)	83.3 (24)	76.2 (21)	88.3 (77)	78.7 (47)	94.5 (120)	92.3 (13)
State Dept. of Education	100.0 (8)	100.0 (5)	---- (3)	100.0 (6)	100.0 (8)	100.0 (8)	---- (2)	100.0 (8)	---- (3)*
Regional Educational Lab	75.0 (8)	100.0 (5)	---- (4)	87.8 (11)	85.7 (7)	77.8 (9)	---- (4)	90.9 (22)	---- (3)*
R & D Center	---- (3)	---- (2)	---- (0)	---- (3)	---- (4)	---- (3)	---- (1)	100.0 (8)	---- (1)*
Professional Organiz.	---- (0)	---- (0)	---- (0)	---- (0)	---- (0)	---- (1)	---- (0)	---- (1)	---- (0)*
OE Regional Office	---- (2)	---- (0)	---- (0)	---- (2)	---- (2)	---- (2)	---- (1)	---- (3)	---- (2)*
Other Federal Agency	60.0 (5)	---- (1)	---- (2)	---- (3)	---- (2)	---- (2)	---- (2)	80.0 (5)	---- (0)*
Local or Reg. Info. Cent.	---- (2)	---- (1)	---- (1)	---- (1)	---- (1)	---- (1)	---- (1)	---- (2)	---- (0)*
Business or Industry	---- (0)	---- (0)	---- (0)	---- (0)	---- (0)	---- (0)	---- (0)	---- (0)	---- (0)*
Other	86.3 (15)	100.0 (10)	---- (3)	100.0 (13)	93.3 (15)	100.0 (15)	100.0 (8)	83.3 (18)	---- (3)*
Overall	86.1 (151)	89.2 (203)	88.0 (75)	89.4 (94)	94.5 (89)	89.5 (153)	84.4 (90)	92.3 (249)	96.3 (27)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5, calculations have been omitted

\*\*Differences in numbers reflect multiple choices by some respondents and no choice at all by others.



MAIN PURPOSE FOR WHICH ERIC MICROFICHE DOCUMENTS  
ARE USED BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL\*\*

Degree Level	Keeping Abreast in a Field	Assign- ments and Term Papers	Updating of Course Bibliog- raphies	Curric- ulum Develop- ment	Program Improve- ment	Speech, Report, Article Prepar- ation	Browsing	Research Project	Other
High School Diploma	66.7 (3)	77.7 (9)	33.3 (3)	100.0 (1)	50.2 (2)	50.0 (6)	50.0 (2)	83.4 (6)	50.0 (2)*
Bachelor's	84.4 (32)	90.6 (64)	91.7 (12)	90.5 (21)	88.2 (17)	21.2 (33)	79.0 (19)	96.4 (55)	100.0 (6)
Master's	86.6 (82)	86.9(114)	95.3 (42)	91.2 (57)	86.3 (51)	43.5 (85)	89.3 (47)	90.7(140)	93.7 (16)
Specialist's	100.0 (6)	100.0 (5)	-- (2)	--	(2) 75.0 (4)	0.0 (3)	75.0 (4)	100.0 (6)	0.0 (0)*
Doctorate	84.6 (26)	100.0 (11)	71.5 (14)	71.4 (14)	82.3 (17)	44.0 (25)	80.0 (15)	91.9 (37)	100.0 (3)
Other	-- (2)	-- (4)	-- (1)	-- (2)	-- (1)	-- (1)	-- (2)	100.0 (5)	-- (0)*
Overall	86.1(151)	88.9(207)	87.9(74)	88.7 (97)	84.8 (92)	37.9(153)	84.2 (89)	92.5(249)	96.3 (27)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire.

\*Where N < 5, Calculations have been omitted.

\*\*Differences in numbers reflect multiple choices by some respondents and no choice at all by others.

## MAIN PURPOSE FOR USING ERIC MICROFICHE

BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX\*\*

Age	Keeping Abreast in a Field	Assign-ments and Term Papers	Updating of Course Bibliographies	Curriculum Development	Program Improvement	Speech, Report, Article Preparation	Research Project	Browsing	Other
25 or Below	75.0 (24)	88.3 (60)	76.5 (17)	77.8 (9)	42.9 (7)	85.7 (35)	92.0 (50)	85.9 (21)	100.0 (6)
26-35	82.7 (52)	89.5 (86)	96.7 (30)	97.0 (34)	89.7 (39)	92.2 (64)	92.2(115)	89.1 (37)	100.0 (5)
36-45	93.3 (45)	87.8 (41)	92.8 (14)	89.6 (29)	91.7 (24)	83.9 (31)	94.3 (53)	75.0 (24)	100.0 (11)
46-55	88.0 (25)	90.0 (20)	77.8 (9)	85.7 (21)	85.7 (21)	90.5 (21)	92.9 (28)	85.7 (7)	-- (4)*
56-65	100.0 (10)	-- (3)	100.0 (5)	-- (4)	-- (3)	100.0 (5)	100.0 (6)	-- (4)	-- (2)*
Over 65	-- (0)	-- (1)	0.0 (0)	-- (0)	-- (1)	-- (1)	-- (2)	-- (0)	-- (0)*
Overall	76.6 (156)	89.1(211)	89.3 (75)	90.7 (97)	85.3 (95)	89.2(157)	92.5(254)	84.9 (93)	96.4(28)
<b>Sex</b>									
Male	83.8 (74)	84.3 (88)	85.7 (35)	89.1 (46)	86.0 (43)	87.9 (66)	92.0(126)	84.8 (46)	93.3(15)
Female	88.0 (75)	85.3(116)	92.1 (38)	90.0 (50)	84.8 (46)	89.5 (86)	92.5(120)	87.5 (40)	100.0(12)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire.

\*Where N &lt; 5, Calculations have been omitted.

\*\*Differences in numbers reflect multiple choices by some respondents and no choice at all by others.

TABLE A4B.9

EASE OF ACCESS TO MICROFICHE READER BY RESPONDENTS' OCCUPATION

<u>Occupation</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>(N)</u>	<u>Would use if more accessible</u>	<u>(N)</u>
Administration	97.8	2.2	100% (46)	100.0	100% (1)
Teaching	91.0	9.0	100% (89)	100.0	100% (9)
Pupil Pers. Serv.	75.0	25.0	100% (4)	100.0	100% (1)
R & D	92.1	7.9	100% (38)	100.0	100% (4)
Library	92.0	8.0	100% (50)	100.0	100% (2)
Consulting	80.0	20.0	100% (15)	75.0	100% (4)
Undergraduate	90.9	9.1	100% (11)	50.0	100% (2)
Graduate	96.1	3.9	100% (102)	75.0	100% (4)
Other	94.7	5.3	100% (19)	66.7	100% (3)
Overall	93.0	7.0	100% (374)*	86.7	100% (30)
<u>Conducted Research</u>					
Yes	93.8	6.2	100% (178)	100.0	100% (12)
No	94.8	5.2	100% (172)	75.0	100% (16)
<u>Published</u>					
Yes	93.4	6.6	100% (106)	90.0	100% (10)
No	93.8	6.2	100% (259)	84.2	100% (19)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Non-response of 120 respondents not calculated.



TABLE A4B.9a

ACCESS TO MICROFICHE READER  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	91.7%	8.3%	100% (12)
Bachelor's	93.1	6.9	100% (101)
Master's	94.0	6.0	100% (199)
Specialist's	100.0	0.0	100% (8)
Doctorate	97.7	2.3	100% (43)
Other	85.7	14.3	100% (7)
Overall	94.1	5.9	100% (370)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A4B.10

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF HARD COPY DOCUMENTS  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

Primary Association	Very Useful 1	2	3	4	Item Never Used 5	(N)
Preschool	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	60.0%	100% (5)
Elementary	28.3	13.0	6.5	0.0	52.2	100% (46)
Secondary	15.8	0.0	15.8	10.5	57.9	100% (19)
College or University	20.0	13.2	11.1	5.8	50.0	100% (190)
State Dept. of Education	25.0	0.0	12.5	12.5	50.0	100% (8)
Regional Educa- tional Lab	20.8	12.5	16.7	20.8	29.2	100% (24)
R & D Center	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	50.0	100% (10)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	---- (3)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	---- (4)*
Other Federal Agency	----	----	----	----	----	---- (4)*
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	---- (2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	---- (1)*
Other	19.3	11.3	11.9	7.4	50.2	100% (37)
Overall	19.3	11.3	11.9	7.4	50.2	100% (353)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5, calculations have been omitted

EVALUATION OF USEFULNESS OF HARD COPY DOCUMENTS  
IN WORK OR STUDY BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> 1	2	3	4	<u>Of No Use</u> 5	<u>Item Never Used</u> 6	<u>Item Not Available</u> 7	<u>(N)</u>
High School Diploma	15.4%	7.7%	7.7%	0.0%	7.7%	61.5%	0.0%	100% (13)
Bachelor's	10.3	10.3	12.1	.9	1.7	62.9	1.7	100%(116)
Master's	27.1	12.2	9.4	8.8	1.1	32.6	8.8	100%(181)
Specialist's	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	50.0	33.3	100% (6)
Doctorate	12.5	17.5	15.0	7.5	7.5	32.5	7.5	100% (40)
Other	42.9	14.3	0.0	14.3	0.0	28.6	0.0	100% (7)
Overall	19.6	11.8	10.7	5.8	2.2	43.5	6.3	100%(363)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A4B.12

NUMBER OF ERIC HARD COPY DOCUMENTS USED  
DURING 1970 BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>1-10</u>	<u>11-25</u>	<u>More Than 25</u>	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	58.8%	35.3%	0.0%	5.9%	100% (17)
Bachelor's	69.3	24.1	5.1	1.5	100% (137)
Master's	43.7	42.7	8.5	5.2	100% (213)
Specialist's	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	100% (9)
Doctorate	41.7	41.7	8.3	8.3	100% (48)
Other	57.1	42.9	0.0	0.0	100% (7)
Overall	52.9	36.2	6.7	4.2	100% (431)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

NUMBER OF ERIC HARD COPY DOCUMENTS USED DURING 1970  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

<u>Age</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>1-10</u>	<u>11-25</u>	<u>More Than 25</u>	<u>(N)</u>
25 or Below	59.2%	34.0%	1.9%	4.9%	100%(103)
26-35	53.1	35.8	6.2	4.9	100%(162)
36-45	49.5	37.1	11.4	1.9	100%(105)
46-55	54.4	36.8	7.0	1.8	100% (57)
56-65	33.3	25.0	25.0	16.7	100% (12)
Over 65	--	--	--	--	100% (3)*
Overall	53.4	35.5	7.0	4.1	100%(442)
<u>Sex</u>					
Male	52.3	37.6	7.1	3.0	100%(197)
Female	53.5	34.8	6.5	5.2	100%(230)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

## MAIN PURPOSES FOR USING HARD COPY BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION\*\*

	Keeping Abreast in a Field	Assign- ments and Term Papers	Updating of Course Bibliog- raphies	Curric- ulum Develop- ment	Program Improve- ment	Speech, Report, Article Prepar- ation	Browsing	Research Project	Other
Preschool	0.0 (5)	25.0 (4)	0.0 (2)	100.0 (1)	0.0 (3)	0.0 (1)	0.0 (2)	0.0 (4)	0.0 (0)
Elementary	9.6 (21)	34.8 (46)	16.6 (12)	21.4(14)	21.5 (14)	33.3 (27)	20.0 (15)	27.0 (37)	0.0 (3)
Secondary	25.0 (16)	16.3 (12)	37.5 (8)	25.0(16)	35.5 (11)	37.5 (8)	14.3 (7)	30.0 (20)	0.0 (0)
College or University	50.0 (66)	35.1(117)	37.5 (40)	37.5(24)	57.1 (21)	44.5 (77)	42.6 (47)	40.0(120)	30.8 (13)
State Department of Education	12.5 (8)	40.0 (5)	66.7 (3)	16.7 (6)	12.5 (8)	12.5 (8)	50.0 (2)	25.0 (8)	0.0 (2)
Regional Educa- tional Labora- tory	25.0 (8)	80.0 (5)	50.0 (4)	45.5(11)	57.2 (7)	77.8 (9)	0.0 (4)	59.1 (22)	33.3 (3)
Research and Development Center	-- (3)	-- (2)	-- (0)	-- (3)	-- (4)	-- (3)	-- (1)	-- (8)	-- (1)*
Professional Organization	-- (0)	-- (0)	-- (0)	-- (0)	-- (1)	-- (0)	-- (0)	-- (1)	-- (0)*
Office of Educa- tion Regional Office	-- (2)	-- (0)	-- (0)	-- (2)	-- (2)	-- (2)	-- (1)	-- (3)	-- (2)*
Other Federal Agency	-- (5)	-- (1)	-- (2)	-- (3)	-- (2)	-- (2)	-- (2)	-- (5)	-- (0)*
Local or Regional Information Center	-- (2)	-- (1)	-- (1)	-- (1)	-- (1)	-- (1)	-- (1)	-- (2)	-- (0)*
Business or Industry	-- (0)	-- (0)	-- (0)	-- (0)	-- (0)	-- (0)	-- (0)	-- (1)	-- (0)*
Other	53.3 (15)	20.0 (10)	33.3 (3)	23.1(13)	26.7 (15)	13.3 (15)	12.5 (8)	38.9 (18)	66.7 (3)
Overall	35.1(151)	33.5(203)	36.0 (75)	31.9(94)	38.2 (89)	38.6(153)	31.2 (90)	37.7(249)	29.6 (2)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire.

\*Where N &lt; 5, Calculations have been omitted.

\*\*Differences in numbers reflect multiple choices by some respondents and no choice at all by others.



MAIN PURPOSE FOR WHICH ERIC HARD COPY DOCUMENTS  
ARE USED BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL\*\*

Degree Level	Keeping Abreast in a Field	Assign- ments and Term Papers	Updating of Course Bibliog- raphies	Curric- ulum Develop- ment	Program Improve- ment	Speech, Report, Article Prepar- ation	Browsing	Research Project	Other
High School Diploma	33.3 (3)	66.6 (9)	66.7 (3)	0.0 (1)	50.0 (2)	83.3 (6)	50.0 (2)	33.4 (6)	50.0 (2)*
Bachelor's	31.2 (32)	29.7 (64)	8.3 (12)	23.8 (21)	29.4 (17)	97.0 (33)	36.9 (19)	20.0 (55)	33.3 (6)
Master's	37.8 (82)	34.3(114)	33.4 (42)	35.2 (57)	41.2 (51)	85.9 (85)	27.6 (47)	42.9(140)	31.5 (16)
Specialist's	16.7 (6)	40.0 (5)	0.0 (2)	0.0 (2)	25.0 (4)	100.0 (3)	25.0 (4)	16.7 (6)	0.0 (0)*
Doctorate	34.6 (26)	27.3 (11)	57.2 (14)	35.7 (14)	41.1 (17)	88.0 (25)	40.0 (15)	43.2 (37)	33.3 (3)
Other	-- (2)	-- (4)	-- (1)	-- (2)	-- (1)	-- (1)	-- (2)	-- (5)	-- (0)*
Overall	34.4(151)	33.8(207)	35.2 (74)	30.9(97)	38.0 (92)	88.9(153)	31.4 (89)	36.5(249)	33.3 (27)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire.

\*Where N < 5, Calculations have been omitted.

\*\*Differences in numbers reflect multiple choices by some respondents  
and no choice at all by others.

TABLE A4B.1c

**MAIN PURPOSE FOR USING HARD COPY DOCUMENTS  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX \*\***

Age	Keeping Abreast in a Field	Assign- ments and Term Papers	Updating of Course Bibliog- raphies	Curric- ulum Develop- ment	Program Improve- ment	Speech, Report, Article Prepar- ation	Research Project	Browsing	Other (N)
25 or Below	29.2%	36.7%	29.4%	22.2%	57.1%	42.9%	40.0%	28.6%	50.0% (6)
26-35	40.4	37.2	33.3	20.5	35.9	37.5	36.5	27.0	20.0 (5)
36-45	31.1	24.4	28.5	27.5	33.3	41.9	32.1	37.5	27.3 (11)
46-55	32.0	30.0	44.4	52.4	38.1	28.5	39.2	28.6	50.0 (4)
56-65	40.0	0.0	60.0	25.0	33.3	40.0	50.0	25.0	0.0 (2)
Over 65	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(0)*
Overall	33.7	33.2	34.7	29.9	37.9	38.2	37.0	30.2	32.2 (28)
Sex									
Male	36.5	27.3	45.7	26.1	34.9	42.4	38.9	26.1	26.7 (15)
Female	32.0	38.8	23.7	34.0	41.3	34.9	35.0	35.0	41.7 (12)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire.

\* Where N < 5, Calculations have been omitted.

\*\* Each respondent could mark one or more "main purpose." Percentages are of total number representing each primary association.

TABLE A4B.17

MAIN PURPOSES FOR USING ERIC  
MICROFICHE/HARD COPY DOCUMENTS\*

<u>Purpose</u>	<u>Microfiche</u> Percent (N)		<u>Hard Copy</u> Percent (N)	
To keep abreast in a field	13.4	(102)	16.5	(22)
Assignments and term papers	18.8	(143)	18.0	(24)
Preparation or updating of course bibliographies	6.4	(49)	6.8	(9)
Curriculum development	8.9	(68)	8.3	(11)
Program improvement	7.7	(59)	10.5	(14)
Preparation of speech, report, article	12.8	(98)	12.8	(17)
Browsing	8.5	(65)	11.3	(15)
Research project	21.1	(161)	15.0	(20)
Other	2.4	(19)	.8	(1)
Total	100.0	(764)	100.0	(133)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Each respondent could check more than one main purpose.

TABLE A4B.18

INDIVIDUALS REPORTING FREQUENCY  
OF USE OF ERIC DOCUMENTS DURING 1970

<u>Frequency of Use</u>	<u>Microfiche</u>		<u>Hard Copy</u>	
	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>
None	16.0	(79)	48.0	(237)
1-10	30.7	(151)	32.6	(161)
11-25	21.1	(104)	6.3	(31)
More than 25	22.9	(113)	3.6	(18)
No Response	<u>9.3</u>	<u>(46)</u>	<u>9.5</u>	<u>(47)</u>
Totals	100.0	(493)	100.0	(494)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire



VOLUME IV - Appendix  
THESAURUS OF ERIC DESCRIPTORS



TABLE A4C.1

NUMBER OF TIMES THESAURUS OF ERIC DESCRIPTORS  
HAS BEEN USED DURING 1970  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

	<u>None</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>11-25</u>	<u>More Than</u> <u>25</u>	<u>N</u>	
Preschool	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	0.0%	100%	(6)
Elementary	29.8	38.3	17.0	10.6	4.3	100%	(47)
Secondary	30.8	23.1	23.1	7.7	15.4	100%	(26)
College or University	28.3	32.8	11.1	19.4	8.3	100%	(180)
State Department of Education	18.2	36.4	18.2	27.3	0.0	100%	(11)
Regional Educational Laboratory	41.7	25.0	16.7	16.7	0.0	100%	(24)
Research and Develop- ment Center	25.0	33.3	25.0	8.3	8.3	100%	(12)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Office of Education Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Other Federal Agency	40.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	100%	(5)
Local or Regional Information Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	(2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Other	34.1	34.1	9.8	17.1	4.9	100%	(41)
Overall	29.7	31.9	14.7	16.9	6.7	100%	(360)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.



TABLE A4C.2

NUMBER OF TIMES DURING 1970 THESAURUS OF ERIC DESCRIPTORS  
WAS USED BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>11-25</u>	<u>More Than 25</u>	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	58.3%	25.0%	0.0%	8.3%	8.3%	100% (12)
Bachelor's	30.7	41.2	7.9	10.5	9.6	100% (114)
Master's	26.8	29.5	16.8	20.0	6.8	100% (190)
Specialist's	80.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	100% (5)
Doctorate	31.7	26.8	22.0	19.5	0.0	100% (41)
Other	42.9	14.3	42.9	0.0	0.0	100% (7)
Overall	30.6	32.0	14.4	16.3	6.8	100% (369)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

## NUMBER OF TIMES THESAURUS OF ERIC DESCRIPTORS

WAS USED DURING 1970  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

<u>Age</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>11-25</u>	<u>More Than</u>	
					<u>25</u>	<u>(N)</u>
25 or Below	31.6%	40.5%	8.9%	8.9%	10.1%	100% (79)
26-35	29.3	27.1	13.6	20.7	9.3	100% (140)
36-45	33.7	34.8	15.2	14.1	2.2	100% (92)
46-55	26.9	34.6	15.4	19.2	3.8	100% (52)
56-65	25.0	12.5	37.5	25.0	0.0	100% (8)
Over 65	—	—	—	—	—	(2)*
Overall	30.3	32.7	13.7	16.6	6.7	100% (373)
<u>Sex</u>						
Male	35.1	25.7	17.0	16.4	5.8	100% (171)
Female	26.7	37.9	11.8	16.4	7.2	100% (195)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE 10.10

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF THESAURUS OF ERIC DESCRIPTORS  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

Primary Association	Very Useful				Item Never Used		
	1	2	3	4	5	(N)	
Preschool	0.0%	40.0%	0.0%	20.0%	40.0%	100%	(5)
Elementary	40.8	14.3	10.2	6.1	28.6	100%	(49)
Secondary	30.0	15.0	10.0	0.0	45.0	100%	(20)
College or University	30.4	15.5	13.4	7.2	33.5	100%	(194)
State Dept. of Education	50.0	0.0	33.3	8.3	8.3	100%	(12)
Regional Educational Lab	15.4	15.4	30.8	11.5	26.9	100%	(26)
R & D Center	40.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	30.0	100%	(10)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Other Federal Agency	33.3	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	100%	(6)
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	(2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Other	32.4	16.2	18.9	5.4	27.0	100%	(37)
Overall	31.4	14.9	15.2	7.6	28.2	100%	(369)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5, calculations have been omitted

EVALUATION OF USEFULNESS OF THESAURUS OF ERIC DESCRIPTORS  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> 1	2	3	4	<u>Of No Use</u> 5	<u>Item Never Used</u> 6	<u>Item Not Available</u> 7	<u>(N)</u>
High School Diploma	23.1%	7.7%	7.7%	0.0%	7.7%	53.8%	0.0%	100% (13)
Bachelor's	26.2	15.6	11.5	7.4	2.5	35.2	1.6	100%(122)
Master's	34.0	15.4	16.5	5.9	1.6	23.9	2.7	100%(188)
Specialist's	33.3	0.0	33.3	16.7	0.0	0.0	16.7	100% (6)
Doctorate	30.2	20.9	18.6	4.7	2.3	20.7	2.3	100% (43)
Other	42.9	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	28.1	14.3	100% (7)
Overall	30.9	15.3	15.0	6.1	2.1	28.0	2.6	100%(379)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE 1

EVALUATION OF SUBJECT HEADINGS(DESCRIPTORS) IN RIE BY OCCUPATION

	Very Useful 1	2	3	4	Of No Use 5	(N)
<u>Occupation</u>						
Administration	29.5%	32.4%	32.4%	5.9%	0.0%	100% (27)
Teaching	65.5	12.7	14.5	7.3	0.0	100% (55)
Pupil Pers. Serv.	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	(2)*
R & D	29.4	35.3	20.6	11.8	2.9	100% (34)
Library	51.0	12.2	16.3	18.4	2.0	100% (49)
Consulting	42.9	57.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% (7)
Undergraduate	28.6	42.9	14.3	14.3	0.0	100% (7)
Graduate	37.4	36.3	13.2	11.0	2.2	100% (91)
Other	60.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	100% (10)
Overall	44.1	27.1	17.1	10.4	1.4	100% (288)
<u>Conducted Research</u>						
Yes	41.2	33.6	16.8	7.6	0.8	100% (131)
No	46.9	21.0	17.5	12.6	2.1	100% (143)
<u>Published</u>						
Yes	34.6	32.1	22.2	8.6	2.5	100% (81)
No	47.8	25.9	14.6	10.7	1.0	100% (205)**

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N&lt;5, calculations have been omitted.

\*\* Totals differ because response rates differed.

TABLE A40.2

SUBJECT HEADINGS (DESCRIPTORS) IN RIE  
EVALUATED FOR USEFULNESS  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

	Very Useful 1	2	3	4	Item Never Used 5		(N)
<u>Primary Association</u>							
Preschool	40.0%	40.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	100%	(5)
Elementary	60.5	20.9	9.3	0.0	9.3	100%	(43)
Secondary	52.6	10.5	21.1	0.0	15.8	100%	(19)
College or University	37.4	25.2	15.3	12.2	9.8	100%	(163)
State Dept. of Education	30.0	30.0	20.0	10.0	10.0	100%	(10)
Regional Educa- tional Lab.	30.4	26.1	17.4	17.4	8.7	100%	(23)
R & D Center	25.0	50.0	12.5	12.5	0.0	100%	(8)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	----	(2)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Other Federal Agency	----	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	(2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Other	39.4	21.2	12.1	12.1	15.2	100%	(33)
Overall	40.8	24.4	15.2	9.8	9.8	100%	(316)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5, calculations have been omitted



TABLE A4C.8

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF SUBJECT HEADINGS(DESCRIPTORS) IN RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> 1	2	3	4	<u>Of no Use</u> 5	<u>Item Never Used</u> 6	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	15.4%	15.4%	23.1%	7.7%	0.0%	38.5%	100% (13)
Bachelor's	42.4	19.2	13.1	10.1	2.0	13.1	100% (99)
Master's	38.4	30.2	15.7	8.8	.6	6.3	100% (159)
Specialist's	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Doctorate	45.7	17.1	17.1	11.4	2.9	5.7	100% (31)
Other	50.0	16.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% (6)
Overall	39.6	24.7	15.5	9.5	1.3	9.5	100% (316)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4C.9

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF SUBJECT HEADINGS (DESCRIPTORS) IN RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

<u>Age</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> <u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>Of No Use</u> <u>5</u>	<u>Items Never Used</u> <u>6</u>	<u>(N)</u>
25 or below	44.3%	16.5%	12.7%	6.3%	2.5%	17.8%	100% ( 79)
26-35	30.6	33.1	16.5	11.6	.8	7.4	100% (121)
36-45	44.0	20.0	16.0	9.3	1.3	9.3	100% ( 75)
46-55	45.0	27.5	15.0	10.0	0.0	2.5	100% ( 40)
56-65	57.1	28.6	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% ( 7)
Over 65	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----- ( 2)*
Overall	39.5	25.3	15.1	9.3	1.2	9.6	100% (324)
<u>Sex</u>							
Male	30.4	26.7	21.5	9.6	2.2	9.6	100% (135)
Female	46.4	23.5	11.2	9.5	.6	8.8	100% (179)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A40.10

REPRESENTATIVENESS OF CURRENTLY USED LANGUAGE  
IN THE SUBJECT HEADINGS USED IN RIE AND CIJE

<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>No Response</u>
52.0% (257)	4.3% (21)	43.7% (216)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A4C.11

REPRESENTATIVENESS OF CURRENTLY USED LANGUAGE  
IN THE DESCRIPTORS USED IN RIE AND CIJE

	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Number</u>
Yes	84.7	172
No	4.9	10
No response	10.4	21

---

Source: CIJE Questionnaire

TABLE 40.12

ARE SUBJECT HEADINGS (DESCRIPTORS) USED TO INDEX RIE  
REPRESENTATIVE OF THE CURRENTLY USED LANGUAGE?

<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>No Response</u>
87.9%	6.5%	4.9%
(394)	(29)	(22)

N = 448

---

Source: RIE Questionnaire

TABLE A4C.13

REPRESENTATIVENESS OF CURRENTLY USED LANGUAGE  
IN THE SUBJECT HEADINGS USED IN RTE AND CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

<u>Primary Association</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>N</u>
Preschool	100.0%	0.0%	100% (6)
Elementary	92.7	7.3	100% (41)
Secondary	100.0	0.0	100% (17)
College or University	89.4	10.6	100% (132)
State Department of Education	100.0	0.0	100% (8)
Regional Educational Laboratory	94.1	5.9	100% (17)
Research and Develop- ment Center	100.0	0.0	100% (5)
Professional Organization	----	----	---- (1)*
Office of Education Regional Office	----	----	---- (3)*
Other Federal Agency	100.0	0.0	100% (5)
Local or Regional Information Center	----	----	---- (2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	---- (1)*
Other	96.3	3.7	100% (27)
Overall	92.8	7.2	100% (265)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.



TABLE A4C.14

ARE SUBJECT HEADINGS TO INDEX RIE AND CIJE REPRESENTATIVE OF  
CURRENTLY USED LANGUAGE? BY PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

<u>Primary Association</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	(N)
Pre-School	--	--	-- (0) *
Elementary School	--	--	-- (0) *
Secondary School	--	--	-- (2) *
College or University	86.1 (68)	13.9 (11)	100% (79)
State Dept. of Education	86.8 (33)	13.2 (5)	100% (38)
Regional Ed. Laboratory	92.3 (12)	7.7 (1)	100% (13)
Research & Dev. Center	88.9 (8)	11.1 (1)	100% (9)
Professional Organization	60.0 (3)	40.0 (2)	100% (5)
Office of Ed. Reg. Office	100.0 (6)	0.0 (0)	100% (6)
Other Federal Agency	85.7 (6)	14.3 (1)	100% (7)
Local & Reg. Info. Center	83.3 (5)	16.7 (1)	100% (6)
Business or Industry	87.5 (7)	12.5 (1)	100% (8)
Other	92.9 (39)	7.1 (3)	100% (42)
Overall	87.4 (188)	12.6 (27)	100% (215)

Source: Organization Questionnaire

\* Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted

TABLE A4C.15

REPRESENTATIVENESS OF CURRENTLY USED LANGUAGE  
IN THE SUBJECT HEADINGS USED IN RIE AND CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>(N)</u>
High School Diploma	57.1%	42.9%	100% (7)
Bachelor's	91.7	8.3	100% (84)
Master's	94.2	5.8	100% (139)
Specialist's	----	----	---- (1)*
Doctorate	92.9	7.1	100% (28)
Other	----	----	---- (4)*
Overall	92.4	7.6	100% (263)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4C.16

REPRESENTATIVENESS OF CURRENTLY USED LANGUAGE  
IN THE SUBJECT HEADINGS USED IN RIE AND CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>N</u>
<u>Age</u>			
25 or below	93.1%	6.9%	100% (58)
26-35	89.5	10.5	100% (105)
36-45	93.5	6.5	100% (62)
46-55	97.1	2.9	100% (35)
56-65	87.5	12.5	100% (8)
Over 65	----	----	---- (2)*
Overall	89.8	10.2	100% (266)
<u>Sex</u>			
Male	87.6	12.4	100% (105)
Female	91.6	8.4	100% (154)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4C.17

EVALUATION OF SPECIFICITY OF SUBJECT HEADINGS (DESCRIPTORS)  
USED IN RIE AND CIJE

	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Number</u>
Satisfactory	48.6	240
Too General	6.3	31
Too Specific	1.8	9
Other Shortcomings	1.4	7
No Response	41.9	207
	<hr/> 100.0	<hr/> 494

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A4C.10

EVALUATION OF SPECIFICITY OF SUBJECT HEADINGS(DESCRIPTORS) IN RIE  
BY SUBSCRIBERS

<u>Satisfactory</u>	<u>Too General</u>	<u>Too Specific</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>No Response</u>
82.1%	7.4%	1.3%	4.0%	3.8%
(368)	(33)	(6)	(18)	(17)

N = 448

---

Source: RIE Questionnaire

SUBJECT HEADINGS (DESCRIPTORS) IN RIE  
EVALUATED AS TO SPECIFICITY  
BY RESPONDENT'S OCCUPATION

<u>Occupation</u>	<u>Satisfactory</u>	<u>Too Specific</u>	<u>Too General</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>(N)</u>
Administration	90.5%	1.6%	5.6%	2.4%	100% (126)
Teaching	86.5	0.0	10.8	2.7	100% (37)
Pupil Pers. Serv.	91.7	0.0	0.0	8.3	100% (12)
R & D	82.4	2.9	8.8	5.9	100% (68)
Library	86.1	0.7	8.0	5.1	100% (137)
Consulting	83.3	0.0	8.3	8.3	100% (12)
Other	72.2	0.0	22.2	5.5	100% (18)
Overall	86.3	1.2	8.0	4.4	100% (410)
<u>Conducted Research</u>					
Yes	84.1	2.3	8.6	5.0	100% (220)
No	89.2	.5	6.7	3.6	100% (194)
<u>Published</u>					
Yes	85.3	1.2	9.2	4.3	100% (163)
No	87.4	1.6	7.1	3.9	100% (254)

---

source: RIE Questionnaire

TABLE A4C.20

EVALUATION OF SPECIFICITY OF SUBJECT HEADINGS(DESCRIPTORS) IN RIE AND CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Satisfactory</u>	<u>Too General</u>	<u>Too Specific</u>	<u>Other Shortcomings</u>	<u>(N)</u>
High School Diploma	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100% (6)
Bachelor's	87.5	8.0	2.3	2.3	100% (88)
Master's	79.7	12.6	4.2	3.5	100% (143)
Specialist's	---	---	---	---	--- (2)*
Doctorate	82.8	13.8	3.4	0.0	100% (29)
Other	---	---	---	---	--- (4)
Overall	83.1	11.0	3.3	2.6	100% (272)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4C.21

EVALUATION OF SPECIFICITY OF SUBJECT HEADINGS(DESCRIPTORS)  
USED IN RTE AND CIJE BY AGE AND SEX

<u>Age</u>	<u>Satisfactory</u>	<u>Too General</u>	<u>Too Specific</u>	<u>Other Shortcomings</u>	<u>(N)</u>
25 or below	87.9%	8.6%	1.7%	1.7%	100% (58)
26-35	80.2	12.3	3.8	3.8	100% (106)
36-45	77.6	14.9	4.5	3.0	100% (67)
46-55	92.1	5.3	2.6	0.0	100% (38)
56-65	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% (9)
Over 65	---	---	---	---	--- (2)*
Overall	83.2	11.1	3.2	2.5	100% (280)
<u>Sex</u>					
Male	80.7	15.8	.9	2.6	100% (114)
Female	84.7	7.6	5.1	2.5	100% (157)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N<5, calculations have been omitted.



EVALUATION OF SUBJECT HEADINGS (DESCRIPTORS) ASSIGNED IN CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S OCCUPATIONS

<u>Occupation</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> 1	2	3	4	No Use 5	(N)
Administration	22.2%	38.8%	33.3%	5.5%	0.0%	100% (18)
Teaching	22.2	33.3	44.4	0.0	0.0	100% (9)
Pupil Pers. Serv.	----	----	----	----	----	100% (3)*
R & D	23.8	47.6	4.8	19.0	4.8	100% (21)
Library	31.8	36.5	21.2	5.9	4.7	100% (85)
Consulting	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	100% (4)*
Undergraduate	----	----	----	----	----	100% (0)*
Graduate	----	----	----	----	----	100% (1)*
Other	----	----	----	----	----	100% (3)*
Overall	27.8	38.9	22.2	7.6	3.5	100% (144)
<u>Conducted Research</u>						
Yes	26.9	41.8	19.4	9.0	3.0	100% (67)
No	29.1	35.4	24.1	7.6	3.8	100% (79)
<u>Published</u>						
Yes	28.8	38.4	21.1	7.6	3.6	100% (52)
No	28.4	37.9	22.1	8.4	3.2	100% (95)

Source: CIJE Questionnaire

\* Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE 64.23

EVALUATION OF SUBJECT HEADINGS(DESRIPTORS) IN CIJE  
AS TO SPECIFICITY BY SUBSCRIBERS

<u>Satisfactory</u>	<u>Too General</u>	<u>Too Specific</u>	<u>Other Specified</u>	<u>No Response</u>	<u>N</u>
160	13	3	10	12	203
(78.8%)	(8.9%)	(1.5%)	(4.9%)	(5.9%)	(100.0%)

---

Source: CIJE Questionnaire

TABLE A4C.24

SUBJECT HEADINGS (DESCRIPTORS) IN CIJE EVALUATED  
AS TO SPECIFICITY BY RESPONDENTS' OCCUPATION

<u>Occupation</u>	<u>Satisfactory</u>	<u>Too Specific</u>	<u>Too General</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>N</u>
Administration	95.8%	0.0%	4.2%	0.0%	100% (24)
Teaching	80.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	100% (10)
Popul Pers. Serv.	—	—	—	—	(3)*
R & D	89.7	0.0	6.9	3.4	100% (29)
Library	81.9	1.9	10.5	5.7	100% (103)
Consulting	80.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	100% (5)
Undergraduate	—	—	—	—	(0)*
Graduate	—	—	—	—	(1)*
Other	—	—	—	—	(4)*
Overall	84.5	1.7	8.8	5.0	100% (121)
<u>Conducted Research</u>					
Yes	80.2	0.0	13.6	6.2	100% (31)
No	85.7	3.1	6.1	5.1	100% (98)
<u>Published</u>					
Yes	84.6	0.0	7.7	7.7	100% (65)
No	82.8	2.6	10.3	4.3	100% (116)

Source: CIJE Questionnaire

\*Where N<5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4C.25

EVALUATION OF SUBJECT HEADINGS(DEScriptors) IN CIJE AS TO SPECIFICITY  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

	<u>Satisfactory</u>	<u>Too General</u>	<u>Too Specific</u>	<u>Other Shortcomings</u>	<u>(N)</u>
<u>Primary Association</u>					
Preschool	83.3%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	100% (6)
Elementary	85.7	9.5	2.4	2.4	100% (42)
Secondary	83.3	11.1	0.0	5.6	100% (18)
College or University	81.5	13.3	3.7	1.5	100% (135)
State Department of Education	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% (8)
Regional Educational Lab.	82.4	17.6	0.0	0.0	100% (17)
R & D Center	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% (5)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	---- (1)*
OE Research Office	----	----	----	----	---- (3)*
Other Federal Agency	83.3	0.0	16.7	0.0	100% (6)
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	100% (2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	100% (1)*
Other	78.6	7.1	7.1	7.1	100% (28)
Overall	83.5	11.0	3.3	2.2	100% (272)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N=0, calculations have been omitted

TABLE A4C.26

ARE SUBJECT HEADINGS (DESCRIPTORS) USED TO INDEX CIJE REPRESENTATIVE  
OF CURRENTLY USED LANGUAGE BY RESPONDENTS OCCUPATION

<u>Occupation</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>(N)</u>
Administration	91.3%	8.7%	100% (23)
Teaching	90.0	10.0	100% (10)
Pupil Pers. Serv.	----	----	100% ( 3)*
R & D	89.3	10.7	100% (28)
Library	96.9	3.1	100% (98)
Consulting	100.0	0.0	100% ( 5)
Undergraduate	----	----	100% ( 0)*
Graduate	----	----	100% ( 1)*
Other	----	----	100% ( 4)*
Overall	94.8	5.2	100% (172)
<u>Conducted Research</u>			
Yes	92.4	7.6	100% (79)
No	95.7	4.3	100% (93)
<u>Published</u>			
Yes	88.9	11.1	100% (63)
No	97.3	2.7	100% (111)

---

Source: CIJE Questionnaire

\* Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

**SUBJECT HEADINGS (DESCRIPTORS) IN RIE  
EVALUATED FOR USEFULNESS  
BY RESPONDENTS' OCCUPATION**

<u>Occupation</u>	<u>Very Useful</u>					<u>No Use</u>	<u>(N)</u>	<u>Currently Used Language</u>		<u>(N)</u>
	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>			<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	
Administration	28.0%	38.3%	23.4%	8.4%	1.9%		100% (107)	92.1%	7.9%	100% (126)
Teaching	28.6	46.4	21.4	3.6	0.0		100% (28)	100.0	0.0	100% (37)
Pupil Pers. Serv.	37.5	37.5	25.0	0.0	0.0		100% (8)	92.3	7.7	100% (13)
R & D	22.0	39.0	27.1	10.2	1.7		100% (59)	92.6	7.4	100% (68)
Library	37.5	38.4	18.8	3.5	1.8		100% (112)	93.9	6.1	100% (132)
Consulting	20.0	50.0	10.0	10.0	10.0		100% (10)	75.0	25.0	100% (12)
Others*	60.0	30.0	10.0	0.0	0.0		100% (16)	94.7	5.3	100% (19)
Overall	31.1	39.2	21.6	6.3	1.8		100% (334)	93.1	6.9	100% (407)
<u>Conducted Research</u>										
Yes	26.2	41.9	20.4	8.9	2.6		100% (191)	92.4	7.6	100% (224)
No	36.7	33.3	24.0	4.0	2.0		100% (150)	93.6	6.4	100% (188)
<u>Published</u>										
Yes	22.7	44.7	23.4	6.4	2.8		100% (210)	92.8	7.2	100% (167)
No	36.8	33.8	20.4	7.0	2.0		100% (141)	93.8	6.4	100% (249)

Source: RIE Questionnaire

\* Others includes Undergraduates (N = 0), Graduate (N = 1), and "Other" (N = 9)



VOLUME IV - Appendix  
RTE and RTE-ANNUAL INDEXES



TABLE A4D.1

PRIMARY ASSOCIATION OF RIE SUBSCRIBERS BY TYPE OF INSTITUTION OR ORGANIZATION

<u>Primary Association</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Number</u>
Pre-school	.7	3
Elementary School	4.5	20
Secondary School	7.8	35
College or University	44.9	201
State Dept. of Education	3.3	15
Regional Educational Laboratory	--	--
Research and Development Center	3.3	15
Professional Organization	2.7	12
Office of Education Regional Office	.4	2
Other Federal Agency	2.9	13
Local or Regional Information Center	2.0	9
Business or Industry	2.7	12
Other	15.4	67
No Response	9.4	42

N = 448

Source: RIE Questionnaire.

TABLE A4D.2

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF RIE SUBSCRIBERS FOR FEBRUARY, 1971  
BY GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION

<u>State</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>
Alabama	36	1.0	Montana	8	.2
Alaska	7	.2	Nebraska	26	.7
Arizona	43	1.2	Nevada	10	.3
Arkansas	18	.5	New Hampshire	13	.4
California	406	11.2	New Jersey	148	4.2
Colorado	37	1.0	New Mexico	27	.7
Connecticut	40	1.1	New York	563	15.6
Delaware	9	.2	N. Carolina	64	1.8
Florida	112	3.1	N. Dakota	12	.3
Georgia	53	1.5	Ohio	108	3.0
Hawaii	20	.6	Oklahoma	30	.8
Idaho	6	.2	Oregon	40	1.1
Illinois	205	5.8	Pennsylvania	185	5.1
Indiana	64	1.8	Rhode Island	9	.2
Iowa	57	1.6	S. Carolina	29	.8
Kansas	41	1.1	S. Dakota	8	.2
Kentucky	28	.8	Tennessee	51	1.4
Louisiana	19	.5	Texas	128	3.5
Maine	14	.4	Utah	19	.5
Maryland	95	2.6	Vermont	14	.4
Massachusetts	170	4.7	Virginia	65	1.8
Michigan	128	3.6	Washington	75	2.1
Minnesota	87	2.4	W. Virginia	27	.7
Mississippi	17	.5	Wisconsin	77	2.1
Missouri	56	1.5	Wyoming	8	.2
			D.C.	88	2.4
			U.S. Poss. & Terr.	16	.4
			TOTAL U.S.	3616	100.0%

Source: RIE Subscriber List

TABLE A4D.3

FOREIGN SUBSCRIPTIONS TO RIE

<u>Rank</u>	<u>Country</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Rank</u>	<u>Country</u>	<u>Number</u>
44	Afghanistan	1	19	Malaysia	2
34	Argentina	2	14.5	Mexico	7
3	Australia	38	44	New Guinea	1
27	Austria	3	11.5	New Zealand	8
27	Belgium	3	44	Nigeria	1
27	Brazil	3	27	Norway	3
44	Bolivia	1	11.5	Philippines	8
1	Canada	256	44	Samoa	1
44	Ceylon	1	44	Sarawak	1
34	Columbia	2	22.5	Scotland	1
19	Denmark	5	44	Singapore	1
2	England	44	8	South Africa	10
44	Fiji	1	19	Spain	5
27	Finland	3	11.5	Sweden	8
6	France	14	19	Switzerland	5
4	Germany	26	34	Thailand	2
34	Guatemala	2	34	Turkey	2
5	Holland	20	44	Uganda	1
22.5	Hong Kong	4	34	United Arab Rep.	2
19	India	5	44	Venezuela	1
27	Iran	3	44	Wales	1
16	Ireland	6	14.5	Yugoslavia	7
9	Israel	9	44	Zambia	1
7	Italy	11			
11.5	Japan	8			
27	Korea	3			
34	Kuwait	2			
				TOTAL	561

Source: RIE Subscriber list

TABLE A4D.4

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF STATE AGENCY  
SUBSCRIBERS TO RIE FOR FEBRUARY, 1971

<u>State</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>
Alabama	---	---	Montana	---	---
Alaska	---	---	Nebraska	4	4.9
Arizona	1	1.2	Nevada	---	---
Arkansas	1	1.2	New Hampshire	---	---
California	4	4.9	New Jersey	2	2.5
Colorado	---	---	New Mexico	1	1.2
Connecticut	4	4.9	New York	6	7.5
Delaware	---	---	N. Carolina	3	3.7
Florida	2	2.5	N. Dakota	4	4.9
Georgia	4	4.9	Ohio	1	1.2
Hawaii	3	3.7	Oklahoma	2	2.5
Idaho	1	1.2	Oregon	---	---
Illinois	2	2.5	Pennsylvania	3	3.7
Indiana	---	---	Rhode Island	---	---
Iowa	---	---	S. Carolina	2	2.5
Kansas	3	3.7	S. Dakota	---	---
Kentucky	---	---	Tennessee	1	1.2
Louisiana	---	---	Texas	2	2.5
Maine	1	1.2	Utah	1	1.2
Maryland	1	1.2	Vermont	2	2.5
Massachusetts	1	1.2	Virginia	1	1.2
Michigan	2	2.5	Washington	4	4.9
Minnesota	3	3.7	W. Virginia	5	6.3
Mississippi	1	1.2	Wisconsin	2	2.5
Missouri	---	---	Wyoming	1	1.2
			TOTAL	81	

$\bar{X}$  Per State      1.62

% States with at  
least one  
subscription    70.0%

Source: RIE Subscriber List

TABLE A4D.5

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF LOCAL SCHOOL UNIT  
SUBSCRIBERS TO RIE FOR FEBRUARY, 1971

<u>State</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Number</u>
Alabama	.6	6	Montana	.3	3
Alaska	.4	4	Nebraska	.6	6
Arizona	1.6	17	Nevada	.4	4
Arkansas	.4	4	New Hampshire	.3	3
California	15.4	165	New Jersey	6.5	70
Colorado	1.3	14	New Mexico	.7	8
Connecticut	1.3	14	New York	19.5	209
Delaware	.3	3	N. Carolina	.7	8
Florida	2.2	23	N. Dakota	.1	1
Georgia	.6	6	Ohio	2.5	27
Hawaii	.6	6	Oklahoma	.7	7
Idaho	--	--	Oregon	1.5	16
Illinois	3.4	36	Pennsylvania	4.4	48
Indiana	1.0	11	Rhode Island	.6	6
Iowa	1.4	15	S. Carolina	.9	10
Kansas	.9	10	S. Dakota	.1	1
Kentucky	.4	4	Tennessee	.9	10
Louisiana	.3	3	Texas	2.7	29
Maine	.2	2	Utah	.4	4
Maryland	1.6	17	Vermont	.4	4
Massachusetts	5.4	58	Virginia	1.6	17
Michigan	4.0	43	Washington	1.9	20
Minnesota	2.8	30	W. Virginia	.1	1
Mississippi	.6	6	Wisconsin	2.6	28
Missouri	2.1	22	Wyoming	.3	3
			D. C.	--	--
			U. S. Poss. & Terr.	.5	5
			TOTAL		1067

$\bar{X}$  Per State 21.1

% States with  
at least one  
subscription 98.0%

Source: RIE Subscriber lists

# ESTIMATED NUMBER OF RIE USERS IN A TYPICAL WEEK BY ORGANIZATIONS

Primary Association	1-5	6-10	11-15	16-20	21-25	26+	(N)
Pre-School	--	--	--	--	--	--	(0)*
Elementary School	--	1	--	--	--	--	(1)*
Secondary School	2	--	--	--	--	--	(2)*
College or University	14	10	7	6	4	28	(69)
State Department of Ed.	13	7	4	3	4	4	(35)
Regional Ed. Laboratory	5	4	--	1	1	2	(13)
Research & Dev. Center	3	--	--	--	1	4	(8)
Professional Organization	3	1	--	--	--	1	(5)
Office of Ed. Reg. Office	3	1	2	--	--	--	(6)
Other Federal Agency	3	1	1	--	--	1	(6)
Local or Reg. Inf. Center	2	1	1	--	--	2	(6)
Business or Industry	4	--	1	--	--	--	(5)
Other	14	5	3	2	2	4	(30)
Overall	66	31	19	12	12	46	(186)

Source: Organization Questionnaire  
 \*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4D.7

FREQUENCY OF USE OF RIE DURING 1970  
REPORTED BY RIE SUBSCRIBERS

<u>Occupation</u>	<u>Never</u>	<u>1-5 times/yr.</u>	<u>6-10 times/yr.</u>	<u>10+ times/yr.</u>	<u>N</u>
Administration	1.5%	24.6%	33.8%	40.0%	100% (130)
Teaching	2.6	23.1	35.9	38.5	100% (39)
Pupil Pers. Serv.	0.0	54.5	9.1	36.4	100% (11)
R & D	1.4	15.7	31.4	51.4	100% (70)
Library	4.4	20.7	25.9	48.9	100% (135)
Consulting	0.0	41.7	8.3	50.0	100% (12)
Others*	5.5	33.3	12.5	48.7	100% (18)
Overall	2.7	23.4	45.3	28.7	100% (415)
<u>Conducted Research</u>					
Yes	1.3	21.0	29.9	47.8	100% (224)
No	4.1	26.0	28.1	41.8	100% (196)
<u>Published</u>					
Yes	3.0	22.3	26.5	48.2	100% (166)
No	2.3	23.8	30.9	43.0	100% (256)

Source: RIE Questionnaire

\* Others includes Undergraduates (N = 0), Graduates (N = 2) and "Other" (N = 16)



TABLE A4D.8

USE OF RIE DURING 1970  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

Degree Level	None	1-5	6-10	More than 10	(N)
High School Diploma	22.2%	38.9%	22.2%	16.7%	100% (18)
Bachelor's	14.6	50.0	16.7	18.7	100% (144)
Master's	11.0	32.9	24.8	31.4	100% (210)
Specialist's	33.3	22.2	33.3	11.1	100% (9)
Doctorate	12.5	39.6	16.7	31.3	100% (48)
Other	14.3	42.9	0.0	42.9	100% (7)
Overall	13.3	39.4	20.9	26.4	100% (436)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

FREQUENCY OF USE OF RIE DURING 1970 BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

Age	Age			More Than 10	<u>(N)</u>
	None	1-5	6-10		
25 or Below	12.7	51.0	16.7	19.6	100%(102)
26-35	15.9	32.9	20.1	31.1	100%(164)
36-45	12.4	41.9	21.0	24.8	100%(105)
46-55	10.7	39.3	25.0	25.0	100% (56)
56-65	9.1	18.2	27.3	45.5	100% (11)
Over 65	-----	-----	-----	-----	(3) *
Overall	13.4	39.7	20.4	26.5	100%(441)
<u>Sex</u>					
Male	15.7	34.5	22.3	27.4	100%(197)
Female	11.5	43.4	19.1	26.0	100%(235)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4D.10

PATTERNS EMPLOYED WHEN USING RIE BY INDIVIDUAL USERS

	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>
Go Initially to Thesaurus of ERIC Descriptors	10.0	50
Go Directly to Subject Index	54.5	269
Go Directly to Author Index	1.0	5
Go Directly to Resumes of a Clearinghouse	.4	2
Scan Entire Document Resume Section	2.6	13
Follow No Particular Pattern	6.5	32
Other	1.4	7
No Answer	<u>23.5</u>	<u>116</u>
Totals	100.0	494

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A4D.11

PATTERNS EMPLOYED WHEN USING RIE  
BY RIE SUBSCRIBERS

	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Number</u>
Go initially to the Thesaurus of ERIC Descriptors	3.6	16
Go directly to subject index	59.6	267
Go directly to author index	.4	2
Go directly to resumes of a clearinghouse	3.6	16
Scan entire document resume section	3.3	15
Follow no particular pattern	2.7	97
Other	1.6	7
No response	5.6	25

N = 448

---

Source: RIE Questionnaire

TABLE A4D.12

PATTERN EMPLOYED WHEN USING RIE  
BY OCCUPATION OF RESPONDENT

<u>Occupation</u>	<u>Go initially to Thesaurus</u>	<u>Go directly to Subject Index</u>	<u>Go directly to Author Index</u>	<u>Go directly to Resumes of a Clearinghouse</u>	<u>Scan the Entire Document Section</u>	<u>Follow No Particular Pattern</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>(N)</u>
Administration	3.9%	67.7%	0.0%	3.9%	3.9%	19.7%	0.3%	100% (127)
Teaching	5.7	54.3	0.0	3.6	2.9	28.6	0.0	100% (35)
Pupil Pers. Serv.	3.3	50.0	0.0	3.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	100% (12)
R & D	1.5	76.1	1.5	1.5	4.5	13.4	1.5	100% (67)
Library	4.5	59.0	0.7	3.7	2.2	26.9	3.0	100% (134)
Consulting	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	100% (12)
Others*	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	11.1	22.2	0.0	100% (13)
Overall	3.7	64.7	0.5	3.7	3.5	22.5	1.5	100% (405)
<u>Conducted Research</u>								
Yes	4.1	63.5	0.5	3.7	2.7	24.2	1.4	100% (219)
No	3.7	63.4	0.5	4.2	4.2	22.0	2.1	100% (191)
<u>Published</u>								
Yes	4.3	59.0	0.6	3.1	3.7	27.3	1.9	100% (161)
No	3.6	66.1	0.4	4.0	3.2	21.1	1.6	100% (251)

\*Others includes Undergraduates (N = 0), Graduate Students (N = 2), and "Other" (N = 16)

Source: RIE Questionnaire

PATTERNS EMPLOYED WHEN USING RIE BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

	Go Initially to the Thesaurus	Go Directly to Subject Index	Go Directly Author Index	Go Directly to Resumes of a Clearinghouse	Scan the Entire Document Resume Section	Follow No Particular Pattern	Other	(N)
Pre-school	20.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	100% (5)
Elementary	12.7	72.7	0.0	1.8	9.1	3.6	0.0	100% (55)
Secondary	5.3	52.6	10.5	0.0	5.3	26.3	0.0	100% (19)
College or University	15.5	70.2	1.1	.6	1.7	8.3	2.8	100% (181)
State Department of Education	11.1	77.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	100% (9)
Regional Educational Laboratory	20.8	70.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	100% (24)
Research and Development Center	0.0	88.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	100% (9)
Professional Organization	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	100% (2)*
Office of Education	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
Office	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	100% (4)*
Other Federal Agency	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	100% (4)*
Local or Regional Information Center	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	100% (2)*
Business or Industry	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	0.0% (0)*
Other	7.5	77.5	2.5	0.0	0.0	10.0	2.5	100% (49)
Overall	13.3	70.9	1.4	.6	3.4	8.5	2.0	100% (354)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* When N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4D.14

PATTERNS EMPLOYED WHEN USING RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Go Initially to</u> <u>The Thesaurus of</u> <u>ERIC Descriptors</u>	<u>Go Directly</u> <u>To Subject</u> <u>Index</u>	<u>Go Directly</u> <u>To Author</u> <u>Index</u>	<u>Go Directly</u> <u>To Resumes of</u> <u>A Clearinghouse</u>	<u>Scan Entire</u> <u>Document</u> <u>Resume</u> <u>Section</u>	<u>Follow No</u> <u>Particular</u> <u>Pattern</u>	<u>Other</u>  <u>(N)</u>
High School Diploma	16.7	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3 100% (12)
Bachelor's	13.8	66.4	4.3	.9	2.6	11.2	.9 100% (116)
Master's	11.1	75.6	0.0	0.0	3.9	6.7	2.8 100% (180)
Specialist's	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	40.0	0.0 100% (5)
Doctorate	14.6	70.7	0.0	0.0	4.9	9.8	0.0 100% (41)
Other	50.0	16.7	0.0	16.7	0.0	16.7	0.0 100% (6)
Overall	13.3	70.3	1.4	.6	3.6	8.9	1.9 100% (360)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire



**PATTERNS EMPLOYED WHEN USING RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX**

Age	Go Initially to the Thesaurus of ERIC Descriptors	Go Directly To Subject Index	Go Directly To Author Index	Go Directly To Resumes of A Clearinghouse	Scan Entire Document Resume Section	Follow No Particular Pattern	Other (N)
25 or Below	16.5	72.9	1.2	0.0	2.4	5.9	1.2 100% (85)
26-35	13.1	75.2	0.0	.7	1.5	8.8	.7 100%(137)
36-45	9.3	66.3	4.7	0.0	5.8	10.5	3.5 100% (86)
46-55	15.9	68.2	0.0	2.3	2.3	6.8	4.5 100% (44)
56-65	18.2	54.5	0.0	0.0	9.1	18.2	0.0 100% (11)
Over 65	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (3) *
Overall	13.4	71.3	1.4	.5	3.0	8.5	1.9 100%(366)
Sex							
Male	13.4	69.4	1.3	.6	2.5	10.2	2.5 100%(157)
Female	13.6	71.2	1.5	.5	4.0	7.6	1.5 100%(198)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire  
\* Where N<5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4D.16

MAIN PURPOSES FOR WHICH RIE IS USED  
BY RESPONDENTS TO THE INDIVIDUAL USER QUESTIONNAIRE

<u>Purpose</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Number *</u>
Keeping Abreast in a field	22.1	109
Assignments and Term Papers	42.1	208
Preparation or Updating of Course Bibliographies	8.9	44
Curriculum Development	14.6	72
Program Improvement	13.2	65
Preparation of Speech, Report, Article	20.9	103
Research Project	42.1	208
Browsing	8.9	44
Other	7.1	35

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Total number of respondents = 492. Each respondent could mark one or more "main purpose."

MAIN PURPOSES FOR WHICH RIE IS USEDBY OCCUPATION OF SUBSCRIBERS \*\*

RIE Used For:	Keeping Abreast in a Field	Assignments and Term Papers	Updating of Course Bibliographies	Curriculum Development	Program Improvement	Speech, Report, Article Preparation	Research Projects	Browsing	Other	(N)
<u>User's Occupation</u>										
Administration	63.8	6.1	13.0	54.2	51.9	21.4	40.3	26.4	12.4	(129)
Teaching	73.7	7.9	39.5	45.9	36.8	31.6	41.7	28.9	5.3	(38)
Pupil Pers. Serv.	53.8	7.7	0.0	41.7	38.5	15.4	41.7	16.7	16.7	(12)
R & D	62.3	7.2	1.4	26.1	30.4	27.5	77.9	11.8	9.0	(67)
Library	44.0	30.9	18.7	24.5	25.5	17.3	50.7	19.9	33.3	(135)
Consulting	45.0	0.0	0.0	27.3	45.5	18.2	60.0	20.0	9.1	(11)
Others*	66.7	16.7	0.0	33.3	44.5	50.0	44.5	44.5	11.1	(18)
Overall	70.6	15.0	14.1	33.3	37.3	22.9	50.9	22.4	18.0	(410)
<u>Conducted Research</u>										
Yes	64.3	11.7	14.7	44.2	44.2	28.3	61.9	23.4	13.2	(227)
No	50.2	19.9	13.9	33.3	31.5	16.8	38.7	24.1	24.5	(196)
<u>Published</u>										
Yes	62.2	7.6	15.6	33.7	37.0	32.0	60.5	24.1	16.2	(167)
No	54.9	20.5	13.7	38.7	39.0	17.9	44.7	22.8	20.1	(259)

Source: RIE Questionnaire.

\*Others includes Undergraduates (N = 0), Graduates (N = 1) and "Other" (N = 17).

\*\*Each respondent could mark one or more "main purpose." Percentages are of total number representing each primary association.

MAIN PURPOSE FOR USING RTE BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION \*\*

Primary Association	Keeping Abreast in a Field	Assignments and Term Papers	Updating of Course Bibliographies	Curriculum Development	Program Improvement	Speech, Report, Article Preparation	Research Project	Browsing	Other	(N)
Preschool	57.1%	42.9%	0.0%	14.3%	42.9%	14.3%	71.4%	0.0%	0.0%	(7)
Elementary	21.5	67.7	12.3	16.9	12.3	33.8	60.0	10.8	0.0	(64)
Secondary	14.3	31.4	2.9	25.7	20.0	11.4	37.1	2.9	0.0	(35)
College or University	18.8	49.1	13.1	6.7	5.4	21.1	41.4	11.3	8.5	(223)
State Department of Education	57.1	21.4	7.1	21.4	28.6	21.4	21.4	0.0	21.4	(14)
Regional Educational Lab	35.7	10.7	10.7	50.0	25.0	22.2	53.6	14.3	7.1	(28)
R & D Center	7.7	7.7	0.0	15.4	23.1	15.4	38.5	0.0	0.0	(13)
Professional Organization	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	(3)*
OE Regional Office	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	(4)*
Other Federal Agency	22.2	0.0	11.1	11.1	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	11.1	(9)
Local or Regional Info Center	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	(2)*
Business or Industry	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	(0)*
Other	30.6	38.8	2.0	20.4	24.5	18.7	38.8	6.1	14.3	(49)
Overall	23.0	43.0	9.8	15.0	13.5	21.6	44.1	9.3	7.5	(451)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N&lt;5 calculations have been omitted

\*\*Each respondent, could mark one or more "main purpose." Percentages are of total number representing each primary association.

TABLE A4D.19

MAIN PURPOSES FOR WHICH RIE IS USED  
BY RIE SUBSCRIBERS

	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Number</u>
Keeping abreast in a field	56.5	253
Assignments and term papers	15.2	68
Preparation or updating of course bibliographies	14.1	63
Curriculum development	36.2	162
Program Improvement	37.7	169
Preparation of speech, report, article	22.8	102
Research project	48.2	216
Browsing	22.3	100
Other	18.3	82

---

Source: RIE Questionnaire

TABLE A4D.20

**MAIN PURPOSES FOR WHICH RIE HAS BEEN USED  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL \*\***

Degree Level	Keeping abreast of field	Assignments and Term Papers	Updating of Course Bibliographies	Curriculum Development	Program Improvement	Speech, Report, Article Preparation	Research Project	Browsing	Other	(N)
High School Diploma	0.0%	45.0%	10.0%	5.0%	5.0%	30.0%	15.0%	0.0%	20.0%	(20)
Bachelor's	12.7	59.3	7.3	8.7	7.3	17.3	37.6	4.0	4.7	(150)
Master's	25.9	39.5	8.8	17.5	17.1	23.0	50.0	12.3	8.4	(228)
Specialist's	30.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	10.0	0.0	(10)
Doctorate	46.9	16.3	20.4	24.5	20.4	26.5	46.9	14.3	8.2	(49)
Other	28.6	42.9	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	16.7	0.0	(7)
Overall	22.9	43.1	9.3	14.9	13.6	21.0	43.3	9.3	7.4	(464)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire.

\* Where N < 5, Calculations have been omitted.

\*\* Each respondent would mark one or more "main purpose." Percentages are of total number representing each degree level.

**MAIN PURPOSES FOR WHICH RIE HAS BEEN USED  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX \*\***

Age	Keeping Abstract in file	Assign- ments and Term Papers	Updating of Course Bibliog- raphies	Curric- ulum Develop- ment	Program Improve- ment	Speech, Report, Article Prepar- ation	Research Project	Browsing	Other	(N)
25 or Below	6.4%	59.1%	11.8%	5.5%	5.5%	23.6%	44.5%	9.2%	8.2%	(110)
26-35	20.7	45.1	8.0	15.4	14.9	23.6	50.3	9.7	5.7	(175)
36-45	36.0	34.2	7.3	17.3	14.5	18.3	38.5	9.1	6.4	(110)
46-55	30.6	29.0	9.7	27.4	24.2	14.5	30.6	8.1	8.2	(62)
56-65	41.7	16.7	25.0	16.7	16.7	33.3	33.3	8.3	25.0	(12)
Over 65	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(3)*
Overall	22.9	42.9	9.3	15.3	13.8	21.3	43.3	9.1	7.4	(472)
Sex										
Male	25.1	36.1	7.3	15.5	15.0	17.5	43.0	9.2	6.7	(208)
Female	20.7	49.0	10.8	14.7	12.0	24.0	42.8	8.8	8.0	(251)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire.

\* Where N < 5, Calculations have been omitted.

\*\* Each respondent could mark one or more "main purpose." Percentages are of total number representing each age or sex category.

TABLE A4D.22

WAYS IN WHICH RIE WAS USED  
DURING 1970  
BY RESPONDENTS' PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

Primary Association	Search Past		Both	Never Used	N
	Read or Scan Each Issue For Current Awareness	Issues or Vols. To Locate Specific Information			
Preschool	33.3%	50.0%	16.7%	0.0%	100% (6)
Elementary	25.0	63.5	7.7	3.8	100% (52)
Secondary	21.7	56.5	8.7	13.0	100% (23)
College or University	12.4	68.4	8.5	10.7	100% (177)
State Department of Education	9.1	81.8	9.1	0.0	100% (11)
Regional Educational Laboratory	22.2	66.7	7.4	3.7	100% (27)
Research and Development Center	0.0	87.5	0.0	12.5	100% (8)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	---- (2)*
Office of Education Regional Office	----	----	----	----	---- (4)*
Other Federal Agency	20.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	100% (5)
Local or Regional Information Center	----	----	----	----	---- (2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	---- (1)*
Other	25.7	60.0	5.7	8.6	100% (35)
Overall	17.3	66.0	8.5	8.2	100% (353)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.



WAYS OF USING RIE BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

<u>Age</u>	<u>Read or Scan Each Issue For Current Awareness</u>	<u>Search Past Issues or Volumes to Locate Specific Information</u>	<u>Both</u>	<u>Never Used</u>	<u>(N)</u>
25 or Below	14.9	69.0	3.4	12.6	100% (87)
26-35	17.7	64.5	9.9	7.8	100% (141)
36-45	15.3	72.9	5.9	5.9	100% (85)
46-55	19.5	53.7	19.5	7.3	100% (41)
56-65	22.2	66.7	11.1	0.0	100% (9)
Over 65	-----	-----	-----	-----	(3)*
Overall	16.9	66.4	8.5	8.2	100% (366)
<u>Sex</u>					
Male	19.6	59.5	9.5	11.4	100% (158)
Female	15.0	71.5	7.0	6.5	100% (200)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N&lt;5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4D.24

TYPES OF INFORMATION CONSIDERED ESSENTIAL  
FOR SEARCHING AND IDENTIFICATION  
OF DOCUMENTS IN RIE

<u>Types of Information</u> <u>About Documents</u>	<u>Considered</u> <u>Essential</u>		<u>Not</u> <u>Indicated</u>	
	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>
Abstracts	61.1	(302)	38.5	(190)
Descriptors	34.2	(169)	65.2	(322)
Kind of Document	30.2	(149)	69.4	(343)
Quality Estimate	13.4	(66)	86.2	(426)
Target Audience	9.9	(49)	89.7	(443)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A4D.25

TYPES OF INFORMATION CONSIDERED ESSENTIAL FOR PURPOSES OF  
SEARCHING AND IDENTIFICATION OF RELEVANT DOCUMENTS IN RIE  
BY RIE SUBSCRIBERS

	<u>Considered Essential</u>	<u>Not Indicated</u>	<u>No Response</u>
<u>Types of Information</u>			
Abstracts	80.8% (362)	15.8% (71)	3.4% (15)
Descriptors	51.1% (229)	46.7% (209)	2.2% (10)
Kind of document (e.g. research report, survey)	36.8% (165)	59.8% (268)	3.4% (15)
Qu      Estimate	19.0% (85)	77.2% (346)	3.8% (17)
Target Audience	19.0% (85)	76.6% (343)	4.4% (20)
Other	4.9% (22)	89.7 (402)	5.4% (24)
No Response	81.3% (364)	9.4% (42)	7.1% (32)

N = 448

TABLE A4D.26

TYPES OF INFORMATION CONSIDERED ESSENTIAL FOR PURPOSES OF SEARCHING AND  
IDENTIFICATION OF RELEVANT DOCUMENTS IN RIE  
BY RESPONDENTS' PRIMARY ASSOCIATION \*\*

<u>Primary Association</u>	<u>Abstracts</u>	<u>Descriptors</u>	<u>Kind of Document</u>	<u>Quality Estimate</u>	<u>Target Audience</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>(N)</u>
Preschool	42.9	42.9	42.9	42.9	28.6	0.0	(7)
Elementary	58.5	38.5	36.9	10.8	6.3	1.6	(65)
Secondary	40.0	25.7	28.6	5.9	5.7	5.7	(35)
College or University	65.3	37.6	31.4	16.1	10.3	2.7	(222)
State Department of Education	64.3	35.7	15.4	14.3	14.3	14.3	(14)
Regional Educational Laboratory	82.1	28.6	39.3	21.4	14.3	10.7	(28)
Research and Development Center	69.2	30.8	23.1	7.7	15.4	7.7	(13)
Professional Organization	—	—	—	—	—	—	(3)*
Office of Education Regional Office	—	—	—	—	—	—	(4)*
Other Federal Agency	33.3	11.1	11.1	11.1	22.2	0.0	(9)
Local or Regional Information Center	—	—	—	—	—	—	(2)*
Business or Industry	—	—	—	—	—	—	(1)*
Other	77.6	38.8	26.5	10.2	6.1	0.0	(49)
Overall	64.2	36.1	31.2	14.4	10.6	3.5	(452)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire.

\* Where N < 5, Calculations have been omitted.

\*\*Each respondent could mark one or more "main purpose." Percentages are of total number representing each primary association.

TABLE A4D.27

TYPES OF INFORMATION CONSIDERED ESSENTIAL FOR PURPOSES OF SEARCHING  
AND IDENTIFICATION OF RELEVANT DOCUMENTS IN RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL\*

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Abstracts</u>	<u>Descrip- tors</u>	<u>Kind of Document</u>	<u>Quality Estimate</u>	<u>Target Audience</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>(N)</u>
High School	45.0%	30.0%	30.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	(20)
Bachelor's	53.3	32.9	29.3	15.3	11.4	.6	(149)
Master's	69.7	38.2	29.8	11.0	9.2	2.4	(228)
Specialist's	30.0	30.0	40.0	11.1	10.0	0.0	(10)
Doctorate	75.5	36.7	33.3	22.4	16.3	.4	(49)
Other	33.3	33.3	28.6	0.0	14.3	0.0	(7)
Overall	62.6	35.7	30.2	13.4	10.4	3.5	(463)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Each respondent could mark one or more "main purpose." Percentages are of total number representing each degree level.

TABLE A4D.28

TYPES OF INFORMATION CONSIDERED ESSENTIAL FOR PURPOSES OF SEARCHING  
AND IDENTIFICATION OF RELEVANT DOCUMENTS IN RIE

BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX \*\*

<u>Age</u>	<u>Abstracts</u>	<u>Descriptors</u>	<u>Kind of Document</u>	<u>Quality Estimate</u>	<u>Target Audience</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>(N)</u>
25 or Below	57.3%	31.2%	32.7%	13.6%	5.5%	1.8%	(110)
26-35	66.3	35.4	28.7	9.7	8.6	2.9	(174)
36-45	63.6	35.5	30.6	18.9	14.4	2.7	(111)
46-55	61.3	38.7	29.0	14.5	12.9	8.1	(62)
56-65	58.3	41.7	41.7	27.3	33.3	8.3	(12)
Over 65	—	—	—	—	—	—	(3)*
Overall	62.9	35.2	30.5	13.8	10.4	3.4	(472)
<u>Sex</u>							
Male	61.7	32.5	26.7	11.2	10.2	3.4	(206)
Female	63.1	39.0	33.3	14.7	10.3	3.6	(252)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire.

\* Where N < 5, Calculations have been omitted.

\*\* Each respondent could mark one or more "main purpose." Percentages are of total number representing each age or sex category.

TABLE A4D.29

SUCCESS OF RIE SUBSCRIBERS  
IN FINDING SOUGHT AFTER INFORMATION  
IN RIE

<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>No Response</u>
81.3% (364)	9.4% (42)	7.1% (43)

---

Source: RIE Questionnaire

TABLE A4D.30

SUCCESS IN FINDING WHAT PATRON IS LOOKING FOR IN RIE  
BY INDIVIDUAL USERS

<u>Occupation</u>	<u>Always</u>	<u>Most of the time</u>	<u>Sometimes</u>	<u>Never</u>	<u>(N)</u>
Administration or Supervision	4.9	61.0	34.1	0.0	100% (41)
Teaching	3.8	75.9	20.3	0.0	100% (79)
Pupil Personnel Services	----	----	----	----	---- (2) *
Research and Development Center	7.1	71.4	21.4	0.0	100% (42)
Library or Instructional Resources	9.1	69.1	21.8	0.0	100% (55)
Consulting					
Undergraduate	21.4	50.0	28.6	0.0	100% (14)
Graduate	6.2	65.5	28.3	0.0	100% (113)
Other	0.0	64.3	35.7	0.0	100% (14)
Overall	6.5	68.0	25.5	0.0	100% (372)
<u>Conducted Research</u>					
Yes	6.4	66.0	27.7	0.0	100% (188)
No	6.2	71.6	22.2	0.0	100% (162)
<u>Published</u>					
Yes	7.9	68.3	23.8	0.0	100% (101)
No	6.2	67.2	27.2	0.0	100% (265)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.



TABLE A4D.31

SUCCESS IN FINDING WHAT PATRON IS LOOKING FOR IN RIE  
BY RESPONDENTS' PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

<u>Primary Association</u>	<u>Always</u>	<u>Most of the Time</u>	<u>Sometimes</u>	<u>N</u>
Presidential	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	100% (6)
Elementary	3.6	74.5	21.8	100% (55)
Secondary	4.5	77.3	18.2	100% (22)
College or University	8.3	66.9	24.9	100% (181)
State Department of Education	8.3	75.0	16.7	100% (12)
Regional Educational Laboratory	4.0	72.0	24.0	100% (25)
Research and Development Center	0.0	55.6	44.4	100% (9)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	---- (3)*
Office of Education Regional Office	----	----	----	---- (4)*
Other Federal Agency	0.0	80.0	20.0	100% (5)
Local or Regional Information Center	----	----	----	---- (2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	---- (1)*
Other	4.7	62.8	32.6	100% (43)
Overall	6.5	68.5	25.0	100% (368)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4D.32

SUCCESS IN FINDING WHAT PATRON IS LOOKING FOR IN RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Always</u>	<u>Most of the Time</u>	<u>Sometimes</u>	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	38.5%	38.5%	23.1%	100% (13)
Bachelor's	7.1	61.9	31.0	100% (113)
Master's	6.3	70.8	22.9	100% (192)
Specialist's	0.0	66.7	33.3	100% (6)
Doctorate	0.0	75.6	24.4	100% (41)
Other	0.0	66.7	33.3	100% (6)
Overall	6.7	67.4	25.9	100% (371)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A4D.33

SUCCESS IN FINDING WHAT PATRON IS LOOKING FOR IN RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

<u>Age</u>	<u>Always</u>	<u>Most of the Time</u>	<u>Sometimes</u>	<u>Never</u>	<u>(N)</u>
25 or Below	11.5	65.5	23.0	0.0	100% (87)
26-35	4.9	69.7	25.4	0.0	100% (142)
36-45	2.2	64.0	33.7	0.0	100% (89)
46-55	8.5	76.6	14.9	0.0	100% (47)
56-65	9.1	72.7	18.2	0.0	100% (11)
Over 65	----	----	----	----	---- (3) *
Overall	6.3	68.1	25.6	0.0	100% (379)
<u>Sex</u>					
Male	6.9	65.4	27.7	0.0	100% (159)
Female	6.8	69.6	23.7	0.0	100% (207)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4D.34

SUCCESS OF INDIVIDUAL USERS IN FINDING  
SOUGHT-AFTER INFORMATION IN RIE

	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Number</u>
Always	5.1	25
Most of the Time	53.4	264
Sometimes	20.2	100
Never	0.0	0
No Response	<u>21.3</u>	<u>105</u>
	100.0	494

TABLE A4D.34a

SUCCESS OF INDIVIDUAL USERS IN FINDING  
ELSEWHERE MATERIAL WHICH CANNOT BE FOUND  
IN RIE

	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Number</u>
Always	1.2	6
Most of the Time	9.9	49
Sometimes	57.9	286
Never	3.2	16
No Response	<u>27.8</u>	<u>137</u>
	100.0	494

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A4D.35

SUCCESS IN FINDING ELSEWHERE MATERIAL WHICH CANNOT BE  
FOUND IN RIE E. INDIVIDUAL USERS

<u>Occupation</u>	<u>Always</u>	<u>Most of the time</u>	<u>Sometimes</u>	<u>Never</u>	<u>(N)</u>
Administration or Supervision	3.1	12.8	79.5	2.6	100% (39)
Teaching	0.0	9.5	86.5	4.1	100% (74)
Pupil Personnel Services	—	—	—	—	— (2)*
Research and Development Center	2.6	20.5	76.9	0.0	100% (39)
Library or Instructional Resources	1.9	9.6	82.7	5.8	100% (52)
Consulting	0.0	9.1	90.9	0.0	100% (11)
Undergraduate	0.0	7.1	92.9	0.0	100% (14)
Graduate	2.0	18.2	72.7	7.1	100% (99)
Other	0.0	7.7	84.6	7.7	100% (13)
Overall	1.7	13.4	80.5	4.4	100% (343)
<u>Conducted Research</u>					
Yes	0.3	13.2	79.3	5.2	100% (174)
No	1.3	16.0	79.3	3.3	100% (150)
<u>Published</u>					
Yes	2.1	14.6	82.3	1.0	100% (96)
No	1.6	13.1	75.9	5.3	100% (244)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where  $N < 5$ , calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4D.36

SUCCESS IN FINDING ELSEWHERE MATERIAL WHICH CANNOT BE FOUND IN REF  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Always</u>	<u>Most of the Time</u>	<u>Sometimes</u>	<u>Never</u>	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	0.0%	0.0%	90.9%	9.1%	100% (11)
Bachelor's	3.0	16.0	75.0	6.0	100% (100)
Master's	1.1	13.9	81.7	3.3	100% (180)
Specialist's	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100% (6)
Doctorate	2.6	15.8	81.6	0.0	100% (38)
Other	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	100% (6)
Overall	1.8	14.1	80.4	3.8	100% (341)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A4D.37

SUCCESS IN FINDING ELSEWHERE MATERIAL WHICH CANNOT BE FOUND IN RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

<u>Age</u>	<u>Always</u>	<u>Most of the Time</u>	<u>Sometimes</u>	<u>Never</u>	<u>(N)</u>
25 or Below	2.5	5.1	84.8	7.6	100% (79)
26-35	1.5	16.8	79.4	2.3	100% (131)
36-45	1.2	15.5	79.8	3.6	100% (84)
46-55	0.0	18.6	76.7	4.7	100% (43)
56-65	0.0	10.0	90.0	0.0	100% (10)
Over 65	-----	-----	-----	-----	----- (3) *
Overall	1.7	13.7	80.6	4.0	100% (350)
<u>Sex</u>					
Male	1.3	14.7	81.3	2.7	100% (150)
Female	2.2	13.4	79.6	4.8	100% (186)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* where N<5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4D.38

OVERALL EVALUATION OF USEFULNESS OF ERIC PRODUCTS AND SERVICES \*

<u>Products and Services</u>	<u>Very</u>				<u>Of No</u>	<u>Items</u>	<u>Items</u>	<u>No</u>
	<u>Useful</u>				<u>Use</u>	<u>Never</u>	<u>Not</u>	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Response
Research in Education (RIE)	(298) 60.3%	(57) 11.5%	(43) 8.7%	(15) 3.0%	(2) .4%	(32) 6.5%	(4) .8%	(43) 8.7%
Accumulated Indexes for RIE	(235) 47.6	(70) 14.2	(34) 6.9	(14) 2.8	(2) .4	(61) 12.3	(6) 1.2	(71) 14.4
Current Index Journals in Education (CIJE)	(158) 32.0	(70) 14.2	(48) 9.7	(28) 5.7	(3) .6	(85) 17.2	(14) 2.8	(88) 17.8
Clearinghouse: Newsletters	(50) 10.1	(54) 10.9	(59) 11.9	(19) 3.8	(6) 1.2	(174) 35.2	(15) 3.0	(117) 23.7
Interpretative Summaries	(51) 10.3	(51) 10.3	(44) 8.9	(24) 4.9	(5) 1.0	(175) 35.4	(18) 3.6	(125) 25.3
Research Reviews	(73) 14.8	(60) 12.1	(53) 10.7	(22) 4.5	(2) .4	(146) 29.6	(14) 2.8	(122) 24.7
Bibliographies	(95) 19.2	(57) 11.5	(46) 9.3	(16) 3.2	(4) .8	(155) 31.4	(10) 2.0	(109) 22.1
Pacesetters in Innovation	(36) 7.3	(30) 6.1	(50) 10.1	(22) 4.5	(14) 2.8	(210) 42.5	(19) 3.8	(112) 22.7
Catalog of Selected Documents on the Disadvantaged	(43) 8.7	(33) 6.7	(46) 9.3	(30) 6.1	(13) 2.6	(201) 40.7	(16) 3.2	(111) 22.5
Selected Documents in Higher Education	(31) 6.3	(36) 7.3	(29) 5.9	(22) 4.5	(19) 3.8	(212) 42.9	(20) 4.0	(123) 24.9
Hard Copy Documents	(71) 14.4	(45) 9.1	(42) 8.5	(22) 4.5	(8) 1.6	(166) 33.6	(23) 4.7	(115) 23.3
ERIC Microfiche	(275) 55.7	(64) 13.0	(37) 7.5	(16) 3.2	(2) .4	(52) 10.5	(0) 0.0	(48) 9.7
Thesaurus of ERIC Descriptors	(123) 24.9	(60) 21.1	(61) 12.3	(24) 4.9	(8) 1.6	(112) 22.7	(10) 2.0	(96) 19.4
Manpower Research Inventory	(16) 3.2	(33) 6.7	(31) 6.3	(26) 5.3	(11) 2.2	(223) 45.1	(29) 5.9	(125) 25.3
OE Research Reports	(37) 7.5	(52) 10.5	(42) 8.5	(16) 3.2	(8) 1.6	(193) 39.1	(23) 4.7	(122) 24.7
ERIC Magnetic Tape Data Bases:								
RIE	(43) 8.7	(16) 3.2	(11) 2.2	(13) 2.6	(5) 1.0	(199) 40.3	(80) 16.2	(127) 25.7
CIJE	(35) 7.1	(15) 3.0	(8) 1.6	(11) 2.2	(5) 1.0	(205) 41.5	(79) 16.0	(134) 27.1

N = 494

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Statistical differences between this comprehensive listing and individual tables are accounted for in most part by variations in individual responses.



TABLE A4D.39

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

<u>Primary Association</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> 1	2	3	4	<u>Of No Use</u> 5	<u>Item Never Used</u> 6	(N)
Preschool	83.3%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100% (6)
Elementary	78.7	4.9	11.5	0.0	0.0	4.9	100% (6)
Secondary	59.3	18.5	7.4	0.0	0.0	14.8	100% (27)
College or University	63.8	13.8	9.0	3.3	.5	9.6	100% (210)
State Dept. of Education	75.0	8.3	8.3	0.0	0.0	8.3	100% (12)
Regional Educational Lab	74.1	7.4	11.1	7.4	0.0	0.0	100% (27)
R & D Center	54.5	27.3	0.0	9.1	0.0	9.1	100% (11)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (3)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (4)*
Other Federal Agency	66.7	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.2	100% (9)
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (1)*
Other	63.6	9.1	13.6	9.1	2.3	2.3	100% (44)
Overall	66.9	12.5	9.1	3.4	.5	7.7	100% (417)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4D.40

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF RESEARCH IN EDUCATION (RIE)  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> 1	2	3	4	<u>Of No Use</u> 5	<u>Item Never Used</u> 6	<u>Item Not Available</u> 7	<u>(N)</u>
High School Diploma	64.7%	0.0%	11.8%	0.0%	0.0%	23.5%	0.0%	100% (17)
Bachelor's	61.8	15.4	10.3	3.7	0.0	8.1	.7	100%(136)
Master's	72.0	10.9	9.0	1.9	.5	5.2	.5	100%(211)
Specialist's	25.0	37.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	12.5	12.5	100% (8)
Doctorate	63.8	12.8	6.4	10.6	0.0	4.3	2.1	100% (47)
Other	57.1	14.3	14.3	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	100% (7)
Overall	66.4	12.7	9.4	3.3	.5	6.8	.9	100%(426)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A4D.41

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

Age	Very Useful 1	2	3	4	Of No Use 5	Item Never Used 6	Item Not Available 7	(N)
25 or below	60.2%	15.5%	10.7%	1.0%	1.0%	10.7%	1.0%	100% (103)
26-35	67.9	13.2	8.2	3.8	0.0	6.9	0.0	100% (159)
36-45	69.6	6.9	11.8	2.0	1.0	5.9	2.9	100% (102)
46-55	71.4	10.7	8.9	3.6	0.0	5.4	0.0	100% (56)
56-65	54.5	36.4	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% (11)
Over 65	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	100% (3)*
Overall	66.4	12.4	9.4	3.2	.5	7.1	.9	100% (434)
<b>Sex</b>								
Male	61.5	13.4	12.8	2.7	.5	8.6	.5	100% (187)
Female	71.4	12.0	6.4	3.0	.4	5.6	1.3	100% (234)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N5, calculations have been omitted

TABLE A4D.42

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF SECTIONS  
AND CHARACTERISTICS OF RIE  
BY INDIVIDUAL USERS

<u>Sections and Characteristics</u>	<u>Very Useful 1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>Of No Use 5</u>	<u>Items Never Used 6</u>	<u>No Response</u>
Range of Topics Covered	47.2%	18.6%	6.1%	1.8%	0.0%	1.2%	24.9%
Quality of Material Selected	30.2	26.3	11.1	4.0	.2	.6	27.3
Timeliness	31.8	25.3	10.9	2.2	.2	1.4	27.9
Document Secion	19.4	17.6	11.5	3.0	1.4	11.1	35.6
Accession within Sections	12.8	15.4	10.1	8.1	3.0	12.1	38.3
Arrangement within Sections	17.4	20.2	13.0	4.5	1.4	5.3	38.1
Content of Resumes	34.6	21.7	7.3	2.4	.4	2.8	30.6
Descriptors (Thesaurus)	26.7	16.8	10.3	6.1	1.0	6.3	32.6
Numbering System	23.5	20.4	12.8	5.1	1.0	3.2	34.0
Introductory Information	19.8	22.5	10.3	4.5	1.2	5.9	35.8
Indexing Information	26.3	23.3	10.3	4.0	.2	2.8	32.8
Format and Typography	23.1	22.3	12.1	7.7	1.2	1.4	32.2

N = (494)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A4D.43

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF SECTIONS AND  
CHARACTERISTICS OF RIE BY RIE SUBSCRIBERS

<u>Sections and</u> <u>Characteristics</u>	<u>Very</u> <u>Useful</u> 1	2	3	4	<u>Of</u> <u>No</u> <u>Use</u>	<u>Item</u> <u>Not</u> <u>Avail-</u> <u>able</u>	<u>No</u> <u>Response</u>
Range of topics covered	191 (42.6)	122 (27.2)	66 (14.7)	14 (3.1)	3 (.7)	6 (1.3)	38 (8.5)
Quality of material selected	99 (22.1)	160 (35.7)	90 (20.1)	32 (7.1)	3 (.7)	6 (1.3)	49 (10.9)
Timeliness	107 (23.9)	137 (30.6)	97 (21.7)	32 (7.1)	6 (1.3)	6 (1.3)	47 (10.5)
Document section	119 (26.6)	112 (25.0)	77 (17.2)	22 (4.9)	10 (2.2)	20 (4.5)	78 (17.4)
Accession number section	62 (13.8)	86 (19.2)	86 (19.2)	47 (10.5)	25 (5.6)	47 (10.5)	82 (18.3)
Arrangement within sections	86 (19.2)	117 (26.1)	105 (23.4)	18 (4.0)	10 (2.2)	21 (4.7)	76 (17.0)
Contents of resumes	152 (33.9)	158 (35.3)	61 (13.6)	7 (1.6)	2 (.4)	3 (.7)	51 (11.4)
Descriptors (Thesaurus)	109 (24.3)	134 (29.9)	75 (16.7)	23 (5.1)	8 (1.8)	21 (4.7)	63 (14.1)
Numbering system	92 (20.5)	111 (24.8)	99 (22.1)	38 (8.5)	14 (3.1)	14 (3.1)	68 (15.2)
Introductory information	92 (20.5)	114 (25.4)	85 (19.0)	43 (9.6)	7 (1.6)	12 (2.7)	74 (16.5)
Indexing system	129 (28.8)	132 (29.5)	73 (16.3)	16 (3.6)	7 (1.6)	9 (2.0)	64 (14.3)
Format or typography	101 (22.5)	144 (32.1)	86 (19.2)	44 (9.8)	3 (.7)	5 (1.1)	49 (10.9)

N = 448

TABLE A4D.44

EVALUATION OF USEFULNESS OF RANGE OF TOPICS COVERED IN RIE

	Very Useful 1	2	3	4	Of No Use 5	Items Never Used 6	(N)
<u>Occupation</u>							
Administration or Supervision	56.4%	28.2%	7.7%	5.1%	0.0%	2.6%	100% (39)
Teaching	67.1	21.1	11.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% (76)
Pupil Personnel Services	----	----	----	----	----	----	(2)*
Research and Development	67.5	27.5	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% (40)
Library or Instruc- tional Resources	61.1	33.3	3.7	1.9	0.0	0.0	100% (54)
Consulting	70.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	100% (10)
Undergraduate Student	40.0	26.7	13.3	13.3	0.0	6.7	100% (15)
Graduate Student	64.8	22.9	9.5	1.9	0.0	1.0	100% (105)
Other	57.1	21.4	0.0	7.1	0.0	14.2	100% (14)
Overall	63.1	24.8	8.2	2.5	0.0	1.4	100% (355)
<u>Conducted Research</u>							
Yes	67.2	19.8	9.0	2.3	0.0	1.7	100% (177)
No	60.9	27.6	7.7	2.6	0.0	1.3	100% (156)
<u>Published</u>							
Yes	55.2	31.3	9.4	3.1	0.0	1.0	100% (96)
No	65.6	22.9	7.9	2.0	0.0	1.6	100% (253)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N&lt;5, calculations have been omitted

TABLE A4D.45

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF THE RANGE OF TOPICS IN RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

	Very Useful 1	2	3	4	Item Never Used 5		(N)
<u>Primary Association</u>							
Preschool	83.3%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100%	(6)
Elementary	77.8	14.8	7.4	0.0	0.0	100%	(54)
Secondary	72.7	18.2	4.5	0.0	4.5	100%	(22)
College or University							
State Dept. of Education	50.0	40.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	100%	(10)
Regional Educational Lab	48.0	44.0	4.0	0.0	4.0	100%	(25)
R & D Center	66.7	22.2	11.1	0.0	0.0	100%	(9)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Other Federal Agency	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	(2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Other	61.5	23.1	7.7	5.1	2.6	100%	(39)
Overall	63.4	24.7	8.0	2.6	1.4	100%	(352)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4D.46

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF RANGE OF TOPICS COVERED IN RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> 1	2	3	4	<u>Of no Use</u> 5	<u>Item Never Used</u> 6	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	57.1%	28.6%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	14.2%	100% (14)
Bachelor's	65.1	19.8	10.4	2.8	0.0	1.8	100% (106)
Master's	64.3	25.8	7.1	1.6	0.0	1.1	100% (182)
Specialist's	60.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% (5)
Doctorate	53.8	33.3	7.7	5.1	0.0	0.0	100% (39)
Other	50.0	16.7	16.7	16.7	0.0	0.0	100% (6)
Overall	62.8	24.7	8.5	2.6	0.0	0.0	100% (352)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire



TABLE A4D.47

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF THE RANGE OF TOPICS COVERED IN RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

Age	Very Useful 1	2	3	4	Of No Use 5	Items Never Used 6	(N)
25 or below	70.6%	17.6%	5.9%	3.5%	0.0%	2.4%	100% ( 85)
26-35	56.7	29.9	10.4	2.2	0.0	.7	100% (134)
36-45	66.3	21.7	7.2	2.4	0.0	2.4	100% ( 83)
46-55	60.9	26.1	10.9	2.2	0.0	0.0	100% ( 46)
56-65	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% ( 10)
Over 65	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----- ( 3 )
Overall	62.6	25.2	8.3	2.5	0.0	1.4	100% (361)
Sex							
Male	56.3	29.8	8.6	2.6	0.0	2.7	100% (151)
Female	67.8	20.6	8.5	2.5	0.0	.5	100% (199)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire  
 \* Where N<5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4D.48

EVALUATION OF USEFULNESS OF QUALITY OF MATERIAL  
SELECTED FOR RIE BY RESPONDENTS' OCCUPATION

	Very Useful 1	2	3	4	Of No Use 5	Item Never Used 6	(N)
<u>Occupation</u>							
Administration or Supervision	32.4%	43.2%	18.9%	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%	100% (37)
Teaching	42.7	46.7	6.7	2.7	0.0	1.3	100% (75)
Pupil Personnel Services	----	----	----	----	----	----	(2)*
Research and Development	42.5	30.0	15.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	100% (40)
Library or Instruc- tional Resources	50.0	36.5	7.7	3.8	1.9	0.0	100% (52)
Consulting	63.6	0.0	18.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% (11)
Undergraduate Student	30.8	38.5	23.1	0.0	0.0	7.7	100% (13)
Graduate Student	38.6	36.6	17.8	6.9	0.0	0.0	100% (101)
Other	40.0	26.7	26.7	0.0	0.0	6.7	100% (15)
Overall	41.9	37.0	14.2	5.8	.3	.9	100% (346)
<u>Conducted Research</u>							
Yes	45.9	36.5	11.8	5.3	0.0	.6	100% (170)
No	37.4	36.8	17.4	6.5	.6	1.3	100% (155)
<u>Published</u>							
Yes	33.3	37.5	17.7	10.4	0.0	1.0	100% (96)
No	45.1	36.5	13.5	3.7	.4	.8	100% (244)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N&lt;5, calculations have been omitted

TABLE A4D.49

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF QUALITY OF MATERIAL SELECTED  
FOR RIE BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

<u>Primary Association</u>	Very Useful				Item Never Used		(N)
	1	2	3	4	5		
Preschool	40.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100%	(5)
Elementary	49.1	37.7	13.2	0.0	0.0	100%	(53)
Secondary	63.6	27.3	4.5	4.5	0.0	100%	(22)
College or University	40.1	37.1	14.4	7.2	1.2	100%	(167)
State Dept. of Education	11.1	44.4	22.2	22.2	0.0	100%	(9)
Regional Educational Lab	20.0	44.0	28.0	8.0	0.0	100%	(25)
R & D Center	33.3	33.3	22.2	11.1	0.0	100%	(9)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Other Federal Agency	60.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	100%	(5)
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	(2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Other	50.0	28.9	15.8	2.6	2.6	100%	(38)
Overall	42.0	36.7	14.6	5.8	.9	100%	(343)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5, calculations have been omitted

TABLE A4D.50

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF QUALITY OF MATERIAL SELECTED FOR RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> 1	2	3	4	<u>Of no Use</u> 5	<u>Item Never Used</u> 6	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	38.5%	38.5%	15.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	100% (13)
Bachelor's	48.6	33.3	12.4	4.8	0.0	1.0	100% (105)
Master's	39.2	35.8	17.6	6.3	.6	.6	100% (176)
Specialist's	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Doctorate	28.2	46.2	15.4	10.3	0.0	0.0	100% (39)
Other	16.7	50.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% (6)
Overall	40.8	36.4	15.7	5.8	.3	.9	100% (343)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4D.51

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF QUALITY OF MATERIAL SELECTED IN RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

<u>Age</u>	<u>Very Useful 1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>Of No Use 5</u>	<u>Items Never Used 6</u>	<u>(N)</u>
25 or below	49.4%	36.5%	11.8%	1.2%	0.0%	1.2%	100% ( 85)
26-35	32.6	38.8	19.4	8.5	.8	0.0	100% (129)
36-45	42.0	37.0	13.6	4.9	0.0	2.5	100% ( 81)
46-55	48.8	25.6	16.3	9.3	0.0	0.0	100% ( 43)
56-65	40.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% ( 10)
Over 65	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- ( 3)*
Overall	41.0	36.8	15.4	5.7	.3	.9	100% (351)
<u>Sex</u>							
Male	32.5	39.7	18.5	7.3	0.0	2.0	100% (151)
Female	47.4	34.2	13.2	4.7	.5	0.0	100% (190)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4D.52

EVALUATION OF THE TIMELINESS OF RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

<u>Primary Association</u>	Very Useful				Item Never Used		(N)
	1	2	3	4	5		
Preschool	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%	16.7%	0.0	100%	(6)
Elementary	51.1	25.5	19.1	0.0	4.3	100%	(47)
Secondary	63.6	27.3	9.1	0.0	0.0	100%	(22)
College or University	46.8	34.5	12.3	4.7	1.8	100%	(171)
State Dept. of Education	22.2	55.6	22.2	0.0	0.0	100%	(9)
Regional Educational Lab	12.5	58.3	25.0	0.0	0.0	100%	(24)
R & D Center	62.5	25.0	12.5	4.2	0.0	100%	(8)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Other Federal Agency	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	(2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Other	43.2	37.8	16.2	2.7	0.0	100%	(37)
Overall	45.0	34.9	14.8	3.6	1.8	100%	(338)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5, calculations have been omitted.

EVALUATION OF THE TIMELINESS OF RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> 1	2	3	4	<u>Of no Use</u> 5	<u>Item Never Used</u> 6	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	15.4%	46.2%	23.1%	0.0	0.0	15.4	100% (13)
Bachelor's	49.0	31.4	14.7	2.9	0.0	2.0	100% (102)
Master's	44.7	36.3	15.6	2.2	0.0	1.1	100% (179)
Specialist's	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (4)*
Doctorate	33.3	41.7	13.9	8.3	2.8	0.0	100% (36)
Other	16.7	50.0	16.7	16.7	0.0	0.0	100% (6)
Overall	43.5	35.6	15.6	3.2	.3	1.8	100% (340)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4D.54

## EVALUATION OF THE TIMELINESS OF RIE BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

Age	Very Useful 1	2	3	4	Of No Use 5	Items Never Used 6	(N)
25 or below	51.8%	25.3%	16.9%	2.4%	0.0%	3.6%	100% ( 83)
26-35	29.5	46.5	18.6	3.9	0.0	1.6	100% (129)
36-45	53.7	28.7	11.2	3.7	0.0	2.5	100% ( 80)
46-55	46.5	39.5	9.3	2.3	2.3	0.0	100% ( 43)
56-65	60.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% ( 10)
Over 65	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- ( 2)*
Overall	43.5	35.7	15.3	3.2	.3	2.0	100% (347)
Sex							
Male	36.1	38.1	19.7	3.4	.7	2.0	100% (147)
Female	49.2	33.5	12.6	3.1	0.0	1.6	100% (191)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire  
 \* where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.



TABLE A4D.55

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF DOCUMENT SECTION IN RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

Primary Association	Very Useful				Item Never Used		(N)
	1	2	3	4	5		
Preschool	33.3%	50.0%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	100%	(6)
Elementary	31.0	31.0	14.3	2.4	21.4	100%	(42)
Secondary	21.1	31.6	26.3	0.0	21.1	100%	(19)
College or University	30.3	23.9	19.4	7.1	19.3	100%	(155)
State Dept. of Education	28.6	42.9	0.0	28.6	0.0	100%	(7)
Regional Educa- tional Lab	30.4	34.8	21.7	13.0	0.0	100%	(23)
R & D Center	33.3	33.3	16.7	16.7	0.0	100%	(6)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	----	(2)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Other Federal Agency	----	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	(2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Other	35.5	16.1	16.1	6.4	25.8	100%	(31)
Overall	31.2	26.9	17.9	7.0	16.9	100%	(301)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4D.56

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF THE DOCUMENT SECTION IN RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Very Useful 1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>Of no Use 5</u>	<u>Item Never Used 6</u>	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	14.3%	28.6%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	100% (14)
Bachelor's	32.3	20.2	17.2	2.0	3.0	25.2	100% (99)
Master's	31.8	28.4	18.2	6.8	2.7	12.2	100% (148)
Specialist's	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (4)*
Doctorate	26.5	41.2	17.6	8.8	0.0	5.9	100% (34)
Other	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% (6)
Overall	29.8	27.4	18.5	4.9	2.3	17.0	100% (305)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4D.57

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF ACCESSION NUMBERS SECTION IN RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

Primary Association	Very Useful				Item Not Used		(N)
	1	2	3	4	5		
Preschool	0.0%	80.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	100%	(5)
Elementary	20.5	35.9	17.9	5.2	20.5	100%	(39)
Secondary	33.3	22.2	22.2	5.6	16.7	100%	(18)
College or University	21.2	21.2	16.6	21.8	19.2	100%	(151)
State Dept. of Education	14.3	42.9	14.3	28.6	0.0	100%	(7)
Regional Educa- tional Lab	10.0	30.0	15.0	30.0	15.0	100%	(20)
R & D Center	12.5	25.0	25.0	25.0	12.5	100%	(8)
Professional Organizations	----	----	----	----	----	----	(2)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Other Federal Agency	----	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	(2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Other	23.3	13.3	16.7	10.0	36.7	100%	(30)
Overall	20.7	25.2	16.7	18.2	18.9	100%	(290)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5 calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4D.58

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF ACCESSION NUMBERS SECTION IN RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

Degree Level	<u>Very Useful</u> 1	2	3	4	<u>Of no Use</u> 5	<u>Item Never Used</u> 6	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	8.3%	8.3%	16.7%	8.3%	0.0%	58.3%	100% (12)
Bachelor's	25.8	21.5	15.1	8.6	6.5	22.6	100% (93)
Master's	16.6	27.6	20.0	14.5	6.2	15.2	100% (145)
Specialist's	----	----	----	----	----	----	100% (3)*
Doctorate	16.7	18.7	12.5	21.9	0.0	15.6	100% (32)
Other	16.7	0.0	16.7	16.7	0.0	50.0	100% (6)
Overall	20.6	23.7	17.2	13.4	5.2	19.9	100% (291)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4D.59

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF ARRANGEMENT WITHIN SECTIONS  
IN RIE BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

Primary Association	Very Useful 1	2	3	4	Item Never Used 5	(N)
Preschool	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100% (5)
Elementary	27.5	40.0	15.0	5.0	12.5	100% (40)
Secondary	47.4	31.6	5.3	5.3	10.5	100% (19)
College or University	25.0	34.2	21.7	11.2	7.9	100% (152)
State Dept. of Education	14.3	57.1	14.3	14.3	0.0	100% (7)
Regional Educational Lab.	19.0	47.6	19.0	9.6	4.8	100% (21)
R & D Center	28.6	14.3	42.9	0.0	14.3	100% (7)
Professional Organization	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% (50)
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	---- (3)*
Other Federal Agency	----	----	----	----	----	---- (3)*
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	---- (2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	---- (1)*
Other	41.4	10.3	24.1	10.3	13.8	100% (29)
Overall	28.5	32.6	20.3	10.0	8.6	100% (291)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5 calculations have been omitted

TABLE A4D.60

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF ARRANGEMENT WITHIN SECTIONS IN RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> 1	2	3	4	<u>Of no Use</u> 5	<u>Item Never Used</u> 6	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	9-1%	27.3%	9.1%	18.2%	0.0%	36.4%	100% (11)
Bachelor's	37.1	25.8	30.6	7.2	3.1	6.2	100% (97)
Master's	24.5	37.8	21.7	5.6	2.8	7.7	100% (143)
Specialist's	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (3)*
Doctorate	30.3	36.4	18.2	9.1	0.0	6.1	100% (33)
Other	16.7	0.0	33.3	16.7	0.0	33.3	100% (6)
Overall	28.3	32.4	21.2	7.2	2.4	8.5	100% (293)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4D.61

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF ARRANGEMENT WITHIN SECTIONS IN RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

<u>Age</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> <u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>Of No Use</u> <u>5</u>	<u>Items Never Used</u> <u>6</u>	<u>(N)</u>
25 or below	33.3%	30.8%	16.7%	9.0%	3.8%	6.4%	100% ( 78)
26-35	22.5	36.9	24.3	6.3	1.8	8.1	100% (111)
36-45	30.4	33.3	17.4	5.8	2.9	10.1	100% ( 69)
46-55	21.9	25.0	28.1	9.4	0.0	15.6	100% ( 32)
56-65	50.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% ( 8)
Over 65	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- ( 2)*
Overall	28.0	32.7	21.0	7.3	2.3	8.7	100% (300)
<u>Sex</u>							
Male	23.7	33.6	21.4	9.9	2.3	9.2	100% (131)
Female	51.9	31.9	21.2	5.0	2.5	7.5	100% (160)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4D.62

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF CONTENTS OF RESUMES IN RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

	Very Useful 1	2	3	4	Item Never Used 5		(N)
<u>Primary Association</u>							
Preschool	60.0%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100%	(5)
Elementary	52.2	28.3	8.7	6.5	4.3	100%	(46)
Secondary	70.0	25.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	100%	(20)
College or University	50.6	26.2	13.4	3.7	6.1	100%	(164)
State Dept. of Education	22.2	77.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	100%	(9)
Regional Educa- tional Lab	21.7	56.5	17.4	4.3	0.0	100%	(23)
R & D Center	44.4	44.4	11.1	0.0	0.0	100%	(9)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Other Federal Agency	60.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	100%	(5)
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	(2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Other	57.1	28.6	2.9	5.7	5.7	100%	(35)
Overall	50.0	31.6	10.1	4.0	4.3	100%	(326)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5 calculations have been omitted.



EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF THE CONTENT OF RESUMES IN RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

Degree Level	Very Useful 1	2	3	4	Of no Use 5	Item Never Used 6	N
High School Diploma	42.9%	28.6%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	100% (14)
Bachelor's	55.9	24.5	11.8	2.9	0.0	4.9	100% (102)
Master's	49.4	32.7	10.7	2.4	1.2	3.6	100% (168)
Specialist's	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% (15)
Doctorate	36.4	42.4	9.1	9.1	0.0	3.0	100% (33)
Other	33.3	33.3	16.7	16.7	0.0	0.0	100% (6)
Overall	49.7	31.1	11.0	3.4	.6	4.3	100% (328)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A4D.64

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF CONTENTS OF RESUMES IN RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

<u>Age</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> <u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>Of No Use</u> <u>5</u>	<u>Items Never Used</u> <u>6</u>	<u>(N)</u>
25 or below	51.9%	23.5%	12.3%	4.9%	0.0%	7.4%	100% ( 81)
26-35	46.8	34.9	10.3	3.2	0.0	4.8	100% (126)
36-45	44.9	37.2	10.3	2.6	2.6	2.6	100% ( 78)
46-55	62.5	22.5	12.5	2.5	0.0	0.0	100% ( 40)
56-65	57.1	42.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% ( 7)
Over 65	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----- ( 3) *
Overall	49.9	31.0	10.7	3.6	.6	4.2	100% (335)
<u>Sex</u>							
Male	39.2	39.2	13.3	3.5	0.0	4.9	100% (143)
Female	57.9	24.6	9.3	3.3	1.1	3.8	100% (183)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4D.65

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF NUMBERING SYSTEM IN RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

<u>Primary Association</u>	Very Useful				Item Never Used		
	1	2	3	4	5	(N)	
Preschool	0.0%	66.7%	16.7%	16.7%	0.0%	100%	(6)
Elementary	34.8	41.3	17.4	4.3	2.2	100%	(46)
Secondary	57.9	26.3	10.5	5.3	0.0	100%	(19)
College or University	32.9	30.4	19.0	10.1	7.6	100%	(158)
State Department of Education	25.0	25.0	12.5	25.0	12.5	100%	(8)
Regional Educational Lab	17.4	26.1	34.8	17.4	4.3	100%	(23)
R & D Center	28.6	28.6	14.3	28.6	0.0	100%	(7)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Other Federal Agency	----	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	(2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Other	58.1	12.9	22.6	3.2	3.2	100%	(31)
Overall	36.0	29.9	19.6	9.3	5.1	100%	(311)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5, calculations have been omitted

TABLE A4D.66

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF THE NUMBERING SYSTEM IN RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> 1	2	3	4	<u>Of no Use</u> 5	<u>Item Never Used</u> 6	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	45.5%	18.2%	18.2%	0.0%	0.0%	18.2%	100% (11)
Bachelor's	29.3	36.4	17.2	10.1	0.0	7.1	100% (99)
Master's	36.6	29.8	20.5	7.5	2.5	3.1	100% (161)
Specialist's	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	---- (3)*
Doctorate	45.5	21.2	21.2	6.1	0.0	6.1	100% (33)
Other	40.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	100% (5)
Overall	35.9	30.4	19.2	7.7	1.6	5.1	100% (312)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N<5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4D.67

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF THE NUMBERING SYSTEM IN RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

<u>Age</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> <u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>Of No Use</u> <u>5</u>	<u>Items Never Used</u> <u>6</u>	<u>(N)</u>
25 or below	36.2%	27.5%	17.5%	7.5%	0.0%	11.2%	100% ( 80)
26-35	27.5	31.7	22.5	12.5	2.5	3.3	100% (120)
36-45	39.2	33.8	20.3	4.1	1.4	1.4	100% ( 74)
46-55	40.0	34.3	17.1	0.0	2.9	5.7	100% ( 35)
56-65	77.8	11.1	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% ( 9)
Over 65	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----- ( 2)*
Overall	35.3	30.9	19.7	7.5	1.6	5.0	100% (320)
<u>Sex</u>							
Male	38.6	25.0	19.3	10.0	1.4	5.7	100% (140)
Female	32.9	35.3	18.4	5.9	1.8	4.7	100% (170)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4D.68

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF INTRODUCTORY INFORMATION  
IN RIE BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

<u>Primary Association</u>	Very Useful				Item Never Used		
	1	2	3	4	5		(N)
Preschool	16.7%	50.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	100%	(6)
Elementary	32.6	46.5	11.6	2.3	7.0	100%	(43)
Secondary	55.6	22.2	16.7	0.0	5.6	100%	(18)
College or University	29.9	33.1	17.5	7.7	11.7	100%	(154)
State Dept. of Education	25.0	37.5	12.5	25.0	0.0	100%	(8)
Regional Educational Lab	26.1	30.4	17.4	21.7	4.3	100%	(23)
R & D Center	42.9	14.3	28.6	4.3	0.0	100%	(7)
Professional Organization n	----	----	----	----	----	----	(2)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Other Federal Agency	----	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	(2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
ther	30.0	40.0	10.0	3.3	16.7	100%	(30)
Overall	31.6	34.9	16.3	8.0	9.3	100%	(301)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5, calculations have been omitted.

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF INTRODUCTORY INFORMATION IN RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

Degree Level	Very Useful 1	2	3	4	Of no Use 5	Item Never Used 6	N
High School Diploma	46.2%	7.7%	15.4%	7.7%	0.0%	23.1%	100% (10)
Bachelor's	34.0	30.9	15.5	4.1	3.1	12.4	100% (97)
Master's	26.3	38.8	16.4	8.6	2.0	7.9	100% (152)
Specialist's	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (3)*
Doctorate	42.4	27.3	18.2	6.1	0.0	6.1	100% (33)
Other	0.0	80.0	29.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% (5)
Overall	31.4	24.3	16.2	6.6	2.0	9.6	100% (303)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4D.70

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF INTRODUCTORY INFORMATION IN RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

Age	<u>Very Useful</u> <u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>Of No Use</u> <u>5</u>	<u>Items Never Used</u> <u>6</u>	<u>(N)</u>
25 or below	41.0%	23.1%	14.1%	3.8%	1.3%	16.7%	100% ( 78)
26-35	23.7	40.7	16.1	7.6	3.4	8.5	100% (118)
36-45	31.0	42.3	15.5	5.6	1.4	4.2	100% ( 71)
46-55	24.2	36.4	21.2	9.1	0.0	9.1	100% ( 33)
56-65	50.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% ( 8)
Over 65	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----- ( 2)*
Overall	30.6	35.5	16.1	6.5	1.9	9.4	100% (310)
<u>Sex</u>							
Male	27.4	34.1	14.1	11.1	2.2	11.1	100% (135)
Female	34.3	34.9	18.1	3.0	1.8	7.8	100% (166)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire  
 \* Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.



TABLE A4D.71

EVALUATION OF USEFULNESS OF INDEXING SYSTEM IN RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY OCCUPATION

	Very Useful 1	2	3	4	Of No Use 5	Items Never Used 6	(N)
<u>Occupation</u>							
Administration or Supervision	48.6%	31.4%	11.4%	8.6%	0.0%	0.0%	100% (35)
Teaching	35.3	45.6	14.7	1.5	0.0	2.9	100% (68)
Pupil Personnel Services	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	(2)*
Research and Development	45.9	35.1	16.2	0.0	0.0	2.7	100% (37)
Library or Instruc- tional Resources	37.3	35.3	9.8	13.7	0.0	3.9	100% (51)
Consulting	44.4	55.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% (9)
Undergraduate Student	38.5	7.7	23.1	15.4	0.0	15.4	100% (13)
Graduate Student	35.9	29.3	21.7	5.4	1.1	6.5	100% (92)
Other	36.4	36.4	9.1	9.1	0.0	9.1	100% (11)
Overall	39.0	34.6	15.7	6.0	.3	4.4	100% (318)
<u>Conducted Research</u>							
Yes	38.4	34.8	15.2	7.3	0.0	4.3	100% (164)
No	42.1	31.4	16.4	4.3	.7	5.0	100% (140)
<u>Published</u>							
Yes	38.2	34.8	16.9	5.6	0.0	4.5	100% (89)
No	29.7	34.1	15.3	6.1	.4	4.4	100% (229)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire  
 where N < 5, calculations have been omitted

TABLE A4D.72

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF INDEXING SYSTEM IN RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

<u>Primary Association</u>	Very Useful				Item Never Used		(N)
	1	2	3	4	5		
Preschool	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%	16.7%	0.0	100%	(6)
Elementary	34.1	47.7	13.6	0.0	4.5	100%	(44)
Secondary	60.0	30.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	100%	(20)
College or University	38.5	29.2	18.0	8.1	6.2	100%	(161)
State Dept. of Education	20.0	60.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	100%	(10)
Regional Educa- tional Lab	17.4	52.2	17.4	13.0	0.0	100%	(23)
R & D Center	62.5	36.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100%	(8)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Other Federal Agency	----	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	(2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Other	59.4	18.7	9.4	6.3	6.3	100%	(32)
Overall	39.7	34.1	15.5	6.3	4.4	100%	(317)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4D.73

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF THE INDEXING SYSTEM IN RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

Degree Level	Very Useful 1	2	3	4	Of no Use 5	Item Never Used 6	N
High School Diploma	38.5%	23.1%	15.4%	7.7%	0.0%	15.4%	100% (13)
Bachelor's	40.0	30.0	18.0	7.0	0.0	5.0	100% (100)
Master's	50.3	35.8	13.2	6.9	.6	3.1	100% (159)
Specialist's	20.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% (5)
Doctorate	41.7	38.9	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% (36)
Other	33.3	16.7	33.3	16.7	0.0	0.0	100% (6)
Overall	39.8	33.9	15.7	6.3	.3	4.1	100% (319)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A4D.74

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF INDEXING SYSTEM IN RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

<u>Age</u>	<u>Very Useful 1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>Of No Use 5</u>	<u>Items Never Used 6</u>	<u>(N)</u>
25 or below	36.2%	25.0%	22.5%	7.5%	0.0%	8.7%	100% ( 80)
26-35	36.6	36.6	15.4	8.1	.8	2.4	100% (123)
36-45	40.0	44.0	12.0	2.7	0.0	1.3	100% ( 75)
46-55	45.9	29.7	13.5	2.7	0.0	8.1	100% ( 37)
56-65	44.4	44.4	0.0	0.0	.3	4.3	100% ( 9)
Over 65	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----- ( 2)*
Overall	38.7	35.0	15.6	6.1	.3	4.3	100% (326)
<u>Sex</u>							
Male	35.2	33.8	17.6	7.7	0.0	5.6	100% (142)
Female	43.4	33.7	14.3	5.1	.6	2.9	100% (175)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4D.75

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF FORMAT AND TYPOGRAPHY IN RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

Primary Association	Very Useful 1	2	3	4	Item Never Used 5	(N)
Preschool	50.0%	16.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	100% (6)
Elementary	27.7	46.8	17.0	6.4	2.1	100% (47)
Secondary	55.0	20.0	15.0	10.0	0.0	100% (20)
College or University	34.4	30.7	14.7	17.2	3.1	100% (163)
State Dept. of Education	22.2	44.4	22.2	11.1	0.0	100% (9)
Regional Educational Lab	18.2	36.4	36.4	9.1	0.0	100% (22)
R & D Center	37.5	50.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	100% (8)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	---- (3)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	---- (4)*
Other Federal Agency	----	----	----	----	----	---- (3)*
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	---- (2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	---- (1)*
Other	34.4	28.1	25.0	9.4		100% (32)
Overall	34.1	32.8	17.8	13.1	2.2	100% (320)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5 calculations have been omitted,

TABLE A4D.7b

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF FORMAT AND TYPOGRAPHY IN RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

<u>Age</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> <u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>Of No Use</u> <u>5</u>	<u>Items Never Used</u> <u>6</u>	<u>(N)</u>
25 or below	38.6%	30.1%	18.1%	7.2%	0.0%	6.0%	100% ( 83)
26-35	30.4	33.6	19.2	12.8	2.4	1.6	100% (125)
36-45	29.7	36.5	16.2	14.9	2.7	0.0	100% ( 74)
46-55	38.9	30.6	19.4	11.1	0.0	0.0	100% ( 36)
56-65	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% ( 9)
Over 65	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	---- ( 2)*
Overall	34.0	53.1	17.6	11.6	1.5	2.1	100% (329)
<u>Sex</u>							
Male	29.4	32.2	18.2	17.5	0.0	2.8	100% (143)
Female	37.6	32.6	18.5	7.3	2.2	1.7	100% (178)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4D.77

PREFERRED TREATMENT OF UNAVAILABLE DOCUMENTS  
LISTED IN RIE  
BY INDIVIDUAL USERS

	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Number</u>
Designated by a symbol	44.3	219
Put in a separate section	16.6	82
Put in a separate publication	5.9	29
Omitted from RIE	4.9	24
Other	2.0	10
No Response	<u>26.3</u>	<u>130</u>
	100.0	494

TABLE A4D.77a

NUMBER OF DOCUMENTS ORDERED FROM AN INFORMATION  
CENTER OR LIBRARY DURING 1970  
AS A RESULT OF HAVING READ A CITATION OR ABSTRACT IN RIE  
BY INDIVIDUAL USERS

	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Number</u>
None	30.0	148
1-5	17.6	87
6-10	11.5	57
More Than 10	17.4	86
No Response	<u>23.5</u>	<u>116</u>
	100.0	494

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A4D.78

PREFERRED TREATMENT OF UNAVAILABLE DOCUMENTS  
LISTED IN RIE  
BY RIE SUBSCRIBERS

	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Number</u>
Designated by a symbol	53.3	239
Put in a separate section	17.2	77
Put in a separate publication	5.4	24
Omitted from RIE	8.9	40
Other	4.5	20
No Response	<u>10.7</u>	<u>48</u>
	100.0	448

TABLE A4D.78a

NUMBER OF DOCUMENTS ORDERED FROM AN INFORMATION  
CENTER OR LIBRARY DURING 1970  
AS A RESULT OF HAVING READ A CITATION OR ABSTRACT IN RIE  
BY RIE SUBSCRIBERS

	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Number</u>
None	15.4	69
1-5	25.4	114
6-10	19.0	85
More Than 10	33.7	151
No Response	<u>6.5</u>	<u>29</u>
	100.0	448

---

Source: RIE Questionnaire



TABLE A4D.79

PREFERRED TREATMENT OF UNAVAILABLE DOCUMENTS NOW LISTED IN RIE  
BY ORGANIZATIONS

	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
Designated by a symbol	124	57.8
Put in a separate section	30	14.2
Put in a separate publication	19	8.9
Omitted from RIE	21	9.8
Other	20	9.3

N = 214

---

Source: Organization Questionnaire

TABLE A4D.80

PREFERRED TREATMENT OF UNAVAILABLE DOCUMENTS NOW LISTED IN RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

<u>Primary Association</u>	<u>Designated By a Symbol</u>	<u>Put in a Separate Section</u>	<u>Put in a Separate Publication</u>	<u>Omitted From RIE</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>(N)</u>
Preschool	80.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100% (5)
Elementary	67.9	13.2	13.2	3.8	1.9	100% (53)
Secondary	50.0	20.0	15.0	15.0	0.0	100% (20)
College or University	59.0	24.9	8.1	4.0	4.0	100% (173)
State Dept. of Education	70.0	20.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	100% (10)
Regional Educational Lab	37.5	41.7	8.3	12.5	0.0	100% (24)
R & D Center	55.6	44.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% (9)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	100% (3)*
OE Research Office	----	----	----	----	----	100% (4)
Other Federal Agency	----	----	----	----	----	100% (4)
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	100% (2)
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	100% (1)
Other	68.4	15.8	2.6	13.2	0.0	100% (38)
Overall	59.8	22.8	8.1	6.4	0.0	100% (346)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N=5, calculations have been omitted

TABLE A4D.81

NUMBER OF DOCUMENTS OBTAINED FROM AN INFORMATION CENTER OR LIBRARY  
AS A RESULT OF HAVING READ A CITATION IN RIE  
BY RESPONDENTS' PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

<u>Primary Association</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>More Than 10</u>	<u>N</u>
Preschool	66.7%	16.7%	16.7%	0.0%	100% (6)
Elementary	56.4	27.3	5.5	10.9	100% (55)
Secondary	36.4	22.7	27.3	13.6	100% (22)
College or University	38.7	20.8	15.6	24.9	100% (173)
State Department of Education	20.0	30.0	20.0	30.0	100% (10)
Regional Educa- tional Labor- atory	15.4	23.1	19.2	42.3	100% (26)
Research and Development Center	37.5	37.5	0.0	25.0	100% (8)
Professional Organization	---	---	---	---	--- (3)*
Office of Education Regional Office	---	---	---	---	--- (4)*
Other Federal Agency	40.0	40.0	0.0	20.0	100% (5)
Local or Regional Information Center	---	---	---	---	--- (2)*
Business or Industry	---	---	---	---	--- (1)*
Other	32.6	20.9	18.6	27.9	100% (43)
Overall	38.3	22.6	15.4	23.7	100% (358)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A4D.82

CONDUCTED OR PARTICIPATED IN BASIC OR APPLIED RESEARCH  
PROJECTS WITHIN THE PAST 5 YEARS  
BY RIE SUBSCRIBERS

<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>No Response</u>
231	203	12
(51.6)	(45.3)	(2.7)

---

Source: RIE Questionnaire

TABLE A4D.83

BOOKS OR PAPERS ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION OR FOR  
PRESENTATION AT MEETINGS

<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>No Response</u>
173	264	9
(38.6)	(58.9)	(2.0)

N = 448

NUMBER OF BOOKS OR PAPERS PUBLISHED

<u>More than 10</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>2-5</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No Estimate</u>	<u>No Response</u>
22	22	96	26	5	275
(4.9)	(4.9)	(21.4)	(5.8)	(1.1)	(61.4)

N = 448

---

Source: RIE Questionnaire

TABLE A4D.84

NUMBER OF TIMES ACCUMULATED INDEXES IN RIE  
WAS USED DURING 1970  
BY RESPONDENTS' PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>11-25</u>	<u>More Than</u> <u>25</u>	<u>N</u>
Preschool	40.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	100% (5)
Elementary	36.5	21.2	15.4	0.0	100% (52)
Secondary	26.9	23.1	11.5	19.2	100% (26)
College or University	34.9	13.8	28.0	3.7	100% (189)
State Department of Education	25.0	8.3	41.7	0.0	100% (12)
Regional Educational Laboratory	22.7	0.0	68.2	0.0	100% (22)
Research and Development Center	33.3	25.0	25.0	16.7	100% (12)
Professional Organization	---	---	---	---	--- (2)*
Office of Education Regional Office	---	---	---	---	--- (4)*
Other Federal Agency	37.5	12.5	37.5	12.5	100% (8)
Local or Regional Information Center	---	---	---	---	--- (2)*
Business or Industry	---	---	---	---	--- (1)*
Other	27.5	12.5	40.0	2.5	100% (40)
Overall	32.5	14.7	9.9	4.3	100% (375)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where  $N < 5$ , calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4D.85

NUMBER OF TIMES DURING 1970 ACCUMULATED INDEXES FOR RIE  
WAS USED BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>11-25</u>	<u>More Than 25</u>	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	42.9%	28.6%	0.0%	21.4%	7.1%	100% (14)
Bachelor's	24.0	37.2	15.7	18.2	5.0	100% (121)
Master's	13.5	30.1	17.6	34.2	4.7	100% (193)
Specialist's	33.3	33.3	16.7	16.7	0.0	100% (6)
Doctorate	29.3	26.8	4.9	39.0	0.0	100% (41)
Other	28.6	42.9	14.3	14.3	0.0	100% (7)
Overall	20.2	32.2	14.9	28.5	4.2	100% (382)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A4D.86

NUMBER OF TIMES ACCUMULATED INDEXES FOR RIE

WAS USED DURING 1970  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

Age	None	1-5	6-10	11-25	More Than 25	(N)
25 or Below	24.7%	36.5%	16.5%	17.6%	4.7%	100% (85)
25-35	13.9	31.3	15.3	34.0	5.6	100% (144)
36-45	23.9	33.7	9.8	31.5	1.1	100% (92)
46-55	20.4	31.5	18.5	25.9	3.7	100% (54)
56-65	20.0	10.0	20.0	50.0	0.0	100% (10)
Over 65	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	---- (2) *
Overall	19.6	32.6	14.7	29.2	3.9	100% (387)
Sex						
Male	21.0	30.0	13.1	31.3	4.5	100% (176)
Female	19.2	34.0	16.3	26.6	3.9	100% (203)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.



TABLE A4D.27

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF ACCUMULATED INDEXES FOR RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

Primary Association	Very Useful 1	2	3	4	Of No Use 5	Item Never Used 6	(N)
Preschool	16.7%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	100% (6)
Elementary	60.4	15.1	5.7	1.9	0.0	17.0	100% (53)
Secondary	54.2	20.8	8.3	0.0	0.0	16.7	100% (24)
College or University	56.1	17.1	6.8	2.9	1.0	16.1	100% (205)
State Dept. of Education	70.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	100% (20)
Regional Educational Lab	61.5	19.2	7.7		0.0	0.0	100% (26)
R & D Center	54.5	27.3	0.0	1	0.0	9.1	100% (11)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (3)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (4) *
Other Federal Agency	66.7	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	22.2	100% (9)
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (1) *
Business Industry	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (1) *
Other	52.4	7.1	16.7	2.4	0.0	21.4	100% (42)
Overall	57.0	15.9	8.1	3.0	.5	15.4	100% (395)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted

TABLE A4D.88

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF ACCUMULATED RIE INDEXES  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Very</u> <u>Useful</u> <u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>Of</u> <u>No</u> <u>Use</u> <u>5</u>	<u>Item</u> <u>Never</u> <u>Used</u> <u>6</u>	<u>Item</u> <u>Not</u> <u>Available</u> <u>7</u>	<u>(N)</u>
High School Diploma	37.5%	12.5%	6.3%	0.0%	6.3%	37.5%	0.0%	100% (16)
Bachelor's	49.2	15.1	11.1	4.0	0.0	19.8	.8	100%(126)
Master's	62.9	16.3	7.4	2.5	0.0	9.9	1.0	100%(202)
Specialist's	37.5	12.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	25.0	12.5	100% (8)
Doctorate	54.5	22.7	4.5	6.8	0.0	9.1	2.3	100% (44)
Other	57.1	0.0	14.3	14.3	14.3	0.0	0.0	100% (7)
Overall	56.1	16.1	8.4	3.5	.5	14.1	1.2	100%(403)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF ACCUMULATED INDEXES FOR RIE  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

Age	Very Useful 1	2	3	4	Of No Use 5	Items Never Used 6	Items Not Avail- able 7	N
25 or Below	49.5%	18.9%	5.3%	1.1%	2.1%	22.1%	1.0%	100% (95)
26-35	57.1	18.6	7.7	2.6	0.0	13.5	.6	100% (156)
36-45	56.1	15.3	12.2	1.0	0.0	11.2	4.2	100% (98)
46-55	59.2	10.2	8.2	12.2	0.0	10.2	0.0	100% (49)
56-65	77.8	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	100% (9)
Over 65	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	(2)*
Overall	55.7	16.6	8.1	3.2	.5	14.4	1.5	100% (409)
Sex								
Male	51.1	17.6	8.5	4.5	1.1	15.9	1.2	100% (176)
Female	60.8	14.9	8.1	2.3	0.0	12.6	1.3	100% (222)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N &lt; 5, calculations have been omitted.



VOLUME IV - Appendix  
CURRENT INDEX TO JOURNALS IN EDUCATION



TABLE A4E.1

ESTIMATED NUMBER OF CIJE USERS DURING A TYPICAL WEEK

<u>Organizations</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>11-15</u>	<u>16-20</u>	<u>21-25</u>	<u>26+</u>	<u>(N)</u>
Pre-School	--	--	--	--	--	--	(0)
Elementary School	--	--	--	--	--	--	(0)
Secondary School	--	1	--	--	--	--	(1)
College or University	15	7	2	5	7	18	(54)
State Dept. of Education	18	2	6	3	1	2	(32)
Regional Ed. Laboratory	5	3	1	--	--	1	(10)
Research & Dev. Center	2	3	--	--	--	--	(5)
Professional Organization	3	--	1	--	--	1	(5)
Office of Ed. Regional Office	6	--	--	--	--	--	(6)
Other Federal Agency	2	2	--	--	--	1	(5)
Local or Reg. Inf. Center	4	--	--	--	--	1	(5)
Business or Industry	2	1	--	--	--	--	(3)
Other	14	5	1	2	--	1	(23)
Overall	71	24	11	10	8	25	(149)

---

 Source: Organization Questionnaire

TABLE A4E.2

NUMBER OF TIMES CIJE WAS USED DURING 1970 BY INDIVIDUAL USERS

	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Number</u>
None	29.1	144
1-5	33.3	164
6-10	13.4	66
More than 10	10.9	54
No Response	13.3	66
	<hr/> 100.0	<hr/> 494

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A4E.3

NUMBER OF TIMES CIJE USED DURING 1970  
BY CIJE SUBSCRIBERS

	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
Never	3	1.5
1 - 5 times	39	19.2
6 - 10 times	50	24.6
More than 10 times	98	48.3
No answer	12	5.9
	—	—
	203	100.0

---

Source: CIJE Questionnaire

TABLE A4E.4

USE OF CURRENT INDEX TO JOURNALS IN EDUCATION IN 1970  
BY RESPONDENTS OCCUPATION

<u>Occupation</u>	<u>Never</u>	<u>1-5 times/yr.</u>	<u>6 times/yr.</u>	<u>N</u>
Administration	4.0%	20.0%	76.0%	100% (25)
Teaching	9.1	18.2	72.8	100% (11)
R & D	3.3	30.3	66.7	100% (30)
Library	0.0	18.6	81.3	100% (102)
Consulting	0.0	40.0	60.0	100% (5)
Others*	0.0	0.0	100.0	100% (7)
Overall	1.7	20.6	77.8	100% (120)
<u>Conducted Research</u>				
Yes	2.4	19.8	78.3	100% (83)
No	1.0	20.8	78.1	100% (96)
<u>Published</u>				
Yes	3.0	27.3	69.7	100% (66)
No	0.9	15.4	73.5	100% (181)

Source: CIJE Questionnaire

\* Others includes Pupil Personnel Services (N = 3), Undergraduate (N = 0), Graduate (N = 1) and "other" (N = 3).



TABLE A4E.5

NUMBER OF TIMES CIJE HAS BEEN USED  
DURING 1970  
BY RESPONDENTS' PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

	<u>None</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>More Than</u> <u>10</u>	<u>N</u>
Preschool	16.7%	50.0%	0.0%	33.3%	100% (6)
Elementary	29.8	40.4	21.1	8.8	100% (57)
Secondary	33.3	29.6	22.2	14.8	100% (27)
College or University	34.3	38.6	12.6	14.5	100% (207)
State Department of Education	27.3	45.5	9.1	18.2	100% (11)
Regional Educational Laboratory	32.0	28.0	24.0	16.0	100% (25)
Research and Development Center	45.5	36.4	9.1	9.1	100% (11)
Professional Organization	---	---	---	---	--- (2)*
Office of Educational Research Office	---	---	---	---	--- (4)*
Other Federal Agency	25.0	50.0	12.5	12.5	100% (8)
Local or Regional Information Center	---	---	---	---	--- (2)*
Business or Industry	---	---	---	---	--- (1)*
Other	35.6	40.0	20.0	4.4	100% (45)
Overall	33.0	38.4	16.0	12.6	100% (406)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4E.6

NUMBER OF TIMES CIJE HAS BEEN USED DURING 1970  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>More Than 10</u>	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	62.5%	31.5%	6.3%	0.0%	100% (16)
Bachelor's	33.1	48.5	12.5	5.9	100% (136)
Master's	29.2	33.2	19.3	18.3	100% (202)
Specialist's	66.7	16.7	0.0	16.7	100% (6)
Doctorate	36.4	34.1	18.2	11.4	100% (44)
Other	42.9	42.9	14.3	0.0	100% (7)
Overall	33.3	38.2	16.1	12.4	100% (411)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A4E.7

NUMBER OF TIMES CIJE HAS BEEN USED DURING 1970  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE  
AND SEX

	<u>None</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>More Than 10</u>	<u>N</u>
<u>Age</u>					
25 or below	40.2%	39.2%	13.4%	7.2%	100% (97)
26-35	32.7	37.1	12.6	17.6	100% (159)
36-45	35.0	37.0	17.0	11.0	100% (100)
46-55	25.0	44.2	19.2	11.5	100% (52)
56-65	16.7	33.3	41.7	8.3	100% (12)
Over 65	----	----	----	----	---- (1)*
Overall	33.5	38.2	15.4	12.8	100% (421)
<u>Sex</u>					
Male	37.9	33.5	17.6	11.0	100% (182)
Female	29.2	42.5	14.6	13.7	100% (226)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4E.8

DISTRIBUTION OF CIJE  
SUBSCRIBERS BY OCCUPATION

<u>Occupation</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Number</u>
Administration	12.3	25
Teaching	5.9	12
Pupil Personnel Services	1.5	3
Research & Development	14.8	30
Library	54.7	111
Consulting	2.5	5
Undergraduate Student	0.0	0
Graduate Student	.5	1
Other	2.0	4
No response	5.4	11
	<hr/> 100.0	<hr/> 203

---

Source: CIJE questionnaire

TABLE A4E.9

DISTRIBUTION OF CIJE RESPONDENT  
SUBSCRIBERS BY INSTITUTIONAL AFFILIATION

<u>Affiliation</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Number</u>
Pre-School	0.0	0
Elementary School	2.5	5
Secondary School	2.0	4
College or University	54.7	111
State Department of Education	7.4	15
Regional Educational Laboratory	0.0	0
Research & Development Center	3.9	8
Professional Organization	2.5	5
Office of Education Regional Office	0.0	0
Other Federal Agency	2.0	4
Local or Regional Information Center	6.9	14
Business or Industry	3.4	7
Other	12.3	25
No response	2.5	5
	<hr/> 100.0	<hr/> 205

Source: CIJE Questionnaire

TABLE . A4E.10

RESPONSE TO CIJE  
QUESTIONNAIRE BY STATES

<u>State</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Number</u>
Alabama	0.0	0	Nebraska	.5	1
Alaska	.5	1	Nevada	0.0	0
Arizona	2.0	4	New Hampshire	.5	1
Arkansas	1.0	2	New Jersey	3.4	7
California	8.4	17	New Mexico	1.0	2
Colorado	2.0	4	New York	7.9	16
Connecticut	.5	1	N. Carolina	3.0	6
Delaware	0.0	0	N. Dakota	0.0	0
Florida	2.0	4	Ohio	2.5	5
Georgia	3.0	6	Oklahoma	1.0	2
Hawaii	.5	1	Oregon	1.0	2
Idaho	0.0	0	Pennsylvania	7.4	15
Illinois	4.4	9	Rhode Island	1.0	2
Indiana	2.0	5	S. Carolina	2.0	4
Iowa	2.0	4	S. Dakota	1.5	3
Kansas	1.0	2	Tennessee	2.0	4
Kentucky	.5	1	Texas	2.5	5
Louisiana	0.0	0	Utah	3.4	7
Maine	1.5	3	Vermont	1.5	3
Maryland	2.5	5	Virginia	3.0	6
Massachusetts	4.4	9	Washington	1.5	3
Michigan	1.5	3	W. Virginia	.5	1
Minnesota	1.0	2	Wisconsin	2.5	5
Mississippi	1.0	2	Wyoming	2.5	5
Missouri	2.0	4	D.C.	4.4	9
Montana	0.0	0	Not Ascertain.	2.0	4

N = 203

---

Source: CIJE Questionnaire

TABLE A4E.11

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF CIJE SUBSCRIBERS FOR FEBRUARY, 1971  
BY GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION

<u>State</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
Alabama	10	.7	Montana	3	.2
Alaska	5	.3	Nebraska	10	.7
Arizona	14	.9	Nevada	5	.3
Arkansas	7	.5	New Hampshire	5	.3
California	125	8.2	New Jersey	55	3.6
Colorado	21	1.4	New Mexico	8	.5
Connecticut	21	1.4	New York	137	12.7
Delaware	4	.3	N. Carolina	27	1.8
Florida	37	2.4	N. Dakota	8	.5
Georgia	33	2.2	Ohio	49	3.2
Hawaii	11	.7	Oklahoma	12	.8
Idaho	5	.3	Oregon	28	1.8
Illinois	90	6.0	Pennsylvania	92	6.1
Indiana	38	2.5	Rhode Island	13	.8
Iowa	19	1.2	S. Carolina	11	.7
Kansas	10	.7	S. Dakota	7	.5
Kentucky	20	1.3	Tennessee	24	1.6
Louisiana	17	1.1	Texas	6	4.1
Maine	6	.4	Utah	17	1.2
Maryland	29	1.9	Vermont	6	.4
Massachusetts	70	4.6	Virginia	32	2.2
Michigan	67	4.4	Washington	31	2.0
Minnesota	29	1.9	W. Virginia	18	1.2
Mississippi	12	.8	Wisconsin	40	2.6
Missouri	20	1.3	Wyoming	2	.1
			D. C.	43	2.8
			U. S. Poss. & Terr.	6	.4
			TOTAL U.S.	1521	100.0

Source: CIJE Subscriber list

TABLE A4E.12

FOREIGN SUBSCRIPTIONS TO CIJE

<u>Rank</u>	<u>Country</u>	<u>Number</u>
30.5	Argentina	1
3	Australia	20
15	Belgium	1
1	Canada	167
30.5	Canal Zone	1
15	Chile	3
21.5	Columbia	2
15	Denmark	3
2	England	23
8.5	France	5
7	Germany	6
4.5	Holland	8
30.5	Hong Kong	1
30.5	Hungary	1
15	India	3
21.5	Iran	2
15	Ireland	3
6	Israel	7
8.5	Italy	5
4.5	Japan	8
21.5	Mexico	2
30.5	New Zealand	3
21.5	Norway	2
30.5	Pakistan	1
30.5	Peru	1
21.5	Philippines	2
15	Scotland	3
30.5	Singapore	1
10.5	South Africa	4
10.5	Spain	4
21.5	Sweden	2
30.5	Switzerland	1
30.5	Taiwan	1
30.5	Venezuela	1
30.5	Zambia	1
TOTAL		302

Source: CIJE Subscriber list, February, 1971



TABLE A4E.13

PATTERNS OF USE EMPLOYED WHEN USING CIJE  
BY INDIVIDUAL USERS

	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>
Go initially to the Thesaurus of ERIC Descriptors	36	7.3
Go directly to Author Index	27	5.5
Go directly to Subject Index	176	35.6
Go to Main Entry Section	5	1.0
Follow no particular pattern	29	5.9
Other	5	1.0
No Response	216	43.7
Totals	494	100.0

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A4E.14

PATTERNS OF CUE USE  
REPORTED BY SUBSCRIBERS

<u>Pattern</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Number</u>
Go initially to the <u>Thesaurus of ERIC</u> <u>Descriptors</u>	6.9	14
Go directly to author index	.5	1
Go directly to subject index	18.0	138
Go to main entry section	55.4	11
Follow no particular pattern	10.8	22
Others	1.0	2
No response	7.4	15
	100.0	203

Source: CUE Questionnaire

## PATTERN FOLLOWED WHEN USING CITE BY OCCUPATION OF RESPONDENTS

<u>Occupation</u>	<u>Go initially to</u>		<u>Go directly to</u>		<u>Go to main entry</u>		<u>Follow no Pattern</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>(N)</u>
	<u>thesaurus</u>	<u>Author Index</u>	<u>Subject Index</u>	<u>Section</u>	<u>Section</u>	<u>Section</u>			
Administration	15.4%	7.7%	65.4%	3.8%	7.7%	0.0%	100%	0.0%	(26)
Teaching	15.5	6.9	67.2	1.7	8.6	0.0	100%	0.0	(58)
Pupil Pers. Serv.	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	(1)*
R & D	18.5	3.7	66.7	0.0	11.1	0.0	100%	0.0	(2)
Library	13.3	8.9	60.0	0.0	13.3	4.4	100%	4.4	(45)
Consulting	16.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	16.7	0.0	100%	0.0	(6)
Undergraduate	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	(3)*
Graduate	7.5	12.9	64.5	2.2	9.7	3.9	100%	3.9	(2)
Other	33.3	0.0	33.3	10.7	10.7	0.0	100%	0.0	(6)
Overall	12.8	8.7	64.2	1.9	0.6	1.9	100%	1.9	(265)**
<u>Conducted Research</u>									
Yes	16.4	10.3	65.9	2.6	10.3	0.9	100%	0.9	(116)
No	12.1	7.8	65.2	0.7	11.3	2.8	100%	2.8	(141)**
<u>Published</u>									
Yes	18.1	9.4	63.9	1.4	5.6	1.4	100%	1.4	(72)
No	11.1	8.4	64.7	1.6	12.1	2.1	100%	2.1	(190)**

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N=5, calculations have been omitted.

\*\* Totals differ because response rates differed.

TABLE A4E.16

PATTERN FOLLOWED WHEN USING CIJE  
BY OCCUPATION OF CIJE SUBSCRIBERS

<u>Occupation</u>	<u>Go initially</u> <u>to</u> <u>Thesaurus</u>	<u>Go directly</u> <u>to</u> <u>Author Index</u>	<u>Go directly</u> <u>to</u> <u>Subject Index</u>	<u>Go to main</u> <u>entry</u> <u>Section</u>	<u>Follow</u> <u>No</u> <u>Pattern</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>N</u>
Administration	4.5%	0.0	63.6	18.2	13.6	0.0	100% (22)
Teaching	0.0	0.0	90.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	100% (10)
R & D	13.8	0.0	65.5	6.9	13.8	0.0	100% (29)
Library	6.8	1.0	78.6	2.9	9.7	1.0	100% (103)
Consulting	0.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	100% (5)
Others*	12.5	0.0	75.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	100% (8)
Overall	7.3	0.6	74.6	5.6	10.7	1.1	100% (177)
Conducted Research							
Yes	10.0	0.0	71.2	6.3	11.2	1.2	100% (80)
No	6.2	1.0	77.3	5.2	9.3	1.0	100% (97)
Published							
Yes	7.7	0.0	64.6	9.2	15.4	3.1	100% (65)
No	7.9	0.9	80.7	3.5	7.0	0.0	100% (114)

\*Others includes Pupil Pers. Serv. (N = 30), Undergraduate (N = 0), Graduate (N = 1), "Others" (N = 4)

Source: CIJE Questionnaire

TABLE A4E.17

PATTERNS EMPLOYED WHEN USING CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

Primary Association	** 1	2	3	4	5	6	(N)
Preschool	20.0%	0.0%	80.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100% (5)
Elementary	14.6	2.4	68.3	4.9	7.5	2.4	100% (41)
Secondary	25.0	18.7	43.8	0.0	12.5	0.0	100% (16)
College or University	12.8	9.8	61.7	2.3	11.3	2.3	100% (133)
State Department of Education	0.0	0.0	71.4	0.0	14.3	14.3	100% (7)
Regional Educational Laboratory	12.5	6.3	62.5	0.0	18.7	0.0	100% (16)
R & D Center	16.7	16.7	50.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	100% (6)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (1)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (3)*
Other Federal Agency	0.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	100% (5)
Local or Regional Information Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (2)
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (1)
Other	10.3	17.2	65.5	0.0	6.9	0.0	100% (29)
Overall	13.2	9.1	63.4	1.9	10.6	1.9	100% (265)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N = 5, calculations have been omitted.

\*\* 1. Go initially to the Thesaurus of ERIC descriptors.

2. Go directly to author index.

3. Go directly to subject index.

4. Go to main entry section.

5. Follow no particular pattern.

6. Other.

TABLE A4E.1E

SEARCH PATTERNS FOLLOWED WHEN USING CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Go Initially to the Thesaurus of ERIC Descriptors</u>	<u>Go Directly to Author Index</u>	<u>Go Directly to Subject Index</u>	<u>Go to Main Entry Section</u>	<u>Follow No Particular Pattern</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	----	----	----	----	----	----	100% ( 4)*
Bachelor's	8.0%	11.4%	60.2%	3.4%	14.8%	2.3%	100% (88)
Master's	15.7	6.4	67.9	1.4	6.4	2.1	100% (140)
Specialist's	----	----	----	----	----	----	100% ( 2)*
Doctorate	10.7	17.9	53.6	0.0	17.9	0.0	100% (28)
Other	----	----	----	----	----	----	100% ( 4)*
Overall	13.2	9.0	63.2	1.9	10.9	1.9	100% (266)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

PATTERNS EMPLOYED WHEN USING CLJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

<u>Age</u>	<u>Go Initially to</u> <u>The Thesaurus of</u> <u>ERIC Descriptors</u>	<u>Go Directly</u> <u>To Author</u> <u>Index</u>	<u>Go Directly</u> <u>To Subject</u> <u>Index</u>	<u>Go to Main</u> <u>Entry</u> <u>Section</u>	<u>Follow No</u> <u>Particular</u> <u>Pattern</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>N</u>
25 or Below	10.5%	5.3%	73.7%	3.5%	5.3%	1.8%	100% (57)
26-35	12.4	8.6	65.7	1.0	11.4	1.0	100% (105)
36-45	10.8	13.8	53.8	0.0	18.5	3.1	100% (65)
46-55	23.5	8.8	58.8	2.9	2.9	2.9	100% (34)
56-65	22.2	11.1	44.4	11.1	11.1	0.0	100% (9)
Over 65	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (2)*
Overall	13.2	9.2	63.2	1.8	10.7	1.8	100% (272)
<u>Sex</u>							
Male	14.3	13.4	58.9	.9	10.7	1.8	100% (112)
Female	12.4	5.9	66.0	2.6	11.1	2.0	100% (153)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE . A4E.20

MAIN PURPOSES FOR USE OF CIJE  
BY INDIVIDUAL USERS

<u>Main Purpose</u>	<u>Percent*</u>	<u>(N)</u>
To keep abreast in a field	14.0%	(69)
Assignments and term papers	31.6	(156)
Preparation or updating of course bibliographies	6.1	(30)
Curriculum development	9.3	(46)
Program improvement	8.1	(40)
Preparation of speech, report, article	15.8	(78)
Research project	25.7	(127)
Browsing	7.1	(35)
Other	4.5	(22)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Each respondent could mark one or more "main purpose."  
Percentages are of total number responding to questionnaire.



TABLE A4E.21

MAIN PURPOSES FOR USE OF CIJE  
BY CIJE SUBSCRIBERS

<u>Main Purpose</u>	<u>Percent*</u>
To keep abreast in a field	31.0%
Assignments and term papers	24.6
Preparation or updating of course bibliographies	14.3
Curriculum development	16.3
Program improvement	20.2
Preparation of a speech, report, article	26.1
Research project	47.3
Browsing	13.3
Other	33.0

N = 203

---

Source: CIJE Questionnaire

\* Each respondent could mark one or more "main purpose."  
Percentages of total number responding to questionnaire.

TABLE A4E.22

## MAIN PURPOSES FOR USE OF CLJE BY CLJE SUBSCRIBERS\*\*

Occupation	Keeping Abreast in a Field	Assignments and Term Papers	Updating of Course Bibliographies	Curriculum Development	Program Improvement	Speech, Report, Article Preparation	Research Project	Browsing	Other	(N)
Administration	64.0%	12.0%	12.0%	28.5%	32.0%	20.0%	28.0%	20.0%	28.0%	(25)
Teaching	50.0	16.7	8.3	8.3	41.7	25.0	33.3	16.7	16.7	(12)
Research & Development	33.3	6.7	0.0	16.7	30.0	43.3	76.7	3.3	10.0	(30)
Library	18.9	36.0	18.0	11.7	9.9	25.2	48.6	12.6	42.3	(111)
Consulting	20.0	0.0	60.0	60.0	40.0	20.0	60.0	20.0	20.0	(5)
Others*	62.5	25.0	12.5	25.0	50.0	25.0	37.5	37.5	75.0	(8)
Overall	30.9	25.7	13.1	16.2	20.4	27.2	49.2	13.6	33.0	(191)**
Conducted Research										
Yes	40.0	18.8	9.4	15.3	25.9	34.1	54.1	16.5	29.4	(85)
No	23.1	30.8	7.3	7.3	15.4	22.1	42.3	11.5	36.5	(104)**
Published										
Yes	37.7	10.1	13.0	11.6	20.3	31.9	43.5	18.8	27.5	(69)
No	26.2	34.4	13.9	18.9	19.7	24.6	50.0	10.7	36.9	(122)**

Source: CLJE Questionnaire

\* Others includes Pupil Personnel Serv. (N=3), Undergraduates (N=0), Graduates (N=1), "Others" (N=4)

\*\*Each respondent could mark one or more "main purpose." Percentages are of total number representing each occupation.

## MAIN PURPOSE FOR USING CIJE BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION \*\*

Primary Association	Keeping Abreast in a Field	Assignments and Term Papers	Updating of Course Bibliographies	Curriculum Development	Program Improvement	Speech, Report, Article Preparation	Research Project	Browsing	Other	(N)
Preschool	42.9%	57.1%	0.0%	14.3%	28.6%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	(7)
Elementary	12.7	50.0	7.8	4.7	6.2	23.4	32.8	7.8	0.0	(64)
Secondary	11.4	25.7	2.9	31.4	17.1	11.4	31.4	11.4	2.9	(35)
College or University	13.5	37.6	9.0	3.6	3.6	15.8	27.0	6.3	4.9	(223)
State Department of Education	42.9	21.4	0.0	21.4	28.6	28.6	21.4	7.1	14.3	(14)
Regional Educational Lab	14.8	3.7	7.4	25.9	18.5	18.5	40.7	11.1	3.7	(27)
R & D Center	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.4	15.4	15.4	38.5	7.7	0.0	(13)
Professional Organization	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(3)*
OE Regional Office	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(4)
Other Federal Agency	33.3	11.1	11.1	11.1	0.0	11.1	11.1	22.2	22.2	(9)
Local or Regional Info. Center	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(2)
Business or Industry	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(1)
Other	12.2	26.5	0.0	10.2	10.2	6.1	20.4	2.0	10.2	(49)
Overall	14.7	32.7	6.5	9.6	8.2	16.0	27.8	7.1	4.9	(451)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire.

\* Where N &lt; 5, Calculations have been omitted.

\*\* Each respondent could mark one or more "main purpose." Percentages are of total number representing each primary association.

TABLE A4E.24

MAIN PURPOSE FOR USE OF CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL \*\*

Degree Level	Keeping Abreast in a Field	Assignments and Term Papers	Updating of Course Bibliographies	Curriculum Development	Program Improvement	Speech, Report, Article Preparation	Research Project	Browsing	Other	(N)
High School Diploma	5.3	21.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.3	10.5	0.0	5.3	(19)
Bachelor's	6.7	45.0	6.0	6.0	7.3	13.3	18.0	5.3	3.3	(150)
Master's	18.6	31.9	6.7	14.2	10.2	19.0	33.6	8.0	6.2	(227)
Specialist's	10.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(10)
Doctorate	26.5	10.2	10.2	8.2	8.2	22.4	28.6	12.2	2.0	(49)
Other	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0	(7)
Overall	14.6	32.6	6.3	9.8	8.5	16.3	26.2	6.9	4.5	(462)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire.

\* Where N < 5, Calculations have been omitted.

\*\* Each respondent could mark one or more "main purpose." Percentages are of total number representing each degree level.

**MAIN PURPOSES FOR USE OF CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX \*\***

Age	Keeping Abreast in a Field	Assign- ments and Term Papers	Updating of Course Bibliog- raphies	Curric- ulum Develop- ment	Program Improve- ment	Speech, Report, Article Prepar- ation	Research Project	Browsing	Other	(N)
25 or below	6.4%	41.3%	7.3%	2.7%	2.8%	19.1%	28.2%	8.2%	2.7%	(110)
26-35	14.5	33.5	6.9	12.7	10.3	17.9	31.8	6.4	3.4	(173)
36-45	20.7	28.8	4.5	9.9	9.0	12.6	21.6	8.1	6.3	(111)
46-55	13.1	26.2	4.9	14.8	11.5	11.5	21.3	6.6	6.6	(61)
56-65	50.0	8.3	16.7	8.3	8.3	25.0	8.3	8.3	8.3	(12)
Over 65	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(3)*
Overall	14.7	32.4	6.4	9.8	8.5	16.4	26.6	7.2	4.7	(470)
Sex										
Male	17.6	24.9	5.4	10.7	7.8	12.2	26.8	7.3	2.9	(205)
Female	12.4	39.2	7.2	9.2	9.2	19.9	26.3	6.8	6.0	(251)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire.

\* Where N < 5, Calculations have been omitted.

\*\* Each respondent could mark one or more "main purpose." Percentages are of total number representing each age or sex category.

TABLE A4E.26

HOW CIJE WAS USED DURING 1970 BY RESPONDENTS' PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

	<u>Read or Scan Each Issue For Current Awareness</u>	<u>Search Past Issues or Vols. To Locate Specific Information</u>		<u>Both</u>	<u>Never Used</u>	<u>N</u>
Preschool	16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	0.0%	100%	(6)
Elementary	22.5	60.0	2.5	15.0	100%	(40)
Secondary	9.5	52.4	9.5	28.6	100%	(21)
College or University	8.9	66.9	7.0	17.2	100%	(157)
State Department of Education	20.0	70.0	0.0	10.0	100%	(10)
Regional Educational Laboratory	34.8	56.5	0.0	8.7	100%	(23)
Research and Development Center	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Office of Education Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
Other Federal Agency	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Local or Regional Information Center	----	----	----	----	----	(2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Other	9.9	51.5	6.1	33.3	100%	(33)
Overall	14.1	62.2	6.3	17.4	100%	(304)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N &lt; 5, calculations have been omitted.

HOW CIJE WAS USED DURING 1970  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Read or scan Each issue For current Awareness</u>	<u>Search past Issues, or volumes To locate specific Information</u>	<u>Both</u>	<u>N</u>	
				<u>Never Used</u>	
High School Diploma	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	42.9%	100% (7)
Bachelor's	10.0	62.0	3.0	25.0	100% (100)
Master's	17.2	64.3	7.6	10.8	100% (157)
Specialist's	20.0	60.0	0.0	20.0	100% (5)
Doctorate	18.9	59.5	2.7	18.9	100% (37)
Other	16.7	33.3	0.0	50.0	100% (6)
Overall	15.1	61.5	5.4	17.9	100% (312)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A4E.28

HOW CLJE WAS USED DURING 1970  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

<u>Age</u>	<u>Read or Scan Each Issue For Current Awareness</u>	<u>Search Past Issues or Volumes to Locate Specific Information</u>	<u>Both</u>	<u>Never Used</u>	<u>(N)</u>
25 or Below	14.5%	56.5%	2.9%	26.1%	100% (69)
26-35	12.3	63.9	9.0	14.8	100% (122)
36-45	13.4	60.5	2.6	13.4	100% (76)
46-55	17.5	62.5	7.5	12.5	100% (40)
56-65	12.5	87.5	0.0	0.0	100% (8)
Over 65	--	--	--	--	-- (1)*
Overall	15.2	61.7	5.7	17.4	100% (316)
<u>Sex</u>					
Male	17.8	55.0	7.8	19.4	100% (129)
Female	13.3	66.3	3.9	16.6	100% (181)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire  
 \* where N < 5, Calculations have been omitted.



TABLE A4E.29

SUCCESS IN FINDING IN CIJE WHAT PATRON IS LOOKING FOR

	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>
Always	2.2	11
Most of the time	32.8	162
Sometimes	21.3	105
Never	.8	4
No Response	.9	212
	<hr/> 100.0%	<hr/> 494

TABLE A4E.29a

SUCCESS IN FINDING ELSEWHERE  
MATERIAL WHICH CANNOT BE FOUND IN CIJE

	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>
Always	1.4	7
Most of the time	10.7	53
Sometimes	39.5	195
Never	2.6	13
No Response	45.8	226
	<hr/> 100.0%	<hr/> 494

TABLE A4E.30

SUCCESS IN FINDING WHAT PATRON IS LOOKING FOR IN CIJE  
BY RESPONDENTS' OCCUPATIONS

	<u>Always</u>	<u>Most of the Time</u>	<u>Sometimes</u>	<u>Never</u>	<u>(N)</u>
<u>Occupation</u>					
Administration or Supervision	0.0%	65.5%	34.5%	0.0%	100% (29)
Teaching	1.8	61.4	36.8	0.0	100% (57)
Pupil Personnel Services	----	----	----	----	---- (1)*
Research and Development	3.6	53.6	42.9	0.0	100% (28)
Library of Instruc- tional Resources	2.2	51.1	46.7	0.0	100% (45)
Consulting	0.0	50.0	33.3	16.7	100% (6)
Undergraduate Student	----	----	----	----	---- (4)
Graduate Student	6.5	56.5	35.9	1.1	100% (92)
Other	0.0	71.4	28.6	0.0	100% (7)
Overall	3.7	58.0	37.5	.7	100% (269)
<u>Conducted Research</u>					
Yes	5.6	53.5	39.4	1.4	100% (142)
No	.9	66.4	32.8	0.0	100% (116)
<u>Published</u>					
Yes	1.4	55.4	31.9	1.4	100% (72)
No	4.7	65.3	39.4	.5	100% (193)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted

TABLE A4E.31

SUCCESS IN FINDING IN CIJE WHAT PATRONS IS  
LOOKING FOR BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

<u>Primary Association</u>	<u>Always</u>	<u>Most of the Time</u>	<u>Sometimes</u>	<u>Never</u>	<u>(N)</u>
Preschool	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	0.0%	100% (6)
Elementary	0.0	61.5	38.5	0.0	100% (39)
Secondary	5.6	72.2	22.2	0.0	100% (18)
College or University	4.5	59.4	35.3	.8	100% (133)
State Department of Education	0.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	100% (8)
Regional Educational Lab	5.9	52.9	41.2	0.0	100% (17)
Research and Development Center	0.0	60.0	40.0	0.0	100% (5)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	---- (1)*
OE Research Office	----	----	----	----	---- (3)
Other Federal Agency	0.0	16.7	66.7	16.7	100% (6)
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	---- (2)
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	---- (1)
Other	7.1	50.0	42.9	0.0	100% (28)
Overall	3.7	58.1	37.5	.7	100% (267)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5 calculations have been omitted

TABLE A4E.32

SUCCESS IN FINDING WHAT RESPONDENT IS LOOKING FOR IN CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Always</u>	<u>Most of the Time</u>	<u>Sometimes</u>	<u>Never</u>	<u>(N)</u>
High School Diploma	16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	0.0%	100% (6)
Bachelor's	5.7	52.9	41.4	0.0	100% (87)
Master's	2.8	61.3	34.5	1.4	100% (142)
Specialist's	----	----	----	----	---- (2)*
Doctorate	0.0	63.0	37.0	0.0	100% (27)
Other	----	----	----	----	---- (4)*
Overall	4.1	57.8	37.3	.7	100% (268)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4E.33

SUCCESS IN FINDING IN CIJE WHAT PATRON IS LOOKING FOR  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

	<u>Always</u>	<u>Most of the Time</u>	<u>Sometimes</u>	<u>Never</u>	<u>N</u>
<u>Age</u>					
25 or below	1.7%	62.1%	36.2%	0.0%	100% (58)
26-35	6.6	55.7	36.8	.9	100% (106)
36-45	1.6	56.3	40.6	1.6	100% (64)
46-55	5.6	55.6	38.9	0.0	100% (36)
56-65	0.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	100% (8)
Over 65	----	----	----	----	---- (2)*
Overall	4.0	57.7	37.6	.7	100% (274)
<u>Sex</u>					
Male	3.7	57.8	37.6	.9	100% (109)
Female	4.4	57.6	37.3	.6	100% (158)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N<5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4E.34

SUCCESS IN FINDING ELSEWHERE MATERIAL  
WHICH CANNOT BE FOUND IN CIJE

	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Number</u>
Always	1.4	7
Most of the time	10.7	53
Sometimes	39.5	195
Never	2.6	13
No Response	<u>45.8</u>	<u>226</u>
	100.0	494

TABLE A4E.34a

USEFULNESS OF CIJE COMPARED TO OTHER INDEXES

	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Number</u>
Less useful	4.7	23
Equally useful	30.4	150
More useful	22.1	109
No Response	<u>42.8</u>	<u>212</u>
	100.0	494

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A4E.35

SUCCESS IN FINDING ELSEWHERE MATERIAL WHICH CANNOT BE FOUND IN  
CIJE BY OCCUPATION

	<u>Always</u>	<u>Most of the Time</u>	<u>Sometimes</u>	<u>Never</u>	<u>(N)</u>
<u>Occupation</u>					
Administration or Supervision	3.4%	20.7%	72.4%	3.4%	100% (29)
Teaching	0.0	.4	75.9	3.7	100% (54)
Pupil Personnel Services	----	----	----	----	---- (1)*
Research and Development	7.4	22.2	63.0	7.4	100% (27)
Library or Instruc- tional Resources	2.3	16.3	79.1	2.3	100% (43)
Consulting	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100% (6)
Undergraduate Student	----	----	----	----	---- (4)
Graduate Student	3.5	20.9	69.8	5.8	100% (86)
Other	0.0	14.3	71.4	14.3	100% (7)
Overall	2.7	19.1	73.5	4.7	100% (257)
<u>Conducted Research</u>					
Yes	2.3	18.0	75.2	4.5	100% (133)
No	2.6	20.2	71.9	5.3	100% (114)
<u>Published</u>					
Yes	2.8	23.6	70.8	2.8	100% (72)
No	2.7	17.5	74.3	5.5	100% (183)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5 calculations have been omitted

TABLE A4E.36

SUCCESS IN FINDING ELSEWHERE MATERIAL WHICH CANNOT BE  
FOUND IN CIJE BY RESPONDENTS' DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Always</u>	<u>Most of the Time</u>	<u>Sometimes</u>	<u>Never</u>	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	16.7%	0.0%	83.3%	0.0%	100% (6)
Bachelor's	3.6	20.5	68.7	7.2	100% (83)
Master's	.7	21.9	73.7	3.7	100% (137)
Specialist's	---	---	---	---	--- (2)*
Doctorate	4.0	16.0	76.0	4.0	100% (25)
Other	---	---	---	---	--- (4)*
Overall	2.7	19.8	72.8	4.7	100% (257)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.



TABLE A4E.37

SUCCESS IN FINDING ELSEWHERE MATERIAL WHICH CANNOT BE  
FOUND IN CIJE BY RESPONDENT'S AGE & SEX

	<u>Always</u>	<u>Most of the Time</u>	<u>Sometimes</u>	<u>Never</u>	<u>N</u>
<u>Age</u>					
25 or below	1.8%	12.3%	77.2%	8.8%	100% (57)
26-35	2.0	15.7	79.4	2.9	100% (102)
36-45	1.6	29.0	62.9	6.5	100% (62)
46-55	6.1	27.3	63.6	3.0	100% (33)
56-65	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	100% (7)
Over 65	----	----	----	----	---- (2)*
Overall	2.7	19.8	72.6	4.9	100% (263)
<u>Sex</u>					
Male	3.7	17.6	73.1	5.6	100% (108)
Female	2.0	21.6	72.3	4.1	100% (148)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4E.3e

SUCCESS IN FINDING ELSEWHERE MATERIAL WHICH CANNOT  
BE FOUND IN CIJE BY PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

<u>Primary Association</u>	<u>Always</u>	<u>Most of the Time</u>	<u>Sometimes</u>	<u>Never</u>	<u>N</u>	
Preschool	20.0%	20.0%	60.0%	0.0%	100%	(5)
Elementary	5.3	7.9	84.2	2.6	100%	(38)
Secondary	0.0	11.8	70.6	17.6	100%	(17)
College or University	1.6	22.8	71.7	3.9	100%	(127)
State Department of Education	0.0	12.5	87.5	0.0	100%	(8)
Regional Educa- tional Labor- atory	5.9	11.8	76.5	5.9	100%	(17)
Research and Development Center	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100%	(5)
Professional Organizaton	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Office of Educa- tion Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
Other Federal Agency	0.0	16.7	66.7	16.7	100%	(6)
Local or Regional Information Center	----	----	----	----	----	(2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Other	4.0	44.0	52.0	0.0	100%	(25)
Overall	2.7	20.0	72.5	4.7	100%	(255)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4E.39

NUMBER OF JOURNAL ARTICLES CONSULTED  
DURING 1970 AS A RESULT OF  
HAVING READ A CITATION IN CIJE BY OCCUPATION

	<u>None</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>Over 10</u>	<u>(N)</u>
<u>Occupation</u>					
Administration or Supervision	0.0%	42.9%	42.9%	14.3%	100% (28)
Teaching	3.4	42.4	40.7	13.6	100% (59)
Pupil Personnel Services	----	----	----	----	---- (1)*
Research & Development	7.4	22.2	40.7	29.6	100% (27)
Library or Instructional Resources	20.5	33.3	25.6	20.5	100% (39)
Consulting	0.0	83.3	16.7	0.0	100% (6)
Undergraduate Student	28.6	42.9	14.3	14.3	100% (7)
Graduate Student	19.6	35.9	23.9	20.7	100% (92)
Other	28.6	42.9	28.6	0.0	100% (7)
Overall	12.8	37.6	31.6	18.0	100% (266)
<u>Conducted Research</u>					
Yes	17.6	37.3	28.2	16.9	100% (142)
No	1.8	34.8	36.5	20.9	100% (115)
<u>Published</u>					
Yes	6.8	36.5	35.1	21.6	100% (74)
No	14.7	37.2	30.9	17.3	100% (191)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire  
 where N < 5 calculations have been omitted

TABLE A4E.40

NUMBER OF JOURNAL ARTICLES CONSULTED AS A RESULT OF HAVING READ  
A CITATION IN CIJE DURING 1970 BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

<u>Primary Association</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>More Than 10</u>	<u>(N)</u>
Pre-school	0.0	20.0	60.0	20.0	100% (5)
Elementary	9.8	46.3	29.3	14.6	100% (41)
Secondary	11.1	44.4	22.2	22.2	100% (18)
College or University	13.7	36.6	30.5	19.1	100% (131)
State Department of Education	0.0	28.6	57.1	14.3	100% (7)
Regional Educational Laboratory	17.6	23.5	41.2	17.6	100% (17)
Research and Development Center	---	---	---	---	--- (3)*
Professional Organization	---	---	---	---	--- (1)*
Office of Education Regional Office	---	---	---	---	--- (3)*
Other Federal Agency	0.0	50.0	33.3	16.7	100% (6)
Local or Regional Information Center	---	---	---	---	--- (2)*
Business or Industry	---	---	---	---	--- (1)*
Other	24.1	37.9	24.1	13.8	100% (29)
Overall	12.9	37.9	31.8	17.4	100% (264)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire  
\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4E.41

NUMBER OF JOURNAL ARTICLES CONSULTED DURING 1970  
AS A RESULT OF HAVING READ A CITATION IN CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>10+</u>	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	25.0%	62.5%	0.0%	12.5%	100% (8)
Bachelor's	27.9	37.2	19.8	15.1	100% (86)
Master's	3.6	37.0	39.9	19.6	100% (138)
Specialist's	---	---	---	---	--- (1)*
Doctorate	3.6	35.7	42.9	17.9	100% (28)
Other	---	---	---	---	--- (4)*
Overall	12.1	37.4	32.8	17.7	100% (265)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4E.42

NUMBER OF JOURNAL ARTICLES CONSULTED DURING 1970  
AS A RESULT OF HAVING READ A CITATION IN CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

	<u>None</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>More Than 10</u>	<u>N</u>
<u>Age</u>					
25 or below	10.2%	47.5%	27.1%	15.3%	100% (59)
26-35	13.3	35.2	32.4	19.0	100% (105)
36-45	11.3	37.1	35.5	16.1	100% (62)
46-55	17.1	34.3	28.6	20.0	100% (35)
56-65	0.0	22.2	55.6	22.2	100% (9)
Over 65	-----	-----	-----	-----	----- (3)
Overall	12.5	37.7	31.9	17.9	100% (273)
<u>Sex</u>					
Male	9.0	36.9	35.1	18.9	100% (111)
Female	14.4	37.9	30.7	17.0	100% (153)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4E.43

COMPARATIVE USEFULNESS OF CIJE WITH OTHER INDEXES  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

	<u>Less Useful</u>	<u>Equally Useful</u>	<u>More Useful</u>	<u>N</u>
<u>Age</u>				
25 or below	6.9%	43.1%	50.0%	100% (58)
26-35	9.5	54.3	36.2	100% (105)
36-45	9.4	54.7	35.9	100% (64)
46-55	5.3	57.9	36.8	100% (38)
56-65	0.0	50.0	50.0	100% (8)
Over 65	----	----	----	---- (2)*
Overall	8.0	52.7	39.3	100% (275)
<u>Sex</u>				
Male	7.3	55.5	37.3	100% (110)
Female	9.0	50.0	41.0	100% (156)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, Calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4E.44

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

Primary Association	Very Useful 1	2	3	4	Of No Use 5	Item Never Used 6	(N)
Preschool	0.0%	80.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	100% (5)
Elementary	45.8	18.7	16.7	2.1	2.1	14.6	100% (18)
Secondary	54.5	9.1	4.5	9.1	0.0	22.7	100% (22)
College or University	38.7	15.1	11.1	7.0	1.0	27.1	100% (199)
State Dept. of Education	50.0	12.5	0.0	12.5	0.0	25.0	100% (8)
Regional Educational Lab	42.3	19.2	7.7	11.5	0.0	19.2	100% (26)
R & D Center	33.3	16.7	16.7	8.3	0.0	25.0	100% (12)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (3)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (3)*
Other Federal Agency	37.5	0.0	11.5	12.5	0.0	37.5	100% (8)
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (1)*
Other	33.3	12.8	17.9	7.7	0.0	28.2	100% (39)
Overall	39.6	16.0	11.7	7.2	.8	26.7	100% (376)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5 calculations have been omitted



EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS  
OF CURRENT INDEX TO JOURNALS IN EDUCATION  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Very Useful</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>Of No Use</u>	<u>Item Never Used</u>	<u>Item Not Available</u>	<u>(N)</u>
	<u>1</u>				<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	
High School Diploma	25.0%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	50.0%	0.0%	100% (12)
Bachelor's	38.3	14.8	11.7	7.0	.8	25.8	1.6	100%(128)
Master's	41.4	19.9	11.5	7.9	0.0	15.2	4.2	100%(191)
Specialist's	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	33.3	100% (6)
Doctorate	39.5	11.6	18.6	4.7	2.3	20.9	2.3	100% (43)
Other	28.6	14.3	14.3	14.3	0.0	14.3	14.3	100% (7)
Overall	39.3	16.8	11.9	7.0	.8	20.7	3.6	100%(387)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A4E.46

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

	Very Useful		3	4	Of No Use	Items Never Used		Item Not Available		(N)
	1	2				5	6	7		
Age										
25 or below	37.0%	15.2%	9.8%	3.3%	1.1%	32.6%	1.1%	100%	(92)	
26-35	37.8	20.9	12.2	6.8	.7	20.3	1.4	100%	(148)	
36-45	46.7	14.1	8.7	5.4	1.1	14.1	9.8	100%	(92)	
46-55	36.7	12.2	12.2	16.3	0.0	18.4	4.1	100%	(49)	
56-65	20.0	30.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	100%	(10)	
Over 65	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	100%	(2)*	
Overall	39.2	17.0	.019	7.1	.8	21.4	3.6	100%	(393)	
Sex										
Male	36.7	15.8	12.3	7.0	1.2	23.4	4.7	100%	(171)	
Female	42.9	17.9	10.8	7.1	.5	17.9	2.8	100%	(212)	

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5 calculations have been omitted

EVALUATION BY CIJE SUBSCRIBERS OF THE USEFULNESS  
OF CIJE CHARACTERISTICS AND SECTIONS

	<u>Very Useful</u>		2		3		4		<u>No Use</u>		<u>Never Used</u>		<u>No Reponse</u>	
	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.
<u>SECTIONS AND CHARACTERISTICS</u>														
Organization of CIJE	39.4	(80)	29.6	(60)	11.3	(23)	2.0	(4)	1.0	(2)	.5	(1)	16.3	(33)
Coverage of Journals	47.3	(96)	25.1	(51)	11.8	(24)	1.5	(3)	1.0	(2)	1.5	(3)	11.8	(24)
Selection of Articles	31.0	(63)	36.0	(73)	14.8	(30)	2.0	(4)	.5	(1)	.5	(1)	15.3	(31)
Timeliness	34.0	(69)	29.1	(59)	15.8	(32)	4.9	(20)	1.0	(2)	1.0	(2)	14.3	(29)
Descriptor Group Codes	16.7	(34)	21.2	(43)	18.7	(38)	8.9	(18)	6.9	(14)	8.4	(17)	19.2	(39)
Assignment of Descriptors	21.2	(43)	28.6	(58)	16.3	(33)	5.9	(12)	2.5	(5)	4.9	(10)	20.7	(42)
Sequence of Sections in Journal	21.7	(44)	23.2	(47)	20.7	(42)	4.4	(9)	4.4	(9)	3.9	(8)	21.7	(44)
Subject Index	59.6	(121)	21.7	(44)	4.9	(10)	.5	(1)	.5	(1)	.5	(1)	12.3	(25)
Author Index	39.4	(80)	24.6	(50)	11.3	(23)	4.9	(10)	2.5	(5)	3.4	(7)	13.8	(28)
Main Entry Section	32.0	(65)	24.6	(50)	20.2	(41)	3.0	(6)	.5	(1)	2.5	(5)	17.2	(35)
Accession Numbering System	19.7	(40)	19.2	(39)	19.2	(39)	7.9	(16)	5.9	(12)	6.4	(13)	21.7	(44)
Annotations of Main Entries	38.9	(79)	24.6	(50)	10.8	(22)	4.9	(10)	1.5	(3)	1.5	(3)	17.7	(36)
Format & Typography (size of type, readability, etc.)	34.0	(69)	30.0	(61)	14.8	(30)	4.9	(10)	0.0	(0)	.5	(1)	15.8	(32)

N = 100%(203)

Source: CIJE Questionnaire

\* Numbers in brackets indicate the number out of 203.

TABLE A4E.4E

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF ORGANIZATION OF CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

	Very Useful 1	2	3	4	Item Never Used 5	(N)
<u>Primary Association</u>						
Preschool	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100% (5)
Elementary	57.5	30.0	10.0	0.0	2.5	100% (40)
Secondary	56.3	18.7	25.0	0.0	0.0	100% (16)
College or University	48.7	32.8	13.4	4.2	.8	100% (119)
State Dept. of Education	50.0	33.3	16.7	0.0	0.0	100% (6)
Regional Educa- tional Lab	42.9	50.0	7.1	0.0	0.0	100% (14)
R & D Center	----	----	----	----	----	---- (4)*
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	---- (1)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	---- (3)*
Other Federal Agency	----	----	----	----	----	---- (4)*
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	---- (1)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	---- (1)*
Other	52.2	39.1	8.7	0.0	0.0	100% (23)
Overall	48.1	35.0	13.5	2.1	.4	100% (237)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5 calculations have been omitted

TABLE A4E.49

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF ORGANIZATION OF CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Very Useful 1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>Of No Use 5</u>	<u>Items Never Used 6</u>	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	40.0%	60.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100% (5)
Bachelor's	58.4	27.3	10.4	2.6	0.0	1.3	100% (77)
Master's	44.4	37.9	15.3	.8	.8	.8	100% (124)
Specialist's	---	---	---	---	---	---	--- (1)*
Doctorate	44.0	32.0	16.0	4.0	0.0	4.0	100% (25)
Other	---	---	---	---	---	---	--- (4)*
Overall	48.7	34.7	13.1	1.7	.4	1.3	100% (236)

---

\*Source: Individual User Questionnaire

Where  $N < 5$ , calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4E.50

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF THE ORGANIZATION OF CIJE  
BY THE RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

Age	<u>Very Useful</u>			<u>Of No Use</u>		<u>Items Never Used</u> <u>6</u>	<u>(N)</u>
	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>		
25 or below	56.6%	34.0%	9.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100% ( 53)
26-35	34.7	42.9	15.3	4.1	1.0	2.0	100% ( 98)
36-45	56.4	32.7	9.1	0.0	0.0	1.8	100% ( 55)
46-55	58.6	24.1	17.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% ( 29)
56-65	50.0	33.3	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% ( 6)
Over 65	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	---- ( 2)*
Overall	47.7	35.8	13.2	1.6	.4	1.2	100% (243)
<u>Sex</u>							
Male	41.2	41.2	14.7	1.0	0.0	2.0	100% (102)
Female	54.1	30.1	12.0	2.3	.8	.8	100% (133)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire  
 \* Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4E.51

EVALUATION OF USEFULNESS OF COVERAGE OF JOURNALS BY CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S OCCUPATION

	Very Useful 1	2	3	4	Of No Use 5	Item Never Used 6	(N)
<u>Occupation</u>							
Administration or Supervision	54.2%	33.3%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100% (24)
Teaching	46.3	29.6	18.5	1.9	1.9	1.9	100% (54)
Pupil Personnel Services	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (1)*
Research and Development	47.8	39.1	13.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% (23)
Library or Instruc- tional Resources	54.8	31.0	11.9	2.4	0.0	0.0	100% (42)
Consulting	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (4)
Undergraduate Student	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (3)
Graduate Student	51.2	32.9	9.8	2.4	1.2	2.4	100% (81)
Other	33.3	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% (6)
Overall	48.5	35.0	13.1	1.7	.4	1.2	100% (238)
<u>Conducted Research</u>							
Yes	57.3	27.4	12.1	2.4	0.0	.8	100% (122)
No	47.3	35.5	14.5	0.0	.9	1.8	100% (110)
<u>Published</u>							
Yes	39.4	39.4	18.2	0.0	1.5	1.5	100% (66)
No	57.2	28.3	11.6	1.7	0.0	1.2	100% (173)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire  
 where N < 5, calculations have been omitted

TABLE A4E.52

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF COVERAGE OF JOURNALS  
IN CIJE BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

Primary Association	Very Useful				Item Never Used		(N)
	1	2	3	4	5		
Preschool	0.0%	80.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100%	(5)
Elementary	60.0	20.0	15.0	2.5	2.5	100%	(40)
Secondary	50.0	31.3	18.7	0.0	0.0	100%	(14)
College or University	50.8	32.5	13.3	2.5	.8	100%	(120)
State Dept. of Education	50.0	33.3	16.7	0.0	0.0	100%	(6)
Regional Educa- tional Lab	35.7	50.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	100%	(14)
R & D Center	20.0	20.0	40.0	0.0	20.0	100%	(5)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
Other Federal Agency	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Other	73.9	21.7	4.3	0.0	0.0	100%	(23)
Overall	52.3	31.4	13.4	1.7	1.3	100%	(239)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5 calculations have been omitted



TABLE A4E.53

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF THE COVERAGE OF JOURNALS IN CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

<u>Age</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> <u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>Of No Use</u> <u>5</u>	<u>Items Never Used</u> <u>6</u>	<u>(N)</u>
25 or below	61.1%	24.1%	14.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100% ( 54)
26-35	41.8	39.8	13.3	3.1	0.0	2.0	100% ( 98)
36-45	56.4	29.1	10.9	0.0	1.8	1.8	100% ( 55)
46-55	60.0	26.7	13.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% ( 30)
56-65	40.0	40.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% ( 5)
Over 65	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- ( 2)*
Overall	57.6	32.0	13.5	1.2	.4	1.2	100% (244)
<u>Sex</u>							
Male	41.6	41.6	13.9	0.0	1.0	2.0	100% (101)
Female	61.0	24.3	11.8	2.2	0.0	.7	100% (136)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4E.54

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF COVERAGE OF JOURNALS IN CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Very Useful 1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>Of No Use 5</u>	<u>Items Never Used 6</u>	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	80.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100% (5)
Bachelor's	61.5	25.6	7.7	3.8	0.0	1.3	100% (78)
Master's	49.6	33.6	16.0	0.0	0.0	.8	100% (125)
Specialist's	---	---	---	---	---	---	--- (1)*
Doctorate	40.0	40.0	12.0	0.0	4.0	4.0	100% (25)
Other	---	---	---	---	---	---	--- (4)*
Overall	52.9	31.5	12.6	1.3	.4	1.3	100% (238)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4E.55

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF CJE SELECTION OF ARTICLES  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

	Very Useful 1	2	3	4	Item Never Used 5		(N)
<u>Primary Association</u>							
Preschool	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100%	(5)
Elementary	57.5	22.5	12.5	5.0	2.5	100%	(40)
Secondary	58.8	23.5	17.6	0.0	0.0	100%	(17)
College or University	46.6	34.7	12.7	5.1	.8	100%	(18)
State Dept. of Education	33.3	50.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	100%	(6)
Regional Educational Lab	35.7	42.9	21.4	0.0	0.0	100%	(14)
R & D Center	20.0	40.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	100%	(5)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
Other Federal Agency	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Other	54.5	40.9	4.5	0.0	0.0	100%	(22)
Overall	48.1	33.8	12.5	0.0	1.3	100%	(237)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire  
 \* Where N<5 calculations have been omitted

TABLE A4E.56

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF SELECTION OF ARTICLES IN CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> 1	2	3	4	<u>Of No Use</u> 5	<u>Items Never Used</u> 6	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	60.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	100% (5)
Bachelor's	56.4	28.2	6.4	7.7	0.0	1.3	100% (78)
Master's	49.6	32.5	16.3	.8	0.0	.8	100% (123)
Specialist's	---	---	---	---	---	---	--- (1)*
Doctorate	20.0	60.0	16.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	100% (25)
Other	---	---	---	---	---	---	--- (4)*
Overall	48.7	33.9	12.7	3.0	.4	1.3	100% (236)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4E.57

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF THE SELECTION OF ARTICLES IN CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

<u>Age</u>	<u>Very Useful</u>			<u>Of No Use</u>		<u>Items Never Used</u>	<u>(N)</u>
	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	
25 or below	50.0%	35.2%	9.3%	3.7%	1.9%	0.0%	100% ( 54)
26-35	40.8	38.8	14.3	4.1	0.0	2.0	100% ( 98)
36-45	54.5	34.5	9.1	0.0	0.0	1.8	100% ( 55)
46-55	55.2	17.2	27.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% ( 29)
56-65	25.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% ( 4)*
Over 65	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	---- ( 2)*
Overall	47.5	34.7	13.2	2.9	.4	1.2	100% (242)
<u>Sex</u>							
Male	34.7	41.6	16.8	4.0	1.0	2.0	100% (101)
Female	59.0	28.4	9.7	2.2	0.0	.7	100% (134)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4E.58

EVALUATION OF THE TIMELINESS OF CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

Primary Association	Very Useful				Item Never Used		(N)
	1	2	3	4	5		
Preschool	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100%	(5)
Elementary	54.1	29.7	8.1	2.7	5.4	100%	(37)
Secondary	52.9	35.3	11.8	0.0	0.0	100%	(17)
College or University	55.8	20.0	16.7	7.5	0.0	100%	(120)
State Dept. of Education	16.7	50.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	100%	(6)
Regional Educa- tional Lab	42.9	35.7	14.3	7.1	0.0	100%	(14)
R & D Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
Other Federal Agency	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Other	68.2	22.7	9.1	0.0	0.0	100%	(22)
Overall	53.6	26.0	14.0	43.3	1.7	100%	(235)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5 calculations have been omitted

TABLE A4E.59

EVALUATION OF THE TIMELINESS OF CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> 1	2	3	4	<u>Of No Use</u> 5	<u>Items Never Used</u> 6	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	100% (5)
Bachelor's	58.2	19.0	11.4	7.6	1.3	2.5	100% (70)
Master's	54.9	27.9	15.6	1.6	0.0	0.0	100% (122)
Specialist's	---	---	---	---	---	---	--- (1)*
Doctorate	37.5	33.3	16.7	8.3	0.0	4.2	100% (24)
Other	---	---	---	---	---	---	--- (4)*
Overall	53.6	25.5	14.5	4.3	.4	1.7	100% (226)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4E.60

EVALUATION OF THE TIMELINESS OF CITE  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

Age	<u>Very Useful</u>			<u>Of No Use</u>	<u>Items Never Used</u>	<u>(N)</u>
	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>
25 or below	50.9%	30.2%	13.2%	3.8%	0.0%	1.9%
26-35	46.9	28.6	14.3	6.1	4.0	3.1
36-45	58.2	30.9	9.1	1.8	0.0	0.0
46-55	67.9	10.7	21.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
56-65	40.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
Over 65	---	---	---	---	---	---
Overall	52.7	27.0	14.1	4.1	.4	1.7
Sex						
Male	40.0	36.0	16.0	5.0	0.0	3.0
Female	63.4	17.9	13.4	3.7	.7	.7

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.



TABLE A4E.61

EVALUATION OF USEFULNESS OF DESCRIPTOR GROUP  
CODES IN CIJE BY RESPONDENTS' OCCUPATIONS

	Very Useful 1	2	3	4	Of No Use 5	Items Never Used 6	(N)
<u>Occupation</u>							
Administration or Supervision	23.8%	42.9%	19.0%	4.8%	4.8%	4.8%	100% (21)
Teaching	26.5	34.7	22.4	2.0	2.0	12.2	100% (49)
Pupil Personnel Services	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (1)*
Research and Development	10.0	20.0	35.0	15.0	10.0	10.0	100% (20)
Library or Instruc- tional Research	10.0	27.5	30.0	5.0	15.0	12.5	100% (40)
Consulting	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (4)
Undergraduate Student	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (3)
Graduate Student	25.3	28.0	24.0	5.3	5.3	12.0	100% (75)
Other	20.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% (5)
Overall	21.6	31.6	24.8	5.0	6.4	11.0	100% (218)
<u>Conducted Research</u>							
Yes	21.4	33.0	24.1	5.4	7.1	8.9	100% (112)
No	23.3	32.0	25.2	2.9	4.9	11.7	100% (103)
<u>Published</u>							
Yes	18.0	32.8	24.6	6.6	6.6	11.5	100% (61)
No	23.1	31.3	25.0	4.4	5.6	10.6	100% (160)

TABLE A4E.62

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF CIJE DESCRIPTOR GROUP CODES  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

<u>Primary Association</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> 1	2	3	4	<u>Item Never Used</u> 5	(N)
Preschool	0.0%	40.0%	20.0%	0.0%	40.0%	100% (5)
Elementary	40.0	20.0	20.0	11.4	8.6	100% (35)
Secondary	20.0	40.0	26.7	6.7	6.7	100% (15)
College or University	19.3	33.3	24.6	12.3	10.5	100% (114)
State Dept. of Education	16.7	50.0	16.7	16.7	0.0	100% (6)
Regional Educational Lab	8.3	8.3	41.7	25.0	16.7	100% (12)
R & D Center	----	----	----	----	----	---- (4)*
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	---- (1)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	---- (3)*
Other Federal Agency	----	----	----	----	----	---- (3)*
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	---- (1)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	---- (1)*
Other	30.0	40.0	20.0	0.0	10.0	100% (20)
Overall	22.3	31.8	24.5	10.4	10.0	100% (220)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5 calculations have been omitted

TABLE A4E.63

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF DESCRIPTOR GROUP CODES IN CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> 1	2	3	4	<u>Of No Use</u> 5	<u>Items Never Used</u> 6	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	0.0%	60.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	100% (5)
Bachelor's	28.2	22.5	28.2	5.6	4.2	11.3	100% (71)
Master's	19.0	35.3	24.1	4.3	7.8	9.5	100% (116)
Specialist's	---	---	---	---	---	---	--- (1)*
Doctorate	18.2	27.3	31.8	9.1	0.0	13.6	100% (22)
Other	---	---	---	---	---	---	--- (3)*
Overall	21.6	31.2	25.7	5.0	6.0	10.6	100% (218)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4E.64

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF DESCRIPTOR GROUP CODES IN CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

<u>Age</u>	<u>Very Useful</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>Of No Use</u>	<u>Items Never Used</u>	<u>(N)</u>
	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	
25 or below	26.0%	24.0%	38.0%	2.0%	2.0%	8.0%	100% ( 50)
26-35	11.8	36.6	25.8	6.5	8.6	10.8	100% ( 93)
36-45	31.4	31.4	13.7	3.9	5.9	13.7	100% ( 51)
46-55	33.3	25.0	25.0	0.0	4.2	12.5	100% ( 24)
56-65	20.0	60.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	100% ( 5)
Over 65	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----- ( 2)*
Overall	21.8	31.6	24.9	4.9	6.2	10.7	100% (225)
<u>Sex</u>							
Male	18.1	35.1	23.4	7.4	6.4	9.6	100% ( 94)
Female	23.6	28.5	27.6	3.3	5.7	11.4	100% (123)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4E.65

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF THE ASSIGNMENT OF DESCRIPTORS  
IN CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

	Very Useful 1	2	3	4	Item Never Used 5	(N)
<u>Primary Association</u>						
Preschool	0.0%	40.0%	20.0%	0.0%	40.0%	100% (5)
Elementary	37.8	24.3	21.6	5.4	10.8	100% (37)
Secondary	8.3	33.3	41.7	0.0	16.7	100% (12)
College or University	18.7	40.2	25.0	9.0	7.1	100% (112)
State Dept. of Education	16.7	50.0	16.7	16.7	0.0	100% (6)
Regional Educa- tional Lab	18.2	9.1	54.5	19.2	0.0	100% (11)
R & D Center	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
Other Federal Agency	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Other	20.0	55.0	10.0	5.0	10.0	100% (20)
Overall	20.8	37.0	25.5	7.5	9.3	100% (216)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N = 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4E.66

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF THE ASSIGNMENT OF DESCRIPTORS IN CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> 1	2	3	4	<u>Of No Use</u> 5	<u>Items Never Used</u> 6	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	100% (5)
Bachelor's	25.7	28.6	22.9	7.1	2.9	12.9	100% (70)
Master's	18.7	42.0	27.7	5.4	.9	5.4	100% (112)
Specialist's	---	---	---	---	---	---	--- (1)*
Doctorate	18.2	27.3	36.4	4.5	0.0	13.6	100% (22)
Other	---	---	---	---	---	---	--- (3)*
Overall	20.7	36.2	26.8	5.6	1.9	8.9	100% (213)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4E.67

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF ASSIGNMENT OF DESCRIPTORS IN CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

<u>Age</u>	<u>Very</u> <u>Useful</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>Of No</u> <u>Use</u>	<u>Items</u> <u>Never</u> <u>Used</u>	<u>(N)</u>
	<u>1</u>				<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	
25 or below	26.0%	28.0%	32.0%	4.0%	2.0%	8.0%	100% ( 50)
26-35	15.1	43.0	26.9	5.4	3.2	6.5	100% ( 93)
36-45	16.3	42.9	22.4	2.0	0.0	16.3	100% ( 49)
46-55	33.3	19.0	23.8	14.3	0.0	9.5	100% ( 21)
56-65	20.0	60.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	100% ( 5)
Over 65	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----- ( 2)*
Overall	20.0	37.3	26.4	5.5	1.8	9.1	100% (220)
<u>Sex</u>							
Male	14.1	41.3	4	6.5	0.0	7.6	100% ( 92)
Female	25.0	32.5	24.2	5.0	3.3	10.0	100% (120)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4E.68

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF CIJE SEQUENCE OF SECTIONS  
IN JOURNAL BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

<u>Primary Association</u>	Very Useful				Item Never Used		(N)
	1	2	3	4	5		
Preschool	0.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	100%	(5)
Elementary	38.9	25.0	16.7	2.8	16.7	100%	(36)
Secondary	30.8	23.1	30.8	0.0	15.4	100%	(13)
College or University	18.3	42.2	24.8	9.2	5.5	100%	(109)
State Dept. of Education	16.7	50.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	100%	(6)
Regional Educational Lab	8.3	25.0	50.0	8.3	8.3	100%	(12)
R & D Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
Other Federal Agency	----	----	----	----	----	----	(2)*
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Other	40.0	45.0	15.0	0.0	0.0	100%	(20)
Overall	23.9	38.5	23.5	5.7	8.5	100%	(213)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted



TABLE A4E.69

EVALUATION OF USEFULNESS OF SUBJECT INDEX IN CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S OCCUPATIONS

	Very Useful 1	2	3	4	Of No Use 5	Items Never Used 6	(N)
<u>Occupation</u>							
Administration or Supervision	73.9%	13.0%	13.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100% (23)
Teaching	71.7	17.0	7.5	1.9	0.0	1.9	100% (53)
Pupil Personnel Services	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (1)*
Research and Development	61.9	38.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% (21)
Library or Instruc- tional Research	65.9	24.4	9.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% (41)
Consulting	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (4)
Undergraduate Student	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (3)
Graduate Student	67.5	15.0	11.2	3.7	0.0	2.5	100% (80)
Other	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% (5)
Overall	68.8	19.5	8.7	1.7	0.0	1.3	100% (231)
<u>Conducted Research</u>							
Yes	71.0	15.3	11.3	1.6	0.0	.8	100% (124)
No	62.5	26.0	7.7	1.9	0.0	1.9	100% (104)
<u>Published</u>							
Yes	53.2	32.3	12.9	0.0	0.0	1.6	100% (62)
No	72.7	16.3	8.1	2.3	0.0	1.2	100% (172)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N < 5 calculations have been omitted

TABLE A4E.70

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF SUBJECT INDEX IN CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> 1	2	3	4	<u>Of No Use</u> 5	<u>Items Never Used</u> 6	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	60.0%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100% (5)
Bachelor's	76.9	9.0	9.0	2.6	0.0	2.6	100% (78)
Master's	62.8	24.8	10.7	1.7	0.0	0.0	100% (121)
Specialist's	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (0)*
Doctorate	62.5	25.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	4.0	100% (24)
Other	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (4)*
Overall	67.2	20.3	9.5	1.7	0.0	1.3	100% (232)

TABLE A4E.71

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF THE SUBJECT INDEX IN CLJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

<u>Age</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> <u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>Of No Use</u> <u>5</u>	<u>Items Never Used</u> <u>6</u>	<u>(N)</u>
25 or below	77.4%	15.1%	7.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100% ( 53)
26-35	60.4	26.0	8.3	2.1	0.0	3.1	100% ( 96)
36-45	70.4	18.5	7.4	3.7	0.0	0.0	100% ( 54)
46-55	67.9	17.9	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% ( 28)
56-65	60.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% ( 5)
Over 65	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----- ( 2)*
Overall	67.2	20.6	9.2	1.7	0.0	1.3	100% (238)
<u>Sex</u>							
Male	53.6	29.9	12.4	2.1	0.0	2.1	100% ( 97)
Female	76.9	13.4	7.5	1.5	0.0	.7	100% (134)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4E.72

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF SUBJECT INDEX IN CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

Primary Association	Very Useful				Item Never Used		(N)
	1	2	3	4	5		
Preschool	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Elementary	84.6	5.1	5.1	2.6	2.6	100%	(39)
Secondary	68.8	6.3	18.7	6.3	0.0	100%	(16)
College or University	64.2	24.2	10.0	1.7	0.0	100%	(120)
State Department of Education	50.0	33.3	16.7	0.0	0.0	100%	(6)
Regional Educa- tional Lab	41.7	50.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	100%	(12)
R & D Center	60.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	100%	(5)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
Other Federal Agency	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Other	70.0	20.0	5.0	0.0	5.0	100%	(20)
Overall	68.1	19.8	9.1	1.7	1.3	100%	(232)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4E.73

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF AUTHOR INDEX IN CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

<u>Primary Association</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> <u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>Item Never Used</u> <u>5</u>	<u>(N)</u>
Preschool	0.0%	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%	100% (5)
Elementary	64.9	8.1	13.5	2.7	10.8	100% (37)
Secondary	46.7	13.3	40.0	0.0	0.0	100% (15)
College or University	48.7	23.5	16.8	7.6	3.4	100% (119)
State Dept. of Education	50.0	33.3	16.7	0.0	0.0	100% (6)
Regional Educational Lab	33.3	25.0	41.7	0.0	0.0	100% (12)
R & D Center	20.0	40.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	100% (5)
Professional Or Organization	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
Other Federal Agency	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Other	60.0	35.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	100% (20)
Overall	49.1	22.8	18.4	5.2	4.4	100% (228)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5 calculations have been omitted

TABLE A4E.74

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF AUTHOR INDEX IN CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> 1	2	3	4	<u>Of No Use</u> 5	<u>Items Never Used</u> 6	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	80.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100% (5)
Bachelor's	54.7	9.3	22.7	6.7	0.0	6.7	100% (75)
Master's	45.0	29.2	19.2	2.5	1.7	2.5	100% (120)
Specialist's	---	---	---	---	---	---	--- (1)*
Doctorate	39.1	39.1	8.7	4.8	0.0	8.1	100% (23)
Other	---	---	---	---	---	---	--- (4)*
Overall	48.7	23.7	18.4	3.9	.9	4.4	100% (228)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4E.75

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF THE AUTHOR INDEX IN CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

Age	<u>Very Useful</u> 1	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>Of No Use</u> 5	<u>Items Never Used</u> 6	<u>(N)</u>
25 or below	57.7%	15.4%	17.3%	3.8%	1.9%	3.8%	100% ( 52)
26-35	43.2	30.5	13.7	3	1.1	5.3	100% ( 95)
36-45	53.8	25.0	17.3	0.0	1.9	1.9	100% ( 52)
46-55	46.4	17.9	28.6	0.0	0.0	7.1	100% ( 28)
56-65	20.0	20.0	40.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	100% ( 5)
Over 65	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----- ( 2)*
Overall	48.7	23.9	17.9	3.8	1.3	4.3	100% (234)
Sex							
Male	35.4	36.5	16.7	5.2	1.0	5.2	100% ( 96)
Female	58.0	14.5	19.8	3.1	.8	3.8	100% (131)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N &lt; 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4E.76

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF MAIN ENTRY SECTION IN CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

<u>Primary Association</u>	Very Useful				Item Never Used		(N)
	1	2	3	4	5		
Preschool	40.0%	60.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100%	(5)
Elementary	67.6	14.7	11.8	2.9	2.9	100%	(34)
Secondary	40.0	33.3	26.7	0.0	0.0	100%	(15)
College or University	44.0	25.0	19.0	6.9	5.2	100%	(116)
State Dept. of Education	33.3	50.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	100%	(6)
Regional Educational Lab	41.7	16.7	33.3	8.3	0.0	100%	(12)
R & D Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
Other Federal Agency	----	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Other	63.2	26.3	10.5	0.0	0.0	100%	(19)
Overall	48.2	25.9	17.3	5.0	3.6	100%	(220)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5 calculations have been omitted



TABLE A4E.77

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF MAIN ENTRY SECTION IN CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> 1	2	3	4	<u>Of No Use</u> 5	<u>Items Never Used</u> 6	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100% (5)
Bachelor's	61.1	9.7	19.4	5.6	0.0	4.2	100% (72)
Master's	41.4	33.6	16.4	4.3	1.7	2.6	100% (116)
Specialist's	---	---	---	---	---	---	--- (1)*
Doctorate	31.8	31.8	27.3	0.0	0.0	9.1	100% (22)
Other	---	---	---	---	---	---	--- (4)*
Overall	47.3	25.9	18.2	4.1	.9	3.6	100% (220)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*where N<5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4E.78

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF MAIN ENTRY SECTION IN CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

<u>Age</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> <u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>Of No Use</u> <u>5</u>	<u>Items Never Used</u> <u>6</u>	<u>(N)</u>
25 or below	50.0%	20.0%	22.0%	6.0%	0.0%	2.0%	100% (50)
26-35	47.3	26.9	15.1	5.4	0.0	5.4	100% (93)
36-45	50.0	26.0	18.0	0.0	2.0	4.0	100% (50)
46-55	38.5	38.5	19.2	0.0	3.8	0.0	100% (26)
56-65	60.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	100% (5)
Over 65	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----- (2)*
Overall	47.8	26.1	17.7	4.0	.9	3.5	100% (226)
<u>Sex</u>							
Male	35.5	30.1	22.6	2.2	2.2	7.5	100% (93)
Female	55.6	23.0	15.1	5.6	0.0	.8	100% (126)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4E.79

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF ACCESSION NUMBERING  
SYSTEM IN CIJE BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

<u>Primary Association</u>	Very Useful				Item Never Used		(N)
	1	2	3	4	5		
Preschool	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100%	(5)
Elementary	35.1	32.4	18.9	2.7	10.8	100%	(37)
Secondary	40.0	26.7	26.7	6.7	0.0	100%	(15)
College or University	21.1	32.1	19.3	16.5	11.0	100%	(109)
State Dept. of Education	20.0	20.0	20.0	40.0	0.0	100%	(5)
Regional Educa- tional Lab	9.1	18.2	27.3	36.4	9.1	100%	(11)
R & D Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
Other Federal Agency	----	----	----	----	----	----	(2)*
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Other	45.0	40.0	5.0	0.0	10.0	100%	(20)
Overall	45.0	40.0	5.0	0.0	10.0	100%	(20)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5 calculations have been omitted

TABLE A4E.80

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF ACCESSION NUMBERING SYSTEM IN CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

Degree Level	Very Useful 1	2	3	4	Of no Use 5	Item Never Used 6	N
High School Diploma	20.0%	40.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	100% (5)
Bachelor's	40.0	17.1	20.0	10.0	2.9	10.0	100% (70)
Master's	21.4	39.3	18.7	8.9	3.6	8.0	100% (112)
Specialist's	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (1)*
Doctorate	9.1	36.4	13.6	9.1	9.1	22.7	100% (22)
Other	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (3)*
Overall	26.8	31.5	18.3	8-9	4.2	10.4	100% (213)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4E.81

VALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE  
BY SEX (Overall)

Age	Very Useful				Of No Use	Items Never Used		Items Not Available	(N)
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
25 or below	35.4%	16.7%	22.9%	12.5%	2.1%	10.4%	0.0%	100% ( 48)	
26-35	23.1	34.1	18.7	9.9	5.5	7.7	1.1	100% ( 91)	
36-45	26.0	42.0	12.0	4.0	2.0	14.0	0.0	100% ( 50)	
46-55	30.4	30.4	21.7	0.0	8.7	8.7	0.0	100% ( 23)	
56-65	20.0	60.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% ( 5)	
Over 65	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- ( 2)*	
Overall	26.9	32.0	17.8	8.7	4.6	9.6	.5	100% (219)	
Sex									
Male	24.2	33.0	14.3	9.9	6.6	12.1	0.0	100% ( 91)	
Female	28.9	29.8	21.5	8.3	2.5	8.3	.8	100% (121)	

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4E.82

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF ANNOTATIONS OF MAIN ENTRIES  
IN CIJE BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

	Very Useful				Item Never Used		(N)
	1	2	3	4	5		
<u>Primary Associations</u>							
Preschool	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4) *
Elementary	37.8%	32.4%	18.9%	0.0%	8.1%	100%	(37)
Secondary	33.3	20.0	40.0	6.7	0.0	100%	(15)
College or University	46.9	21.2	19.5	4.5	8.0	100%	(12)
State Dept. of Education	33.3	33.3	16.7	16.7	0.0	100%	(6)
Regional Educational Lab	18.2	27.3	27.3	18.2	9.1	100%	(21)
R & D Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
Other Federal Agency	----	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Other	55.0	40.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	100%	(20)
Overall	42.0	27.4	18.7	5.5	6.4	100%	(219)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted

TABLE A4E.83

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF ANNOTATIONS OF MAIN ENTRIES IN CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> 1	2	3	4	<u>Of No Use</u> 5	<u>Items Never Used</u> 6	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	100% (5)
Bachelor's	50.7	19.7	15.5	4.2	2.8	7.0	100% (71)
Master's	40.7	29.1	21.4	3.4	.9	5.1	100% (117)
Specialist's	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (1)*
Doctorate	23.8	38.1	19.0	9.5	0.0	9.5	100% (21)
Other	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (3)*
Overall	41.7	27.1	19.3	4.1	1.4	6.5	100% (218)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

ERIC where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4E.84

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF THE ANNOTATIONS OF MAIN ENTRIES IN CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

Age	<u>Very Useful</u>				<u>Of No Use</u>		<u>Items Never Used</u>		<u>Items Not Available</u>	
	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>(N)</u>		
25 or Below	45.8%	14.6%	27.1%	2.1%	2.1%	8.3%	0.0%	100% (48)		
26-35	37.0	32.6	15.2	6.5	1.1	6.5	1.1	100% (92)		
36-45	47.2	28.3	18.9	1.9	0.0	3.8	0.0	100% (53)		
46-55	45.8	29.2	16.7	4.2	0.0	4.2	0.0	100% (24)		
56-65	40.0	40.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% (5)		
Over 65	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----- (2)*		
Overall	42.4	27.2	18.7	4.0	1.3	5.8	.4	100%(224)		
<u>Sex</u>										
Male	29.7	27.5	24.2	6.6	1.1	11.0	0.0	100% (91)		
Female	50.8	26.2	15.9	2.4	1.6	2.4	.8	100%(126)		

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N &lt; 5, calculations have been omitted.



TABLE A4E.85

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF FORMAT AND TYPOGRAPHY  
IN CITE BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

	Very Useful 1	2	3	4	Item Never Used 5		(N)
<u>Primary Association</u>							
Preschool	0.0%	80.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	100%	(5)
Elementary	39.5	36.8	13.2	5.3	5.3	100%	(38)
Secondary	33.3	20.0	40.1	6.7	0.0	100%	(15)
College or University	38.4	30.4	14.3	12.5	4.5	100%	(112)
State Dept. of Education	33.3	0.0	50.0	16.7	0.0	100%	(6)
Regional Educational Lab	23.1	38.5	23.1	15.4	0.0	100%	(13)
R & D Center	---	---	---	---	---	---	(4)*
Professional Organization	---	---	---	---	---	---	(1)*
OE Regional Office	---	---	---	---	---	---	(3)*
Other Federal Agency	---	---	---	---	---	---	(3)*
Local Regional Info. Center	---	---	---	---	---	---	(1)*
Business or Industry	---	---	---	---	---	---	(1)*
Other	35.0	60.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	100%	(20)
Overall	35.6	34.2	16.7	9.9	2.7	100%	(222)

Source. Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5 calculations have been omitted

TABLE A4E.86

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF FORMAT AND TYPOGRAPHY IN CIJE  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> 1	2	3	4	<u>Of No Use</u> 5	<u>Items Never Used</u> 6	<u>N</u>	
High School Diploma	0.0%	40.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%	100%	(5)
Bachelor's	40.5	29.7	16.2	9.5	0.0	4.1	100%	(74)
Master's	35.1	36.8	18.4	5.3	3.5	.9	100%	(114)
Specialist's	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Doctorate	34.8	26.1	17.4	13.0	0.0	8.7	100%	(23)
Other	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Overall	35.7	33.9	17.2	7.2	2.3	3.7	100%	(221)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF THE FORMAT AND TYPOGRAPHY  
IN CITE BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

Age	<u>Very Useful</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>Of No Use</u>	<u>Items Never Used</u>	<u>Items Not Available</u>	(N)
	<u>1</u>				<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	
25 or Below	38.0%	32.0%	20.0%	4.0%	0.0%	6.0%	0.0%	100% (50)
26-35	35.8	31.6	16.8	9.5	3.2	2.1	1.1	100% (95)
36-45	34.6	42.3	15.4	3.8	0.0	3.8	0.0	100% (52)
46-55	44.0	28.0	16.0	4.0	8.0	0.0	0.0	100% (25)
56-65	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	---- (4)*
Over 65	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	---- (2)*
Overall	36.8	33.3	17.1	7.0	2.2	2.2	1.4	100%(228)
<u>Sex</u>								
Male	34.4	29.2	18.7	9.4	2.1	6.3	0.0	100% (96)
Female	37.1	37.1	16.1	5.6	2.4	.8	.8	100%(124)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire  
 \* Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4E.88

RELATIVE IMPORTANCE OF  
VARIOUS CHANNELS OF INFORMATION  
FOR CIJE SUBSCRIBERS

<u>Channels used</u>	<u>Most Important</u>		<u>Second Most Important</u>		<u>Third Most Important</u>	
	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>
Oral Communication	7.9	16	7.9	16	11.9	24
Journal articles	34.5	70	25.6	57	13.3	27
Books and monographs	10.8	22	18.2	37	16.6	33
Reports	3.0	6	9.9	20	13.3	27
Abstracting & Indexing Service	22.2	45	10.3	21	10.3	21
Professional meetings	3.0	6	4.9	10	7.9	16
Correspondence and/or reprints	1.5	3	4.4	9	3.0	6
Other	1.0	2	0.5	1	1.0	5
No response	16.7	33	18.2	37	23.2	47
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
	100.0	203	100.0	203	100.0	203

Source: CIJE Questionnaire

TABLE A4E.89

BASIC OR APPLIED RESEARCH  
PROJECTS CONDUCTED OR PARTICIPATED IN  
BY CIJE SUBSCRIBERS

	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>
<u>Conducted Research</u>		
Yes	85	41.9
No	104	51.2
No Response	14	6.9
	<u>203</u>	<u>100.0</u>

---

Source: CIJE Questionnaire

TABLE A4E.90

NUMBER OF BOOKS OR PAPERS ACCEPTED FOR  
PUBLICATION OR FOR PRESENTATION AT MEETINGS IN THE PAST  
FIVE YEARS, REPORTED BY CIJE SUBSCRIBERS

<u>Published</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>%</u>
Yes	69	34.0
No	122	60.1
No Response	12	5.9
	<hr/> 203	<hr/> 100.0

---

Source: CIJE Questionnaire

TABLE A4E.91

BOOKS OR PAPER ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION OR PRESENTATION IN THE  
PAST FIVE YEARS REPORTED BY THE CIJE SUBSCRIBERS

<u>Number of Publications</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Number of Respondents</u>
0	61.1	124
1	8.4	17
2 - 5	12.8	26
6 - 10	4.4	9
More than 10	5.9	12
No estimate given	1.5	3
No response	5.9	12
	<hr/> 100.0	<hr/> 203

---

Source: CIJE Questionnaire

TABLE A4E.92

AVAILABILITY OF JOURNALS INDEXED IN CIJE  
IN A NEARBY LIBRARY

<u>Yes</u>		<u>No</u>		<u>No answer</u>	
<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>
5.7	(28)	49.2	(243)	45.1	(223)

TABLE A4E.92a

NUMBER OF JOURNAL ARTICLES CONSULTED  
DURING 1970  
AS A RESULT OF HAVING READ A CITATION IN CIJE

	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>
None	7.1	35
1-5	21.1	104
6-10	18.0	89
More than 10	10.1	50
No. Response	43.7	216
	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>494</u>



TABLE A4E.93

AVAILABILITY OF JOURNALS INDEXED IN CIJE  
IN NEARBY LIBRARY  
BY RESPONDENT'S OCCUPATION

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>(N)</u>
<u>Occupation</u>			
Administration or Supervision	78.6%	21.4%	100% (28)
Teaching	92.7	7.3	100% (55)
Pupil Personnel Services	----	----	---- (1)*
Research and Development Development	92.3	7.7	100% (26)
Library or Instructional Resources	90.7	9.3	100% (43)
Consulting	83.3	16.7	100% (6)
Undergraduate Student	----	----	---- (4)
Graduate Student	91.1	8.9	100% (90)
Other	85.7	14.3	100% (7)
Overall	89.2	10.8	100% (260)
<u>Conducted Research</u>			
Yes	90.5	9.5	100% (137)
No	90.3	9.7	100% (113)
<u>Published</u>			
Yes	90.1	9.9	100% (71)
No	90.4	9.6	100% (187)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire  
 here N<5 calculations have been omitted

TABLE A4E.94

AVAILABILITY OF JOURNALS INDEXED IN GJE IN A NEARBY LIBRARY  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

<u>Primary Association</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>N</u>
Preschool	83.3%	16.7%	100% (6)
Elementary	92.3	7.7	100% (39)
Secondary	93.8	6.3	100% (16)
College or University	90.0	10.0	100% (130)
State Department of Education	71.4	28.6	100% (7)
Regional Educational Laboratory	100.0	0.0	100% (17)
Research and Develop- ment Center	----	----	---- (4)*
Professional Organization	----	----	---- (1)*
Office of Education Regional Office	----	----	---- (3)*
Other Federal Agency	83.3	16.7	100% (6)
Local or Regional Information Center	----	----	---- (2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	---- (1)*
Other	92.6	7.4	100% (27)
Overall	90.3	9.7	100% (259)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4E.95

AVAILABILITY OF JOURNALS INDEXED IN CIJE IN A NEARBY LIBRARY  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>(N)</u>
High School Diploma	50.0%	50.0%	100% (6)
Bachelor's	91.6	8.4	100% (83)
Master's	90.6	9.4	100% (139)
Specialist's	—	—	— (2)*
Doctorate	92.3	7.7	100% (26)
Other	—	—	— (4)*
Overall	90.0	10.0	100% (260)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4E.95

TABLE A4E.96

AVAILABILITY OF JOURNALS INDEXED IN CIEE  
IN A NEARBY LIBRARY  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>N</u>
<u>Age</u>			
25 or below	87.5%	12.5%	100% (56)
26-35	88.5	11.5	100% (104)
36-45	96.8	3.2	100% (63)
46-55	87.9	12.1	100% (33)
56-65	87.5	12.5	100% (8)
Over 65	----	----	---- (2)
Overall	89.8	10.2	100% (266)
<u>Sex</u>			
Male	87.6	12.4	100% (105)
Female	91.6	8.4	100% (154)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where  $N < 5$ , calculations have been omitted.



VOLUME IV - English  
INDEX TO SPECIAL COLLECTIONS



TABLE A4F.1

NUMBER OF TIMES "PACSEITTERS IN INNOVATION"  
WERE USED DURING 1970 BY  
BY RESPONDENTS' PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

	<u>Never Used</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>11-25</u>	<u>More Than 25</u>	<u>N</u>
Preschool	40.0%	20.0%	0.0%	20.0%	20.0%	100% (5)
Elementary	60.9	28.3	0.0	4.3	6.5	100% (46)
Secondary	52.2	13.0	4.3	13.0	17.4	100% (23)
College or University	57.5	19.0	6.3	1.7	15.5	100% (174)
State Department of Education	44.4	33.3	0.0	11.1	11.1	100% (9)
Regional Educational Laboratory	50.0	31.8	4.5	9.1	4.5	100% (22)
Research and Develop- ment Center	45.5	27.3	9.1	0.0	18.2	100% (11)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	---- (1)*
Office of Education. Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	---- (4)*
Other Federal Agency	40.0	20.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	100% (5)
Local or Regional Information Center	----	----	----	----	----	---- (2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	---- (1)*
Other	45.7	28.6	2.9	8.6	14.3	100% (35)
Overall	53.8	22.8	5.0	5.3	13.0	100% (338)

TABLE A4F.2

NUMBER OF TIMES DURING 1970 "PACESETTERS IN INNOVATION"  
WAS USED BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>11-25</u>	<u>More Than 25</u>	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	72.7%	18.2%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%	100% (11)
Bachelor's	63.8	14.3	4.8	1.0	16.1	100% (105)
Master's	45.6	25.6	6.7	8.3	13.8	100% (180)
Specialist's	60.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	100% (5)
Doctorate	52.5	37.5	2.5	2.5	5.0	100% (40)
Other	57.1	28.6	0.0	0.0	14.3	100% (7)
Overall	53.2	23.3	5.2	5.2	13.1	100% (348)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A4F.3

NUMBER OF TIMES PACESETTERS IN INNOVATION WAS USED DURING 1970  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

<u>Age</u>	<u>Never</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>11-25</u>	<u>More Than 25</u>	<u>(N)</u>
25 or below	64.0%	21.3%	1.3%	0.0%	13.3%	100% ( 75)
26-35	50.4	22.6	6.0	4.5	16.5	100% (133)
36-45	53.9	21.3	4.5	7.9	12.4	100% ( 89)
46-55	43.2	34.1	9.1	6.8	6.8	100% ( 44)
56-65	33.3	44.4	0.0	22.2	0.0	100% ( 9)
Over 65	----	----	----	----	----	---- ( 1)*
Overall	53.0	23.9	4.8	5.1	13.1	100% (351)
<u>Sex</u>						
Male	54.9	21.0	4.9	5.6	13.6	100% (162)
Female	51.9	25.1	5.5	4.9	12.6	100% (183)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire  
 \* Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.



TABLE A4F.4

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF PACESETTERS IN INNOVATION  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

	Very Useful 1	2	3	4	Item Never Used 5	(N)
Preschool	40.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%	100% (5)
Elementary	8.2	2.0	8.2	12.3	69.1	100% (49)
Secondary	4.8	23.8	9.5	9.5	52.4	100% (21)
College or University	4.9	7.0	14.1	8.1	65.9	100% (185)
State Dept. of Education	11.1	0.0	33.3	0.0	55.5	100% (9)
Regional Educa- tional Lab	20.8	4.2	4.2	16.7	54.2	100% (24)
R & D Center	16.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	50.0	100% (12)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
Other Federal Agency	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	(2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	(0)*
Other	10.0	12.5	12.5	15.0	50.0	100% (40)
Overall	9.0	7.8	13.4	9.2	60.5	100% (351)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5 calculations have been omitted

**EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF PAGESETTERS IN INNOVATION  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL**

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> 1	2	3	4	<u>Of No Use</u> 5	<u>Item Never Used</u> 6	<u>Item Not Available</u> 7	<u>(N)</u>
High School Diploma	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	7.1%	64.3%	0.0%	100% (14)
Bachelor's	6.1	5.3	7.9	1.8	2.6	75.3	.9	100% (114)
Master's	11.5	10.4	14.8	9.3	2.2	44.8	7.1	100% (183)
Specialist's	0.0	12.5	12.5	12.5	0.0	50.0	12.5	100% (8)
Doctorate	12.2	4.9	19.5	0.0	12.2	43.9	7.3	100% (41)
Other	14.3	14.3	0.0	0.0	14.3	42.9	14.3	100% (7)
Overall	9.5	8.2	12.5	5.7	3.8	55.0	5.2	100% (367)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A4F.6

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF PACESETTERS IN INNOVATION

BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

Age	Very Useful				Of No Use		Items Never Used		Items Not Avail-able		(N)
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
25 or Below	2.3	4.5	8.0	4.5	3.4	76.1	1.1	100%	100%	100%	(88)
26-35	9.9	9.2	13.4	4.9	4.9	53.5	4.2	100%	100%	100%	(142)
36-45	12.2	5.6	14.4	7.8	3.3	46.7	10.0	100%	100%	100%	(90)
46-55	14.6	14.6	14.6	7.3	0.0	41.5	7.3	100%	100%	100%	(41)
56-65	11.1	11.1	55.6	0.0	0.0	22.2	0.0	100%	100%	100%	(9)
Over 65	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
Overall	9.1	8.0	13.4	5.6	3.5	55.2	5.1	100%	100%	100%	(373)
Sex											
Male	11.5	7.9	11.5	5.5	4.2	51.5	7.9	100%	100%	100%	(165)
Female	8.1	8.6	12.7	5.6	3.0	58.9	3.0	100%	100%	100%	(197)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire  
\* Where N<5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4F.7

NUMBER OF TIMES CATALOG OF SELECTED DOCUMENTS  
ON THE DISADVANTAGED WAS USED DURING 1970  
BY RESPONDENTS' PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

	<u>None</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>11-25</u>	<u>More Than 25</u>	<u>N</u>
Preschool	16.7%	16.7%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	100% (6)
Elementary	60.0	24.4	8.9	4.4	4.4	100% (45)
Secondary	62.5	8.3	8.3	20.8	20.8	100% (24)
College or University	56.5	20.0	6.5	14.7	14.7	100% (170)
State Department of Education	50.0	20.0	10.0	20.0	20.0	100% (10)
Regional Educational Laboratory	42.9	19.0	19.0	9.5	9.5	100% (21)
Research and Develop- ment Center	50.0	20.0	10.0	20.0	20.0	100% (10)
Professional Organization	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----- (1)*
Office of Education. Regional Office	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----- (4)*
Other Federal Agency	50.0	16.7	16.7	0.0	0.0	100% (6)
Local or Regional Information Center	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----- (2)*
Business or Industry	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----- (1)*
Other	55.9	26.5	0.0	8.8	8.8	100% (34)
Overall	54.2	21.3	7.5	13.2	13.2	100% (334)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4F.8

NUMBER OF TIMES DURING 1970 "CATALOG OF SELECTED DOCUMENTS  
ON THE DISADVANTAGED" WAS USED BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>11-25</u>	<u>More Than 25</u>	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	66.7%	16.7%	0.0%	8.3%	8.3%	100% (12)
Bachelor's	65.7	15.2	1.9	1.9	15.2	100% (105)
Master's	46.9	20.9	12.4	3.4	16.4	100% (177)
Specialist's	60.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	100% (5)
Doctorate	59.5	32.4	2.7	2.7	2.7	100% (37)
Other	57.1	28.6	0.0	0.0	14.3	100% (7)
Overall	55.1	20.1	7.3	3.5	14.0	100% (343)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A4F.9

NUMBER OF TIMES CATALOG OF SELECTED DOCUMENTS ON THE DISADVANTAGED  
WAS USED DURING 1970 BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

<u>Age</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>11-25</u>	<u>More Than 25</u>	<u>(N)</u>
25 or below	56.6	21.1	5.3	2.6	14.5	100% (76)
26-35	51.5	19.7	4.7	3.0	17.4	100% (132)
36-45	64.0	17.4	8.3	4.7	9.3	100% (86)
46-55	47.6	28.6	4.7	4.8	9.5	100% (42)
56-65	40.0	10.0	9.5	10.0	20.0	100% (10)
Over 65	0.0	100.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	100% (1)
Overall	54.8	20.5	7.2	3.7	13.8	100% (347)
<u>Sex</u>						
Male	17.1	17.1	8.2	2.5	13.3	100% (158)
Female	51.9	22.4	6.6	4.4	14.8	100% (183)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A4F.10

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF CATALOG OF SELECTED DOCUMENTS  
ON THE DISADVANTAGED BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

	Very Useful 1	2	3	4	Item Never Used 5	(N)	
<u>Primary Association</u>							
Preschool	40.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	40.0%	100%	(5)
Elementary	8.9	6.7	6.7	6.7	71.1	100%	(45)
Secondary	14.3	9.5	4.8	4.8	66.7	100%	(21)
College or University	10.8	7.5	9.7	11.3	59.7	100%	(186)
State Dept. of Education	0.0	0.0	30.0	10.0	60.0	100%	(10)
Regional Educa- tional Lab	12.0	20.0	12.0	8.0	48.0	100%	(25)
R & D Center	18.2	0.0	27.3	9.1	45.5	100%	(11)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	----	(2)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Other Federal Agency	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	(2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Other	10.3	7.7	17.9	15.4	48.7	100%	(39)
Overall	11.3	8.7	11.5	11.0	57.4	100%	(355)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5 calculations have been omitted

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF CATALOG OF SELECTED DOCUMENTS ON THE DISADVANTAGED  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> 1	2	3	4	<u>Of no Use</u> 5	<u>Item Never Used</u> 6	<u>Item Not Available</u> 7	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	28.6%	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%	7.1%	57.1%	0.0%	100\$ (14)
Bachelor's	5.9	5.9	9.3	4.2	3.4	68.6	2.5	100% (118)
Master's	11.5	12.0	12.0	9.8	2.7	47.0	4.9	100% (183)
Specialist's	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (4)*
Doctorate	10.0	7.5	17.5	12.5	4.0	40.0	7.5	100% (40)
Other	28.6	0.0	0.0	14.3	14.3	42.9	0.0	100% (7)
Overall	10.9	8.7	11.5	7.9	3.6	53.3	4.1	100% (366)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N<5, calculations have been omitted.



TABLE A4F.12

**EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF "CATALOG OF SELECTED  
DOCUMENTS ON THE DISADVANTAGED"  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX**

Age	Very Useful	2	3	4	Of No Use	Items Never Used	Items Not Available	(N)
	1				5	6	7	
25 or below	12.0%	7.6%	7.6%	1.1%	3.3%	65.2%	3.3%	100% ( 92)
26-35	10.6	7.7	12.0	9.2	4.9	53.5	2.1	100% (142)
36-45	9.4	5.9	14.1	10.6	3.5	49.4	7.1	100% ( 85)
46-55	14.3	19.0	14.3	9.5	0.0	38.1	4.8	100% ( 42)
56-65	12.5	12.5	25.0	12.5	0.0	37.5	0.0	100% ( 8)
Over 65	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----- ( 3)*
Overall	11.0	8.6	12.1	7.8	3.5	53.2	3.8	100% (372)
<b>Sex</b>								
Male	11.7	8.0	11.7	9.3	3.7	52.5	3.1	100% (162)
Female	10.0	9.5	11.0	6.5	3.5	55.0	4.5	100% (200)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4F.13

NUMBER OF TIMES SELECTED DOCUMENTS IN HIGHER EDUCATION  
WAS USED DURING 1970 BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

	<u>None</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>11-25</u>	<u>More Than 25</u>	<u>N</u>
Preschool	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Elementary	71.1%	11.1%	6.7%	4.4%	6.7%	100% (45)
Secondary	54.5	4.5	13.6	0.0	27.3	100% (22)
College or University	55.2	19.8	6.4	4.1	14.5	100% (172)
State Department of Education	60.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	20.0	100% (10)
Regional Educational Laboratory	70.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	10.0	100% (20)
Research and Develop- ment Center	72.7	9.1	0.0	0.0	18.2	100% (11)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Office of Education Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Other Federal Agency	50.0	33.3	16.7	0.0	0.0	100% (6)
Local or Regional Information Center	----	----	----	----	----	(2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Other	67.6	11.8	2.9	0.0	17.6	100% (34)
Overall	59.3	16.0	6.6	3.0	15.1	100% (332)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4B.14

TABLE A4F.14

NUMBER OF TIMES DURING 1970 SELECTED DOCUMENTS IN HIGHER EDUCATION WAS  
USED BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>11-25</u>	<u>More Than 25</u>	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	69.2%	23.1%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%	100% (13)
Bachelor's	70.2	7.7	3.8	1.0	17.3	100% (104)
Master's	53.7	16.0	9.7	2.9	17.7	100% (175)
Specialist's	60.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	100% (5)
Doctorate	61.1	25.0	0.0	5.6	8.3	100% (36)
Other	42.9	28.6	14.3	14.3	0.0	100% (7)
Overall	60.0	15.0	6.5	2.9	15.6	100% (340)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A4F.15

**NUMBER OF TIMES "SELECTED DOCUMENTS IN HIGHER EDUCATION"  
WAS USED DURING 1970 BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX**

<u>Age</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>11-25</u>	<u>More Than 25</u>	<u>(N)</u>
25 or below	65.8%	14.5%	2.6%	1.3%	15.8%	100% (76)
26-35	52.7	20.9	6.2	3.9	15.3	100% (129)
36-45	68.2	10.6	4.7	3.5	12.9	100% (85)
46-55	61.4	9.1	13.6	0.0	15.9	100% (44)
56-65	30.0	30.0	10.0	10.0	20.0	100% (10)
Over 65	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% (1)
Overall	59.7	15.9	6.1	2.9	15.4	100% (345)
<u>Sex</u>						
Male	57.0	19.6	5.1	3.2	15.2	100% (158)
Female	62.8	10.6	7.8	2.8	16.1	100% (180)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A4F.16

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF SELECTED DOCUMENTS IN HIGHER  
EDUCATION BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

<u>Primary Association</u>	Very Useful				Item Never Used		(N)
	1	2	3	4	5		
Preschool	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Elementary	6.7%	2.2%	6.7%	11.1%	73.3%	100%	(45)
Secondary	10.5	15.8	5.3	5.3	63.2	100%	(19)
College or University	10.3	11.4	6.5	10.8	61.1	100%	(185)
State Dept. of Education	0.0	0.0	22.2	22.2	55.5	100%	(9)
Regional Educa- tional Lab	0.0	16.0	8.0	12.0	64.0	100%	(25)
R & D Center	10.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	70.0	100%	(10)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Other Federal Agency	20.0	40.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	100%	(5)
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	(2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Other	0.0	3.0	15.2	3.0	78.8	100%	(33)
Overall	8.1	9.9	7.8	11.0	63.2	100%	(345)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5 calculations have been omitted

TABLE A4F.17

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF SELECTED DOCUMENTS IN HIGHER EDUCATION  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> 1	2	3	4	<u>Of no Use</u> 5	<u>Item Never Used</u> 6	<u>Item not Available</u> 7	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	21.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	71.4%	0.0%	100% (14)
Bachelor's	7.9	3.5	6.1	3.5	4.4	71.1	3.5	100% (114)
Master's	8.5	14.2	8.0	6.3	4.1	52.3	6.5	100% (176)
Specialist's	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	33.3	33.3	100% (6)
Doctorate	2.6	7.7	10.3	15.4	7.7	51.3	5.1	100% (39)
Other	0.0	16.7	16.7	0.0	16.7	33.3	16.7	100% (6)
Overall	8.2	9.3	7.3	5.9	5.4	58.3	5.6	100% (355)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A4F.18

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF SELECTEDDOCUMENTS IN HIGHER EDUCATIONBY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

Age	<u>Very Useful</u>			<u>Of No Use</u>		<u>Items Never Used</u>		<u>Items Not Available</u>	
	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>(N)</u>	
25 or Below	10.1%	7.9%	6.7%	1.1%	3.4%	67.4%	3.4%	100%	(89)
26-35	10.3	8.8	7.4	5.1	7.4	60.3	.7	100%	(136)
36-45	5.9	9.4	9.4	7.1	5.9	49.4	12.9	100%	(85)
46-55	7.1	14.3	7.1	14.3	0.0	45.2	11.9	100%	(42)
56-65	0.0	14.3	28.6	14.3	14.3	28.6	0.0	100%	(7)
Over 65	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	(2)*
Overall	8.6	9.4	8.0	6.1	5.3	57.1	5.5	100%	(361)
<u>Sex</u>									
Male	10.3	9.7	7.1	8.4	5.8	53.5	5.2	100%	(155)
Female	6.1	9.2	7.7	4.1	5.1	61.7	6.1	100%	(196)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N&lt;5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4F.19

NUMBER OF TIMES MANPOWER RESEARCH INVENTORY  
WAS USED DURING 1970  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

<u>Primary Association</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>11-25</u>	<u>More Than 25</u>	<u>N</u>
Preschool	----	----	----	----	----	---- (4)*
Elementary	79.1%	11.6%	0.0%	2.3%	7.0%	100% (43)
Secondary	56.5	17.4	0.0	0.0	26.1	100% (23)
College or University	63.5	12.9	5.9	1.2	16.5	100% (170)
State Department of Education	50.0	20.0	10.0	0.0	20.0	100% (10)
Regional Education Laboratory	73.7	15.8	0.0	0.0	10.5	100% (19)
Research and Development Center	72.7	9.1	0.0	0.0	18.2	100% (11)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	---- (1)*
Office of Education Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	---- (4)*
Other Federal Agency	60.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	100% (5)
Local or Regional Information Center	----	----	----	----	----	---- (2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	---- (1)*
Other	60.0	17.1	2.9	2.9	17.1	100% (35)
Overall	64.3	14.6	4.0	1.2	15.9	100% (328)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.



TABLE A4B.86

TABLE A4F.20

NUMBER OF TIMES DURING 1970 MANPOWER RESEARCH INVENTORY  
WAS USED BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>11-25</u>	<u>More Than 25</u>	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	83.3%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	100% (12)
Bachelor's	71.7	9.4	.9	.9	17.0	100% (106)
Master's	58.1	17.4	4.7	1.2	18.6	100% (172)
Specialist's	80.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	100% (5)
Doctorate	63.9	14.4	8.3	0.0	8.3	100% (36)
Other	71.4	14.3	0.0	0.0	14.3	100% (7)
Overall	64.5	14.5	3.6	1.2	16.3	100% (338)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A4F.21

**NUMBER OF TIMES MANPOWER RESEARCH INVENTORY  
WAS USED DURING THE PAST YEAR  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX**

<u>Age</u>	<u>Never</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>11-25</u>	<u>More Than 25</u>	<u>(N)</u>
25 or below	76.7%	8.2%	0.0%	0.0%	15.1%	100% ( 73)
26-35	63.3	14.1	2.3	1.6	18.7	100% (128)
36-45	64.4	13.8	5.7	2.3	13.8	100% ( 87)
46-55	51.1	26.7	8.9	0.0	13.3	100% ( 45)
56-65	50.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	100% ( 8)
Over 65	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----- ( 1)*
Overall	54.6	14.6	3.5	1.2	16.1	100% (342)
<u>Sex</u>						
Male	61.9	16.2	4.4	1.2	16.2	100% (160)
Female	64.6	13.1	2.8	1.1	15.9	100% (176)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire  
\* where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4F.22

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF MANPOWER RESEARCH INVENTORY  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

<u>Primary Association</u>	Very Useful				Item Never Used		
	1	2	3	4	5		(N)
Preschool	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	80.0%	100%	(5)
Elementary	4.5	9.1	4.5	6.8	75.0	100%	(44)
Secondary	5.0	10.0	15.0	0.0	70.0	100%	(20)
College or University	5.0	6.6	8.8	7.8	71.8	100%	(181)
State Dept. of Education	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	60.0	100%	(10)
Regional Educational Lab	4.0	4.0	16.0	20.0	56.0	100%	(25)
R & D Center	9.1	9.1	0.0	18.2	64.7	100%	(11)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
Other Federal Agency	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	(2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Other	2.8	8.3	13.9	11.1	63.9	100%	(36)
Overall	4.6	8.7	9.0	9.3	68.4	100%	(345)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N < 5 calculations have been omitted

TABLE A4F.23

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF MANPOWER RESEARCH INVENTORY  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> <u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>Of no Use</u> <u>5</u>	<u>Item Never Used</u> <u>6</u>	<u>Item Not Available</u> <u>7</u>	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	7.1%	7.1%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	64.3%	7.1%	100% (14)
Bachelor's	1.8	4.5	8.9	3.6	2.7	75.0	3.6	100% (112)
Master's	5.2	11.5	9.2	6.3	4.0	54.6	9.2	100% (174)
Specialist's	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	50.0	100% (6)
Doctorate	7.1	9.5	4.8	19.0	0.0	50.0	9.5	100% (42)
Other	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	57.1	14.3	100% (7)
Overall	4.5	8.7	8.5	6.5	3.1	60.6	8.2	100% (355)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A4F.24

TABLE A4F.24

NUMBER OF TIMES OE RESEARCH REPORTS  
HAVE BEEN USED DURING 1970  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

	<u>None</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>11-25</u>	<u>More Than 25</u>	<u>N</u>	
Preschool	16.7%	66.7%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	100%	(6)
Elementary	59.6	23.4	2.1	8.5	6.4	100%	(47)
Secondary	41.7	16.7	16.7	4.2	20.8	100%	(24)
State Department of Education	55.6	11.1	22.2	0.0	11.1	100%	(9)
College or University	55.2	24.4	4.7	4.7	11.0	100%	(172)
Regional Educational Laboratory	31.8	40.9	18.2	4.5	4.5	100%	(22)
Research and Develop- ment Center	54.5	27.3	0.0	0.0	18.2	100%	(11)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Office of Education Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Other Federal Agency	50.0	33.3	16.7	0.0	0.0	100%	(6)
Local or Regional Information Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	(2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Other	54.3	2.9	14.3	17.1	11.4	100%	(35)
Overall	51.8	23.2	8.2	6.2	10.6	100%	(340)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4F.25

TABLE A4F.25

NUMBER OF TIMES DURING 1970 OE RESEARCH REPORTS  
WERE USED BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>11-25</u>	<u>More Than 25</u>	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	58.3%	25.0%	0.0%	8.3%	8.3%	100% (12)
Bachelor's	67.0	14.6	1.9	3.9	12.6	100% (103)
Master's	45.9	24.6	12.0	6.6	10.9	100% (183)
Specialist's	----	----	----	----	----	---- (4)*
Doctorate	33.3	38.1	9.5	11.9	7.1	100% (46)
Other	66.7	16.7	0.0	0.0	16.7	100% (6)
Overall	37.7	22.9	8.3	6.3	10.9	100% (350)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A4F.26

**NUMBER OF TIMES OF RESEARCH REPORTS  
WAS USED DURING 1970  
BY RESPONDENT'S SEX AND AGE**

<u>Age</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>11-25</u>	<u>More than 25</u>	<u>(N)</u>
25 or below	65.8%	18.4%	0.0%	2.6%	13.2%	100% ( 76)
26-35	49.6	26.3	8.3	3.8	12.0	100% (133)
36-45	53.9	22.5	6.7	10.1	6.7	100% ( 89)
46-55	39.1	23.9	17.4	8.7	10.9	100% ( 46)
56-65	22.2	22.2	33.3	11.1	11.1	100% ( 9)
Over 65	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	----- ( 1)*
Overall	52.0	23.2	7.9	6.2	10.7	100% (354)
<u>Sex</u>						
Male	51.6	22.4	8.7	6.2	11.2	100% (161)
Female	52.7	23.1	8.1	5.9	10.2	100% (186)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4F.27

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF OE RESEARCH REPORTS  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

<u>Primary Association</u>	Very Useful				Item Never Used		
	1	2	3	4	5	(N)	
Preschool	20.0%	20.0%	40.0%	0.0%	20.0%	100%	(5)
Elementary	12.5	16.7	8.3	4.2	58.4	100%	(48)
Secondary	10.0	15.0	0.0	5.0	70.0	100%	(20)
College or University	8.9	15.1	11.2	3.9	60.8	100%	(179)
State Dept. of Education	0.0	0.0	22.2	22.2	55.5	100%	(9)
Regional Educational Lab	4.2	16.7	20.8	16.6	41.7	100%	(24)
R & D Center	20.0	20.0	0.0	10.0	50.0	100%	(10)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
Other Federal Agency	40.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	100%	(5)
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	(2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Other	16.2	5.4	10.8	8.1	59.5	100%	(37)
Overall	10.7	14.5	11.3	6.0	57.5	100%	(346)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5 calculations have been omitted



VOLUME IV - Appendix

SOME COMPARISONS OF INDEX JOURNALS IN EDUCATION



TABLE A4G.1

PATTERNS OF USE OF ABSTRACTING AND INDEXING PUBLICATIONS  
REPORTED BY CIJE SUBSCRIBERS

	<u>Read or Scan</u>	<u>Search</u>	<u>Uses Both</u>	<u>Never Used</u>	<u>Use No Pattern</u>	<u>No Response</u>	<u>(N)</u>
Child Development Abstracts and Bibliography	2.0% (4)	24.6% (50)	2.5% (5)	7.9% (16)	2.5% (5)	60.6% (123)	100% (203)
Current Index to Journals in Education	8.9 (18)	58.1 (118)	16.7 (34)	3.4 (7)	3.4 (7)	0.4 (19)	100% (203)
Dissertation Abstracts	2.0 (4)	42.9 (87)	2.0 (4)	3.9 (8)	3.0 (6)	46.3 (94)	100% (203)
Education Index	3.0 (6)	54.2 (110)	5.4 (11)	3.0 (6)	4.9 (10)	29.6 (60)	100% (203)
Education Administration Abstracts	3.9 (8)	18.2 (37)	1.5 (3)	8.9 (18)	1.5 (3)	65.5 (133)	100% (203)
Psychological Abstracts	3.4 (7)	40.4 (82)	2.5 (5)	4.9 (10)	3.4 (7)	44.8 (91)	100% (203)
Research in Education	10.3 (21)	52.2 (106)	11.8 (24)	1.5 (3)	3.9 (8)	20.2 (41)	100% (203)

Source: CIJE Questionnaire

TABLE A4G.2

PATTERNS OF USE OF ABSTRACTING AND INDEXING PUBLICATIONS  
REPORTED BY RIE SUBSCRIBERS

	<u>Read or</u> <u>Scan</u>	<u>Search</u>	<u>Uses</u> <u>Both</u>	<u>Never</u> <u>Used</u>	<u>Use No</u> <u>Pattern</u>	<u>No</u> <u>Response</u>	<u>(N)</u>
Child Development Abstracts and Bibliography	5.1% (23)	11.2% (50)	2.7% (12)	12.7% (57)	1.1% (5)	67.2% (301)	100% (448)
Current Index to Journals in Education	6.5 (29)	25.4 (114)	6.3 (28)	7.4 (33)	1.8 (8)	52.6 (236)	100% (448)
Dissertation Abstracts	3.1 (14)	30.6 (137)	3.1 (14)	6.9 (31)	2.2 (10)	54.0 (242)	100% (448)
Education Index	6.7 (30)	37.3 (167)	6.7 (30)	4.2 (19)	4.2 (19)	40.7 (183)	100% (448)
Education Administration Abstracts	2.9 (13)	9.4 (42)	1.1 (5)	14.5 (65)	1.1 (5)	71.0 (318)	100% (448)
Psychological Abstracts	3.3 (15)	28.3 (127)	1.8 (8)	6.7 (30)	2.7 (12)	57.2 (256)	100% (448)
Research in Education	22.5 (101)	37.9 (170)	24.3 (109)	1.8 (8)	6.0 (27)	7.3 (33)	100% (448)

Source: RIE Questionnaire

WAYS IN WHICH CHILD DEVELOPMENT ABSTRACTS AND BIBLIOGRAPHY  
WAS USED DURING 1970  
BY RESPONDENTS' PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

Primary Association	Search Past		Both	Never Used	N
	Read or Scan Each Issue For Current Awareness	Issues or Vols. To Locate Specific Information			
Preschool	0.0%	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	100% (5)
Elementary	17.2	24.1	0.0	58.6	100% (29)
Secondary	7.1	21.4	7.1	64.3	100% (14)
College or University	5.7	24.5	4.7	65.1	100% (102)
State Department of Education	---	---	---	---	--- (2)*
Regional Educational Laboratory	18.7	31.3	0.0	50.0	100% (16)
Research and Development Center	---	---	---	---	--- (1)*
Professional Organization	---	---	---	---	--- (0)*
Office of Education Regional Office	---	---	---	---	--- (3)*
Other Federal Agency	---	---	---	---	--- (1)*
Local or Regional Information Center	---	---	---	---	--- (1)*
Business or Industry	---	---	---	---	--- (0)*
Other	8.0	16.0	0.0	76.0	100% (25)
Overall	9.9	24.6	3.4	62.1	100% (203)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4G.4

WAYS IN WHICH EDUCATION INDEX WAS USED  
DURING 1970  
BY RESPONDENTS' PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

Primary Association	Read or Scan	Search Past	Both	Never Used	N
	Each Issue For Current Awareness	Issues or Vols. To Locate Specific Information			
Preschool	40.0%	60.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100% (5)
Elementary	21.2	59.6	9.6	9.6	100% (52)
Secondary	26.9	50.0	7.7	15.4	100% (26)
College or University	13.1	73.2	6.0	7.7	100% (168)
State Department of Education	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100% (10)
Regional Educational Laboratory	20.8	75.0	0.0	4.2	100% (24)
Research and Development Center	25.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	100% (8)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	---- (2)*
Office of Education Regional Office	----	----	----	----	---- (3)*
Other Federal Agency	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100% (5)
Local or Regional Information Center	----	----	----	----	---- (1)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	---- (0)*
Other	8.8	55.9	11.8	23.5	100% (34)
Overall	15.7	68.9	6.2	9.2	100% (338)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N &lt; 5, calculations have been omitted.

WAYS IN WHICH DISSERTATION ABSTRACTS  
WAS USED DURING 1970  
BY RESPONDENTS' PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

Primary Association	Read or Scan		Search Past		Never Used	N
	Each Issue For Current Awareness	Issues or Vols. To Locate Specific Information	Both			
Preschool	40.0%	40.0%	0.0%	20.0%	100%	(5)
Elementary	15.9	63.6	2.3	18.2	100%	(44)
Secondary	5.9	41.2	17.6	35.3	100%	(17)
College or University	7.1	65.6	7.1	20.1	100%	(154)
State Department of Education	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100%	(8)
Regional Educational Laboratory	21.7	56.5	4.3	17.4	100%	(23)
Research and Development Center	0.0	83.3	0.0	16.7	100%	(6)
Professional Organization	---	---	---	---	---	(2)*
Office of Education Regional Office	---	---	---	---	---	(2)*
Other Federal Agency	---	---	---	---	---	(2)*
Local or Regional Information Center	---	---	---	---	---	(2)*
Business or Industry	---	---	---	---	---	(0)*
Other	6.9	48.3	3.4	41.4	100%	(29)
Overall	10.2	62.2	5.8	21.8	100%	(294)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A4G.6

WAYS IN WHICH EDUCATIONAL ADMINISTRATION ABSTRACTS  
WAS USED DURING 1970  
BY RESPONDENTS' PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

Primary Association	Read or Scan Each Issue For Current Awareness		Search Past Issues or Vols. To Locate Specific Information		Both	Never Used	N
Preschool	---	---	---	---	---	---	--- (4)*
Elementary	12.0%	16.0%	0.0%	72.0%	100%	(25)	
Secondary	17.6	5.9	11.8	64.7	100%	(17)	
College or University	2.8	24.5	6.6	66.0	100%	(106)	
State Department of Education	---	---	---	---	---	---	--- (2)*
Regional Educational Laboratory	22.2	33.3	0.0	44.4	100%	(18)	
Research and Development Center	---	---	---	---	---	---	--- (4)*
Professional Organization	---	---	---	---	---	---	--- (1)*
Office of Education Regional Office	---	---	---	---	---	---	--- (2)*
Other Federal Agency	---	---	---	---	---	---	--- (1)*
Local or Regional Information Center	---	---	---	---	---	---	--- (1)*
Business or Industry	---	---	---	---	---	---	--- (0)*
Other	14.8	22.2	0.0	63.0	100%	(27)	
Overall	9.1	25.0	4.3	61.5	100%	(208)	

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

**WAYS IN WHICH PSYCHOLOGICAL ABSTRACTS  
WAS USED DURING 1970  
BY RESPONDENTS' PRIMARY ASSOCIATION**

Primary Association	Search Past			Never Used	N
	Read or Scan Each Issue For Current Awareness	Issues or Vols. To Locate Specific Information	Both		
Preschool	0.0%	60.0%	0.0%	40.0%	100% (5)
Elementary	17.1	62.9	2.9	17.1	100% (35)
Secondary	6.7	33.3	6.7	53.3	100% (15)
College or University	11.0	54.5	6.6	27.9	100% (136)
State Department of Education	---	---	---	---	(4)*
Regional Educational Laboratory	22.7	59.1	0.0	18.2	100% (22)
Research and Development Center	0.0	80.0	0.0	20.0	100% (5)
Professional Organization	---	---	---	---	(1)*
Office of Education Regional Office	---	---	---	---	(2)*
Other Federal Agency	---	---	---	---	(1)*
Local or Regional Information Center	---	---	---	---	(1)*
Business or Industry	---	---	---	---	(1)*
Other	7.7	38.5	0.0	53.8	100% (26)
Overall	11.5	33.4	4.3	30.8	100% (253)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.



TABLE A4C.8

WAYS IN WHICH DISSERTATION ABSTRACTS WAS USED DURING 1970  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Read or Scan Each Issue For Current Awareness</u>	<u>Search Past Issues or Volumes To Locate Specific Information</u>	<u>Both</u>	<u>Never Used</u>	<u>(N)</u>
High School Diploma	33.3%	16.7%	0.0%	50.0%	100% (6)
Bachelor's	3.7	51.2	1.2	43.9	100% (82)
Master's	11.5	66.2	8.3	14.0	100% (157)
Specialist's	28.6	57.1	14.3	0.0	100% (7)
Doctorate	10.3	71.8	2.6	15.4	100% (39)
Other	16.7	83.3	0.0	0.0	100% (6)
Overall	10.0	62.0	5.4	22.6	100% (297)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A4G.9

USE OF EDUCATION INDEX DURING 1970 \*  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

Degree Level	Read or Scan Each Issue For Current Awareness	Search Past Issues or volumes To Locate Specific Information	Both	Never Used	N
High School Diploma	9.1%	63.6%	9.1%	18.2%	100% (11)
Bachelor's	9.4	68.9	6.6	15.1	100% (106)
Master's	16.2	72.3	5.8	5.8	100% (173)
Specialist's	28.6	42.9	14.3	14.3	100% (7)
Doctorate	26.3	60.5	5.3	7.9	100% (38)
Other	16.7	50.0	0.0	33.3	100% (6)
Overall	15.2	68.6	6.2	10.0	100% (341)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*For similar tables referring to RIE and CIJE, see Volume IV, Tables A4D.22a and A4E.27



VOLUME IV - Appendix

PROVIDING SUMMARY AND INTERPRETIVE INFORMATION  
INFORMATION ANALYSIS PRODUCTS, 1967-70



TABLE A5A.1

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF CLEARINGHOUSE BIBLIOGRAPHIES  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

Primary Association	Very Useful 1	2	3	4	Item Never Used 5	(N)
Preschool	40.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%	100% (5)
Elementary	26.0	16.0	10.0	4.0	44.0	100% (50)
Secondary	10.0	20.0	15.0	5.0	50.0	100% (20)
College or University	27.3	12.8	9.6	5.9	44.4	100% (187)
State Dept. of Education	30.0	10.0	30.0	10.0	20.0	100% (10)
Regional Educational Lab	20.8	16.7	29.2	8.3	25.0	100% (24)
R & D Center	27.3	18.2	9.1	0.0	45.5	100% (11)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Other Federal Agency	30.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	100% (5)
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	(2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Other	16.2	13.8	10.8	5.4	54.0	100% (37)
Overall	25.3	14.8	11.4	5.3	43.2	100% (37)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire  
 \* Where N < 5 calculations have been omitted

TABLE A5A.2

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF CLEARINGHOUSE BIBLIOGRAPHIES  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> <u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>Of No Use</u> <u>5</u>	<u>Item Never Used</u> <u>6</u>	<u>Item Not Available</u> <u>7</u>	<u>(N)</u>
High School Diploma	13.3%	13.3%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%	66.7%	0.0%	100% (15)
Bachelor's	15.8	7.9	6.1	3.5	.9	63.2	2.6	100% (114)
Master's	28.9	18.9	16.7	3.3	1.1	28.3	2.8	100% (180)
Specialist's	14.3	28.6	0.0	4.3	0.0	28.6	14.3	100% (7)
Doctorate	37.0	19.6	13.0	8.7	0.0	21.7	0.0	100% (46)
Other	33.3	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	33.3	16.7	100% (6)
Overall	25.0	15.2	11.7	4.3	1.1	39.9	2.7	100% (368)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

## EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF CLEARINGHOUSE BIBLIOGRAPHIES

## BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

Age	Very Useful 1	2	3	4	Of No Use 5	Items Never Used 6	Items Not Available 7	(N)
25 or Below	15.6	8.9	6.7	4.4	2.2	62.2	0.0	100% (90)
26-35	28.5	16.0	12.5	4.2	.7	36.8	1.4	100% (144)
36-45	26.2	20.2	14.3	2.4	0.0	32.1	4.8	100% (84)
46-55	25.6	9.3	16.3	7.0	2.3	30.2	9.3	100% (43)
56-65	36.4	36.4	9.1	0.0	0.0	18.2	0.0	100% (11)
Over 65	----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	---- (2)*
Overall	24.6	15.0	11.8	4.0	1.1	40.9	2.7	100% (374)
Sex								
Male	26.1	16.4	10.9	4.2	1.8	37.6	3.0	100% (165)
Female	24.6	14.6	11.6	4.0	.5	42.2	2.5	100% (199)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire  
 \* Where n=5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A5A.4

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF CLEARINGHOUSE RESEARCH REVIEWS  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

	Very Useful 1	2	3	4	Item Never Used 5		(N)
<u>Primary Association</u>							
Preschool	50.0%	33.3%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%	100%	(6)
Elementary	20.8	16.7	16.7	4.2	41.7	100%	(48)
Secondary	21.1	5.3	26.3	0.0	47.4	100%	(19)
College or University	20.3	16.9	10.7	5.1	46.9	100%	(177)
State Dept. of Education	10.0	10.0	10.0	30.0	40.0	100%	(10)
Regional Educa- tional Lab	4.2	8.3	37.5	20.8	29.2	100%	(24)
R & D Center	22.2	22.2	11.1	0.0	44.4	100%	(9)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Other Federal Agency	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	100%	(5)
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	(2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Other	13.5	16.2	10.8	2.7	56.7	100%	(37)
Overall	19.7	15.7	14.2	5.4	44.1	100%	(345)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5 calculations have been omitted

TABLE A5A.5

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF CLEARINGHOUSE RESEARCH REVIEWS  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> 1	2	3	4	<u>Of No Use</u> 5	<u>Items Never Used</u> 6	<u>Item Not Available</u> 7	<u>(N)</u>
High School Diploma	21.4%	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%	7.1%	64.3%	0.0%	100% (14)
Bachelor's	8.0	8.0	11.6	5.4	0.0	63.4	3.6	100% (112)
Master's	24.1	19.5	19.0	3.4	.6	28.7	4.6	100% (174)
Specialist's	16.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	16.7	100% (6)
Doctorate	30.2	25.6	9.3	20.9	0.0	14.0	0.0	100% (43)
Other	33.3	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	16.7	100% (6)
Overall	19.7	16.1	14.4	5.9	.6	39.4	3.9	100% (355)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire



TABLE A5A.6

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF CLEARINGHOUSE RESEARCH REVIEWS  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

<u>Age</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> 1	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u> <u>Of No Use</u>	<u>Items Never Used</u> 6	<u>Items Not Available</u> 7	<u>(N)</u>
25 or Below	9.2	9.2	12.6	3.4	1.1	64.4	0.0	100% (87)
26-35	20.7	17.8	15.6	5.2	.7	37.8	2.2	100% (135)
36-45	23.3	16.3	16.3	7.0	0.0	29.1	8.1	100% (86)
46-55	26.8	17.1	12.2	7.3	0.0	26.8	9.8	100% (41)
56-65	25.0	50.0	12.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	100% (8)
Over 65	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
Overall	19.2	16.1	14.4	5.8	.6	40.0	3.9	100% (360)
<u>Sex</u>								
Male	23.5	15.4	13.6	6.2	1.2	35.8	4.3	100% (162)
Female	16.9	16.9	14.3	5.3	0.0	42.9	3.7	100% (189)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N<5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A5A.7

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF CLEARINGHOUSE INTERPRETATIVE  
SUMMARIES BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

<u>Primary Association</u>	Very Useful				Item Never Used		(N)
	1	2	3	4	5		
Preschool	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	100%	(6)
Elementary	2.3	14.0	11.6	9.3	62.8	100%	(43)
Secondary	21.1	10.5	15.8	0.0	52.7	100%	(19)
College or University	12.7	12.7	9.9	8.3	56.4	100%	(181)
State Dept. of Education	10.0	10.0	30.0	10.0	40.0	100%	(10)
Regional Educational Lab	4.2	8.3	33.3	20.8	33.3	100%	(24)
R & D Center	20.0	2.0	0.0	10.0	50.0	100%	(10)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Other Federal Agency	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	(2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Other	25.6	12.8	7.7	2.6	51.3	100%	(39)
Overall	14.2	13.6	11.8	8.1	52.3	100%	(346)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5 calculations have been omitted

TABLE A5A.8

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF CLEARINGHOUSE INTERPRETATIVE  
SUMMARIES BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> 1	2	3	4	<u>Of No Use</u> 5	<u>Item Never Used</u> 6	<u>Item Not Available</u> 7	<u>(N)</u>
High School Diploma	21.4%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	64.3%	0.0%	100% (14)
Bachelor's	3.5	7.1	9.7	6.2	.9	69.9	2.7	100% (113)
Master's	19.3	15.2	15.2	4.7	1.8	37.4	6.4	100% (171)
Specialist's	12.5	25.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	37.5	12.5	100% (8)
Doctorate	20.9	27.9	11.6	16.3	0.0	20.9	2.3	100% (43)
Other	16.7	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	33.3	33.3	100% (6)
Overall	14.4	13.8	12.1	6.5	1.4	46.8	5.1	100% (355)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

## EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF CLEARINGHOUSE INTERPRETATIVE

## SUMMARIES BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

Age	Very Useful	Of No Use				Items Never Used		Items Not Available	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	(N)	
25 or Below	5.7%	11.4%	3.0%	4.5%	1.1%	69.3%	0.0%	100%	(98)
26-35	13.9	11.7	15.3	8.0	2.2	46.7	2.2	100%	(137)
36-45	16.9	15.7	13.3	6.0	0.0	36.1	12.0	100%	(83)
46-55	19.5	17.1	7.3	4.9	2.4	36.6	12.2	100%	(41)
56-65	37.5	37.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	100%	(8)
Over 65	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
Overall	13.6	13.9	11.9	6.4	1.4	47.8	5.0	100%	(360)
<u>Sex</u>									
Male	19.5	12.8	9.3	6.1	2.4	42.7	6.7	100%	(164)
Female	10.2	15.0	13.4	6.4	.5	50.8	3.7	100%	(137)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N&lt;5, calculations have been omitted.



VOLUME IV -- Appendix  
OVERALL DISSEMINATION



MEANS THROUGH WHICH RESPONDENTSFIRST LEARNED ABOUT ERIC PRODUCTS AND SERVICESBY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

Degree Level	Classroom Instruction	Brochure or Flier	Professional Meeting	ERIC Clearing-house Announcement	Professional Journal Reference	ERIC Column Professional Journal	Colleagues	Cannot Recall	Other	N
High School Diploma	45.0%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	15.0%	5.0%	30.0	100% (20)
Bachelor's	58.0	1.3	2.0	1.3	3.3	1.3	14.0	.7	18.0	100%(150)
Master's	29.1	6.2	8.8	3.1	5.3	1.8	26.0	4.4	15.4	100%(227)
Specialist's	50.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	100% (10)
Doctorate	18.4	6.1	14.3	10.2	2.0	0.0	20.4	12.2	16.3	100% (49)
Other	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	57.1	0.0	0.0	100% (7)
Overall	38.4	4.1	6.7	3.2	3.9	1.5	21.8	3.9	16.4	100%(463)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A6A.2

MEANS THROUGH WHICH RESPONDENTS FIRST LEARNED  
ABOUT ERIC PRODUCTS AND SERVICES  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX  
(PERCENTAGES)

Age	Classroom Instruction	Brochure or Flier	Professional Meeting	ERIC			Colleagues	Cannot Recall	Other	(N)
				Clearing- house Announcement	Professional Journal Reference	ERIC Column Professional Journal				
25 or Below	58.6	0.0	2.7	1.8	0.0	.9	17.1	0.0	18.9	100%(111)
26-35	35.6	5.2	5.2	2.9	5.7	.6	24.7	4.0	16.1	100%(174)
36-45	33.3	2.7	5.4	6.3	6.3	3.6	26.1	4.5	11.7	100%(111)
46-55	29.5	9.8	13.1	0.0	3.3	3.3	14.8	8.2	18.0	100% (61)
56-65	8.3	8.3	41.7	8.3	0.0	0.0	16.7	8.3	8.3	100% (12)
Over 65	----	---	----	---	---	---	----	---	----	(3)*
Overall	39.0	4.0	6.6	3.2	4.0	1.7	21.6	3.8	16.1	100%(472)
<u>Sex</u>										
Male	28.5	2.9	10.0	4.3	4.3	1.4	25.6	5.8	16.9	100%(207)
Female	46.2	5.2	4.0	2.4	3.6	1.6	18.7	2.4	15.9	100%(251)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N&lt;5, calculations have been omitted.

# MEANS THROUGH WHICH RESPONDENTS FIRST LEARNED ABOUT ERIC PRODUCTS

## AND SERVICES

### BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

Age	* 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	(N)
25 or Below	58.6	0.0	2.7	1.8	0.0	.9	17.1	0.0	18.9	(111)
26-35	35.6	5.2	5.2	2.9	5.7	.6	24.7	4.0	16.1	(174)
36-45	33.3	2.7	5.4	6.3	6.3	3.6	26.1	4.5	11.7	(111)
46-55	29.5	9.8	13.1	0.0	3.3	3.3	14.8	8.2	18.0	(61)
56-65	8.3	8.3	41.7	8.3	0.0	0.0	16.7	8.3	8.3	(12)
Over 65	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	(3)*
Overall	39.0	4.0	6.6	3.2	4.0	1.7	21.6	3.8	16.1	(472)
<b>Sex</b>										
Male	28.5	2.9	10.0	4.3	4.3	1.4	25.6	5.8	16.9	(207)
Female	46.2	5.2	4.0	2.4	3.6	1.6	18.7	2.4	15.9	(251)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire.

- \*1. Classroom Instruction.
2. Brochure or Flier.
3. Professional Meeting.
4. ERIC Clearinghouse Announcement.
5. Professional Journal Reference.

6. ERIC Column Professional Journal.

7. Colleagues.

8. Cannot recall.

9. Other

\*Where N 5, calculations have been omitted.



VOLUME IV - Appendix  
USE OF PROFESSIONAL JOURNALS



TABLE A6B.1

EFFECTIVENESS OF THE ERIC PRODUCTS AND SERVICES  
IN MEETING INFORMATION NEEDS OF JOURNAL SUBSCRIBERS

<u>Highly</u> <u>Effective</u>	<u>Moderately</u> <u>Effective</u>	<u>Not Very</u> <u>Effective</u>	<u>No</u> <u>Response</u>	
20.7%	37.2%	8.7%	33.4%	100.0%
(208)	(375)	(87)	(341)	(1011)

---

Source: Professional Journal Questionnaire

TABLE A6B.2

SUCCESS OF JOURNAL COLUMN READERS  
IN FINDING SOUGHT AFTER- INFORMATION

<u>Often</u>	<u>Occasionally</u>	<u>Never</u>	<u>No Response</u>	
17.6%	27.4%	3.3%	51.2%	100.0%
(180)	(280)	(39)	(512)	(1011)

---

Source: Professional Journal Column Questionnaire.

TABLE A6B.3

SEX OF RESPONDENTS  
TO THE JOURNAL COLUMN  
QUESTIONNAIRE

	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Number</u>
Male	39.7%	401
Female	60.3%	610
	<hr/> 100.0%	<hr/> 1011

---

Source: Professional Journal Questionnaire

TABLE A6B.4

DEGREE LEVEL FREQUENCIES OF THE  
PROFESSIONAL JOURNAL SUBSCRIBERS SAMPLED

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Number</u>
Bachelor	21.1	213
Master	46.2	457
Specialist	7.8	76
Doctor	23.0	225
Other	.6	6
Hold No Degree	1.3	12
	<hr/> 100.0%	<hr/> 1011

---

Source: Professional Journal Questionnaire

TABLE A6B.5

AGE DISTRIBUTION OF PROFESSIONAL JOURNAL  
SUBSCRIBER SAMPLE

	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Number</u>
Under 25 years	10.0	101
26-35	23.5	238
36-45	25.3	286
46-55	24.6	249
56-65	12.4	126
Over 65	1.2	17
	<hr/> 100.0%	<hr/> 1011

---

Source: Professional Journal Questionnaire

TABLE A6B.6

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF PROFESSIONAL JOURNAL SUBSCRIBERS  
SAMPLED BY GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION

<u>State</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Number</u>
Alabama	.9	9	Montana	.6	6
Alaska	.2	2	Nebraska	.7	7
Arizona	1.5	13	Nevada	.3	3
Arkansas	.6	6	New Hampshire	.5	5
California	12.1	122	New Jersey	1.3	14
Colorado	1.3	14	New Mexico	.7	7
Connecticut	1.0	10	New York	5.4	55
Delaware	.1	1	N. Carolina	1.0	10
Florida	1.6	16	N. Dakota	.8	8
Georgia	1.0	10	Ohio	6.5	65
Hawaii	.3	3	Oklahoma	.8	8
Idaho	.3	3	Oregon	1.8	18
Illinois	6.3	63	Pennsylvania	3.3	33
Indiana	3.1	31	Rhode Island	.3	3
Iowa	2.1	22	S. Carolina	.6	6
Kansas	1.7	17	S. Dakota	.1	1
Kentucky	.9	9	Tennessee	1.1	12
Louisiana	.9	9	Texas	4.4	44
Maine	.3	3	Utah	1.0	10
Maryland	.8	8	Vermont	.3	3
Massachusetts	1.1	12	Virginia	1.6	16
Michigan	5.1	52	Washington	1.8	18
Minnesota	3.5	35	W. Virginia	.4	4
Mississippi	0.0	0	Wisconsin	3.9	39
Missouri	2.2	23	Wyoming	.5	5
			D. C.	.5	5
			Not Indicated	11.1	113
			TOTAL U. S.	100.0	1011

Source: Professional Journal Questionnaire

NUMBER OF ERIC COLUMNS READ OR SCANNED IN A PROFESSIONAL JOURNAL  
TO KEEP CURRENT WITH DEVELOPMENTS AND LITERATURE DURING 1970  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

<u>Age</u>	<u>None</u> <u>1</u> <u>2-3</u> <u>4-6</u> <u>7-10</u> <u>10+</u>					<u>Cannot Estimate</u>	<u>N</u>
25 or Below	70.1%	2.8%	.9%	8.4%	.9%	9.3%	100% (197)
26-35	47.7	3.4	5.2	6.3	7.5	11.5	100% (174)
36-45	46.8	.9	2.8	11.0	3.7	12.8	100% (109)
46-55	37.1	8.1	3.2	6.5	1.6	14.5	100% (62)
56-65	16.7	8.3	0.0	8.3	8.3	25.0	100% (12)
Over 65	----	----	----	----	----	----	---- (3)*
Overall	50.3	3.4	3.2	7.9	4.3	12.4	100% (467)
<u>Sex</u>							
Male	52.7	2.9	2.4	9.2	4.8	5.8	100% (207)
Female	49.0	3.6	3.6	6.9	4.0	18.6	100% (247)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.



TABLE A6B.8

NUMBER OF ERIC COLUMNS READ OR SCANNED IN A PROFESSIONAL JOURNAL  
IN 1970 TO KEEP CURRENT WITH DEVELOPMENTS AND LITERATURE IN FIELD

BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2-3</u>	<u>4-6</u>	<u>7-10</u>	<u>10+</u>	<u>Cannot Estimate</u>	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	78.9%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%	10.5%	5.3%	100% (19)
Bachelor's	71.5	4.6	2.6	3.3	.7	8.6	8.6	100% (151)
Master's	40.2	2.7	4.9	9.8	6.3	21.4	14.7	100% (224)
Specialist's	30.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	10.0	100% (10)
Doctorate	25.0	4.2	0.0	12.5	6.3	31.3	20.8	100% (48)
Other	57.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	0.0	100% (7)
Overall	50.5	3.3	3.3	7.8	4.4	18.1	12.6	100% (459)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A6B.9

EFFECTIVENESS OF ERIC PRODUCTS AND SERVICES IN MEETING NEEDS  
FOR INFORMATION BY SUBSCRIBERS TO PROFESSIONAL JOURNALS

<u>Professional Journals</u>	<u>Highly Effective</u>	<u>Moderately Effective</u>	<u>Not Very Effective</u>	<u>No Response</u>	<u>(N)</u>
Audio-Visual	18.0% (20)	43.2% (48)	9.9% (11)	26.1% (29)	100% (108)
Exceptional Children	13.1 (43)	33.6 (110)	7.6 (25)	45.7 (150)	100% (328)
Foreign Language Annals	22.0 (35)	35.2 (56)	10.1 (16)	32.7 (52)	100% (159)
Journal of Teacher Ed.	34.5 (40)	39.7 (46)	10.3 (12)	14.5 (18)	100% (116)
Reading Teacher	23.0 (69)	38.0 (114)	7.3 (22)	31.0 (95)	100% (300)
Overall	(207)	(374)	(86)	(344)	(1011)

Source: Professional Journal Questionnaire

TABLE A6B.10

HOW OFTEN MICROFICHE OR HARD COPY OF ITEMS  
ARE OBTAINED BY JOURNAL COLUMN READERS WHICH  
ARE CITED IN ERIC JOURNAL COLUMNS

<u>Often</u>	<u>Occasionally</u>	<u>Never</u>	<u>No Response</u>	
6.4%	37.3%	28.6%	27.7%	100.0%
(65)	(377)	(289)	(280)	(1011)

---

Source: Professional Journal Questionnaire

TABLE A6B.11

FREQUENCY OF REFERENCE TO RIE  
BY JOURNAL COLUMN READERS

<u>Often</u>	<u>Occasionally</u>	<u>Never</u>	<u>No Response</u>	
9.9%	34.7%	6.7%	48.7%	100.0%
(101)	(355)	(69)	(486)	(1011)

---

Source: Professional Journal Column Questionnaire.

TABLE A6B.12

EFFECTIVENESS OF ERIC JOURNAL COLUMNS IN TERMS  
OF HOW OFTEN THEY BRING IMPORTANT BUT HARD-TO-  
FIND MATERIAL TO THE ATTENTION OF JOURNAL  
COLUMN READERS

<u>Often</u>	<u>Occasionally</u>	<u>Never</u>	<u>No Response</u>	
35.0%	34.9%	2.5%	27.6%	100.0%
(354)	(353)	(25)	(279)	(1011)

---

Source: Professional Journal Questionnaire

TABLE A6B.13

HOW OFTEN MICROFICHE OR HARD COPY OF ITEMS  
ARE OBTAINED BY JOURNAL COLUMN READERS WHICH  
ARE CITED IN ERIC JOURNAL COLUMNS

<u>Often</u>	<u>Occasionally</u>	<u>Never</u>	<u>No Response</u>	
6.4%	37.3%	28.6%	27.7%	100.0%
(65)	(377)	(289)	(280)	(1011)

---

Source: Professional Journal Questionnaire

TABLE A6B.14

PREFERENCES OF JOURNAL  
COLUMN READERS AS TO THE TYPE  
OF INFORMATION TO BE INCLUDED  
IN JOURNAL COLUMNS

<u>Prefer</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Number</u>
Announcement of ERIC Documents	15.7	159
Research Reviews	43.8	443
Original or State-of-Knowledge Articles by Clearinghouse Staff	8.5	86
Multiple Answers	5.0	50
No Response	27.0	273
	<hr/>	<hr/>
	100.0	1011

---

Source: Professional Journal Questionnaire

TABLE A6B.15

DISTRIBUTION OF PROFESSIONAL JOURNAL SUBSCRIBERS  
BY INSTITUTIONAL AFFILIATION

<u>Affiliation</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Number</u>
Preschool	.6	6
Elementary School	26.4	267
Secondary School	24.9	252
College or University	33.1	335
Government Agency	4.4	44
Private Agency	2.0	20
Other	5.0	51
Not Indicated	3.6	36
	<hr/> 100.0%	<hr/> 1011

---

Source: Professional Journal



TABLE A6B.16

READERS OF ERIC COLUMNS IN PROFESSIONAL JOURNALS  
DISTRIBUTION BY OCCUPATION

<u>Occupation</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Number</u>
Administration	26.2	255
Teaching	54.6	542
Pupil Personnel Services	.7	7
Research and Development	1.6%	17
Library	3.1	31
Consulting	6.3	64
Undergraduate Student	1.9	19
Graduate Student	2.7	27
Other	1.5	15
No Response	1.4	14
	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>1011</u>

---

Source: Professional Journal Questionnaire

TABLE A6B.17

EFFECTIVENESS OF JOURNAL COLUMNS IN REDUCING  
DEPENDENCE ON OTHER MEANS TO OBTAIN CURRENT  
REFERENCES TO ERIC PUBLICATIONS & SERVICES

<u>Highly Effective</u>	<u>Moderately Effective</u>	<u>Not Very Effective</u>	<u>No Response</u>	
17.0%	44.5%	10.4%	28.1%	100.0%
(172)	(450)	(105)	(284)	(1011)

---

Sources: Professional Journal Questionnaire

TABLE A6B.18

PERCENTAGE AND NUMBER OF PROFESSIONAL JOURNAL  
SUBSCRIBERS SAMPLED WHO READ ERIC JOURNAL COLUMNS

<u>Read</u>	<u>Do Not Read</u>	<u>No Response</u>	
73.3%	26.7%	0.0%	100.0%
(741)	(270)	(0)	(1011)

---

Source: Professional Journal Questionnaire

TABLE A6B.19

HOW FREQUENTLY NEAREST LIBRARY IS USED BY JOURNAL COLUMN  
READERS TO LOCATE ITEMS CITED IN ERIC JOURNAL COLUMNS

<u>Often</u>	<u>Occasionally</u>	<u>Never</u>	<u>No Response</u>	
14.6%	36.1%	21.0%	28.3%	100%
(148)	(365)	(212)	(286)	(1011)

TABLE A6B.20

NUMBER OF ERIC COLUMNS READ OR SCANNED  
IN A PROFESSIONAL JOURNAL  
TO KEEP CURRENT WITH DEVELOPMENTS AND LITERATURE  
DURING 1970

<u>Frequency</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Number</u>
None	50.6	250
1	3.2	16
2-3	3.0	15
4-6	7.7	38
7-10	4.0	20
More than 10	17.8	88
Cannot Estimate	12.1	60
No Response	<u>1.6</u>	<u>7</u>
	100.0	494

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A6B.21

EFFECTIVENESS OF ERIC PRODUCTS AND SERVICES IN MEETING INFORMATION  
NEEDS OF JOURNAL SUBSCRIBERS

<u>Occupation</u>	<u>Highly</u> <u>Effective</u>	<u>Moderately</u> <u>Effective</u>	<u>Not Very</u> <u>Effective</u>	( <u>N</u> )
Administration	30.5%	57.1%	12.3%	100%(154)
Teaching	28.8	57.3	13.9	100%(295)
Pupil Pers. Serv.	--	--	--	-- (2) *
R & D	41.7	50.0	8.3	100% (12)
Library	23.5	70.6	5.9	100% (17)
Consulting	23.7	57.9	18.4	100% (38)
Undergraduate	33.3	66.7	0.0	100% (6)
Graduate	46.7	40.0	13.3	100% (15)
Other	66.7	33.3	0.0	100% (6)
Overall	29.9	51.1	13.0	100%(545)

Source: Professional Journal Questionnaire  
 \*Where N = 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A6B.22

USE OF RIE BY PROFESSIONAL JOURNAL SUBSCRIBERS

<u>Occupation</u>	<u>Often</u>	<u>Occasionally</u>	<u>Never</u>	<u>(N)</u>
Administration	17.7%	64.6%	17.7%	100% (113)
Teaching	14.1	72.2	13.7	100% (205)
Pupil Pers. Serv.	--	--	--	-- (1)*
R & D	30.0	50.0	20.0	100% (10)
Library	31.3	68.8	0.0	100% (16)
Consulting	33.3	53.3	13.3	100% (30)
Undergraduate	0.0	100.0	0.0	100% (6)
Graduate	28.6	71.4	0.0	100% (14)
Other	20.0	80.0	0.0	100% (5)
Overall	18.0	68.5	13.5	100% (400)

---

Source: Professional Journal Questionnaire

\*Where N = 5, calculations have been omitted.



VOLUME IV - Appendix  
NEWSLETTERS



TABLE A6C.1

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF CLEARINGHOUSE NEWSLETTERS  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

<u>Primary Association</u>	Very Useful				Item Never Used		(N)
	1	2	3	4	5		
Preschool	----	----	----	----	----	----	(0)*
Elementary	6.7%	8.9%	13.3%	6.6%	64.4%	100%	(45)
Secondary	14.3	14.3	14.3	0.0	57.2	100%	(21)
College or University	10.2	15.0	16.0	7.4	51.3	100%	(187)
State Dept. of Education	18.2	18.2	27.3	0.0	36.4	100%	(11)
Regional Educational Lab	20.8	8.3	25.0	16.7	29.2	100%	(24)
R & D Center	20.0	10.0	20.0	10.0	40.0	100%	(10)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	----	(3)*
OE Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Other Federal Agency	----	----	----	----	----	----	(4)*
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	----	----	(2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	----	(1)*
Other	21.1	13.2	10.5	2.6	52.6	100%	(38)
Overall	13.0	13.8	15.5	6.8	50.9	100%	(354)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5 calculations have been omitted

TABLE A6C.2

INDIVIDUAL USERS WHO  
READ OR SCAN AN ERIC CLEARINGHOUSE NEWSLETTER

	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Number</u>
Yes	65.2	324
No	34.8	170

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A6C.3

READ OR SCAN AN ERIC NEWSLETTER  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	(N)
High School Diploma	15.8%	84.2%	100% (19)
Bachelor's	14.2	85.8	100% (148)
Master's	43.5	56.5	100% (223)
Specialist's	30.0	70.0	100% (10)
Doctorate	65.1	44.9	100% (49)
Other	14.3	85.7	100% (7)
Overall	33.3	66.7	100% (456)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A6C.4

READ OR SCAN AN ERIC NEWSLETTER BY  
RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

<u>Age</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>(N)</u>
25 or under	18.5%	81.5%	100% (108)
26-35	36.8	63.2	100% (171)
36-45	32.1	67.9	100% (109)
46-55	39.3	60.7	100% (61)
56-65	72.7	27.3	100% (11)
Over 65	----	----	---- (3)*
<u>Sex</u>			
Male	38.2	61.8	100% (204)
Female	29.6	70.4	100% (247)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5 calculations have been omitted

TABLE A6C.5

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF CLEARINGHOUSE NEWSLETTERS  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> 1	2	3	4	<u>Of No Use</u> 5	<u>Item Never Used</u> 6	<u>Item Not Available</u> 7	<u>(N)</u>
High School Diploma	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	78.6%	0.0%	100% (14)
Bachelor's	2.6	7.7	8.5	5.1	.9	70.9	4.3	100% (117)
Master's	18.9	17.1	20.6	3.4	1.1	34.3	4.6	100% (175)
Specialist's	12.5	37.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	37.5	12.5	100% (8)
Doctorate	16.7	26.2	26.2	11.9	2.4	16.7	0.0	100% (42)
Other	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	66.7	16.7	100% (6)
Overall	12.4	14.6	15.7	5.0	1.7	46.4	4.1	100% (362)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A6C.6

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF CLEARINGHOUSE NEWSLETTERS  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX

Age	Very Useful				Of No Use	Items Never Used		Item Not Available		(N)
	1	2	3	4		5	6	7		
25 or below	3.4%	4.5%	13.5%	5.6%	2.2%	70.8%	0.0%	100%	(89)	
26-35	15.1	13.7	17.3	5.8	1.4	44.6	2.2	100%	(139)	
36-45	13.3	21.7	13.3	4.8	0.0	36.1	10.8	100%	(83)	
46-55	23.9	17.4	15.2	0.0	2.2	34.8	6.5	100%	(46)	
56-65	11.1	44.4	44.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100%	(9)	
Over 65	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	100%	(2)*	
Overall	12.8	14.4	15.8	4.6	1.6	46.7	4.1	100%	(368)	
<u>Sex</u>										
Male	16.6	16.0	12.9	4.3	3.1	43.6	3.7	100%	(163)	
Female	9.2	13.8	17.9	4.6	.5	49.2	4.6	100%	(195)	

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5 calculations have been omitted

TABLE A6C.7

RELATIVE USEFULNESS OF JOURNAL  
COLUMNS AND NEWSLETTER

<u>Most Useful</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Number</u>
Journals Columns	10.7	108
Newsletter	11.8	120
Uses Both	28.9	293
No Response	48.6	492
	<hr/>	<hr/>
	100.0	1011

---

Source: Professional Journal Questionnaire

TABLE A6C.8  
COMPARISON OF NEWSLETTERS  
TO ERIC JOURNAL COLUMNS IN TERMS OF USEFULNESS  
BY OCCUPATION \*\*

<u>Occupation</u>	<u>Journal Column Most Useful</u>	<u>Newsletter Most Useful</u>	<u>Both Useful</u>	<u>(N)</u>
Administration	23.0	27.0	50.0	100% (126)
Teaching	22.5	21.1	56.4	100% (218)
Pupil Pers. Serv.	--	--	--	-- (2)*
R & D	0.0	36.4	63.6	100% (11)
Library	6.3	25.0	68.8	100% (16)
Consulting	26.9	19.2	53.8	100% (26)
Undergraduate	--	--	--	-- (4)*
Graduate	0.0	28.6	71.4	100% (7)
Other	--	--	--	-- (3)*
Overall	22.0	23.2	54.7	100% (413)

Source: Professional Journal Questionnaire  
 \*Where N < 5, Calculations have been omitted.  
 \*\*Totals differ because rate of respondents differed.



VOLUME IV - Appendix

PROVIDING A BASE FOR A NATIONAL INFORMATION NETWORK;  
DEVELOPMENT OF THE TOTAL ERIC SYSTEM



TABLE A7A.1

NUMBER OF TIMES IMPROVEMENTS  
WERE MADE BECAUSE OF INFORMATION  
OBTAINED THROUGH ERIC SYSTEM

	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>
None	24.3	120
1-5	34.2	169
6-10	16.4	81
More than 10	7.1	35
No Estimate	8.7	43
No Answer	<u>9.3</u>	<u>46</u>
Totals	100.0	494

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A7A.2

NUMBER OF IMPROVEMENTS RESULTING FROM INFORMATION  
OBTAINED THROUGH THE ERIC SYSTEM  
BY RESPONDENT'S OCCUPATION

<u>Occupation</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>More than 10</u>	<u>No estimate</u>	<u>(N)</u>
Administration or Supervision	17.0	29.8	29.8	14.9	8.5	100% (47)
Teaching	16.0	45.7	14.9	7.4	16.0	100% (94)
Pupil Personnel Services	----	----	----	----	----	---- (4)*
Research and Development Center	20.0	37.8	24.4	11.1	6.7	100% (45)
Library or Instructional Resources	30.8	34.6	19.2	7.7	7.7	100% (52)
Consulting	21.4	28.6	28.6	7.1	14.3	100% (14)
Undergraduate	52.6	31.6	10.5	0.0	5.3	100% (19)
Graduate	37.9	35.6	12.9	6.8	6.8	100% (132)
Other	33.3	27.8	16.7	5.6	16.7	100% (18)
Overall	27.5	36.9	17.9	8.0	9.6	100% (425)
<u>Conducted Research</u>						
Yes	33.5	40.0	16.7	6.0	3.7	100% (215)
No	20.8	36.1	16.9	11.5	14.8	100% (183)
<u>Published</u>						
Yes	24.3	31.3	23.5	13.0	7.8	100% (115)
No	28.4	39.9	16.2	6.6	8.8	100% (303)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A7A.3

NUMBER OF IMPROVEMENTS RESULTING  
FROM INFORMATION OBTAINED  
THROUGH THE ERIC SYSTEM  
BY RESPONDENTS' PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

<u>Primary Association</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>More Than 10</u>	<u>No Estimate</u>	<u>N</u>
Preschool	0.0%	0.0%	42.9%	14.3%	42.9%	100% (7)
Elementary	20.7	46.6	8.6	6.9	17.2	100% (58)
Secondary	3.3	70.0	16.7	6.7	3.3	100% (30)
College or University	33.3	37.3	15.3	8.6	5.7	100% (209)
State Department of Education	0.0	23.1	38.5	15.4	23.1	100% (13)
Regional Educational Laboratory	24.0	28.0	28.0	0.0	20.0	100% (25)
Research and Development Center	30.8	38.5	15.4	7.7	7.7	100% (13)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	---- (2)*
Office of Education Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	---- (4)*
Other Federal Agency	25.0	37.5	37.5	0.0	0.0	100% (8)
Local or Regional Information Center	----	----	----	----	----	---- (2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	---- (1)*
Other	32.6	30.4	21.7	4.3	10.9	100% (46)
Overall	26.6	38.0	17.9	7.9	9.6	100% (418)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\*Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A7A.4

NUMBER OF IMPROVEMENTS RESULTING FROM INFORMATION OBTAINED THROUGH THE ERIC SYSTEM  
BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>More Than 10</u>	<u>Cannot Estimate</u>	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	31.3%	43.8%	12.5%	6.3%	6.3%	100% (16)
Bachelor's	39.3	36.3	12.6	5.2	6.7	100% (135)
Master's	19.9	38.4	21.8	8.8	11.1	100% (216)
Specialist's	25.0	62.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	100% (8)
Doctorate	26.1	23.9	21.7	17.4	10.9	100% (46)
Other	42.9	28.6	28.6	0.0	0.0	100% (70)
Overall	27.6	36.7	18.5	9.1	9.1	100% (428)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A7A.5

NUMBER OF TIMES INFORMATION  
OBTAINED THROUGH ERIC SYSTEM  
HAS PREVENTED DUPLICATION OF RESEARCH WORK

	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>
None	44.5	220
1-5	23.9	118
6-10	7.7	38
More than 10	5.7	28
No Estimate	6.5	32
No Response	<u>11.7</u>	<u>58</u>
Totals	100.0	494

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A7a,b

NUMBER OF TIMES INFORMATION OBTAINED THROUGH THE ERIC SYSTEM  
PREVENTED DUPLICATION OF RESEARCH ALREADY DONE

BY RESPONDENT'S OCCUPATION

<u>Occupation</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>More than 10</u>	<u>No estimate</u>	<u>(N)</u>
Administration or Supervision	31.3	41.7	10.4	10.4	6.2	100% (46)
Teaching	45.1	29.7	6.6	5.5	13.1	100% (91)
Pupil Personnel Services	----	----	----	----	----	---- (4)*
Research and Development Center	46.5	18.6	18.6	11.6	4.7	100% (43)
Library or Instructional Resources	60.8	17.6	13.7	5.9	2.0	100% (51)
Consulting	46.7	26.7	13.3	13.3	0.0	100% (15)
Undergraduate	62.5	25.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	100% (16)
Graduate	60.5	24.0	4.7	4.7	6.2	100% (129)
Other	35.0	40.0	5.0	5.0	15.0	100% (20)
Overall	50.8	26.6	8.9	6.7	7.0	100% (417)
<u>Conducted Research</u>						
Yes	55.9	24.2	8.5	6.6	4.7	100% (211)
No	44.8	29.0	9.3	6.6	10.4	100% (183)
<u>Published</u>						
Yes	46.5	30.7	11.4	7.9	8.4	100% (114)
No	52.8	25.1	7.7	6.0	3.5	100% (299)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A7A.7

NUMBER OF TIMES INFORMATION OBTAINED THROUGH THE ERIC SYSTEM  
PREVENTED DUPLICATION OF RESEARCH ALREADY DONE  
BY RESPONDENT'S PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

<u>Primary Association</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>More Than 10</u>	<u>No Estimate</u>	<u>(N)</u>
Pre-school	16.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	50.0	100% (6)
Elementary	43.1	36.2	1.7	3.4	15.5	100% (58)
Secondary	40.7	25.9	18.5	14.8	0.0	100% (27)
College or University	59.6	22.7	7.9	5.4	4.4	100% (203)
State Department of Education	25.0	50.0	16.7	0.0	8.3	100% (12)
Regional Educational Laboratory	44.4	22.2	14.8	3.7	14.8	100% (27)
Research and Development Center	38.5	38.5	15.4	7.7	0.0	100% (13)
Professional Organization	---	---	---	---	---	--- (3) *
Office of Education Regional Office	---	---	---	---	---	--- (4) *
Other Federal Agency	25.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	100% (8)
Local or Regional Information Center	---	---	---	---	---	--- (2) *
Business or Industry	---	---	---	---	---	--- (1) *
Other	47.8	26.1	13.0	8.7	4.3	100% (46)
Overall	49.8	26.8	9.3	6.6	7.6	100% (410)



TABLE A7A.8

NUMBER OF TIMES INFORMATION OBTAINED THROUGH THE ERIC SYSTEM  
PREVENTED DUPLICATION OF RESEARCH ALREADY DONE BY  
RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>More Than 10</u>	<u>Cannot Estimate</u>	<u>N</u>
High School Diploma	50.0%	35.7%	7.1%	7.1%	0.0%	100% (14)
Bachelor's	58.6	21.8	6.8	6.8	6.0	100% (133)
Master's	46.9	28.7	9.6	6.2	8.6	100% (209)
Specialist's	22.2	55.6	11.1	11.1	0.0	100% (9)
Doctorate	47.9	22.9	12.5	8.3	8.3	100% (48)
Other	42.9	28.6	14.3	0.0	14.3	100% (7)
Overall	50.2	26.7	9.0	6.7	7.4	100% (420)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A7A.9

**NUMBER OF TIMES INFORMATION OBTAINED THROUGH ERIC SYSTEM  
PREVENTED DUPLICATION OF RESEARCH ALREADY DONE  
BY RESPONDENT'S AGE AND SEX**

<u>Age</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>More Than 10</u>	<u>Can't Estimate</u>	<u>(N)</u>
25 or below	59.4%	5.2%	3.1%	28.1%	4.2%	100% ( 96)
26-35	48.8	6.7	10.4	26.2	7.9	100% (164)
36-45	50.0	7.0	8.0	25.0	10.0	100% (100)
46-55	40.4	7.7	11.5	32.7	7.7	100% ( 52)
56-65	45.5	9.1	36.4	9.1	0.0	100% ( 11)
Over 65	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	---- ( 3)*
Overall	50.5	6.6	8.9	26.8	7.3	100% (426)
<u>Sex</u>						
Male	45.8	8.9	10.9	31.3	3.1	100% (192)
Female	53.4	4.9	7.6	22.9	11.2	100% (223)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A7A.10

NUMBER OF TIMES INFORMATION FOUND THROUGH THE ERIC SYSTEM  
WHICH PROBABLY WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN FOUND OTHERWISE

	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>
None	9.1	(45)
1-5	32.5	(160)
6-10	26.2	(129)
More than 10	20.6	(102)
No Estimate	6.9	(34)
No Response	4.7	(23)
total	<u>100.0</u>	<u>(493)</u>

TABLE A7A.11

NUMBER OF TIMES INFORMATION FOUND THROUGH THE ERIC SYSTEM WOULD  
PROBABLY NOT HAVE BEEN FOUND OTHERWISE BY RESPONDENT'S OCCUPATION

<u>Occupation</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>More than 10</u>	<u>No Estimate</u>	<u>(N)</u>
Administration or Supervision	11.8	25.5	17.6	31.4	13.7	100% (51)
Teaching	4.2	38.5	25.0	21.9	10.4	100% (96)
Pupil Personnel Services	----	----	----	----	----	---- (4)*
Research and Development Center	8.9	24.4	28.9	33.3	4.4	100% (45)
Library or Instructional Resources	10.5	29.8	29.8	24.6	5.3	100% (57)
Consulting	0.0	40.0	53.3	6.7	0.0	100% (15)
Undergraduate	14.3	57.1	9.5	9.5	9.5	100% (21)
Graduate	14.6	34.3	31.4	14.6	5.1	100% (137)
Other	0.0	40.0	35.0	25.0	0.0	100% (20)
Overall	9.6	34.1	28.0	21.3	7.0	100% (446)
<u>Conducted Research</u>						
Yes	10.2	36.7	27.4	19.5	6.2	100% (226)
No	10.1	27.7	29.3	26.1	6.2	100% (188)
<u>Published</u>						
Yes	9.3	26.3	31.4	28.8	7.2	100% (118)
No	10.6	35.6	26.9	19.7	4.2	100% (320)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire  
If N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

TABLE A7a.1a

NUMBER OF TIMES INFORMATION FOUND THROUGH THE ERIC SYSTEM  
WOULD PROBABLY NOT HAVE BEEN FOUND OTHERWISE BY RESPONDENT'S  
PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

<u>Primary Association</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>More Than 10</u>	<u>No Estimate</u>	<u>(N)</u>
Pre-school	0.0	14.3	0.0	71.4	14.3	100% (7)
Elementary	9.8	36.1	24.6	18.0	11.5	100% (61)
Secondary	9.1	30.3	30.3	21.2	9.1	100% (33)
College or University	9.7	39.2	27.6	18.4	5.1	100% (17)
State Department of Education	0.0	35.7	28.6	26.6	7.1	100% (14)
Region 1 Educational Laboratory	7.4	22.2	25.9	37.0	7.4	100% (17)
Research and Development Center	15.4	30.8	30.8	23.1	0.0	100% (13)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	----	---- (3)*
Office of Education Regional Office	----	----	----	----	----	---- (3)*
Other Federal Agency	0.0	44.4	22.2	22.2	0.0	100% (9)
Local or Regional Information Center	----	----	----	----	----	---- (2)*
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	----	---- (1)*
Other	12.5	22.9	33.3	20.0	10.4	100% (16)
Over 11	9.4	34.2	27.4	20.2	6.4	100% (13)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* When N < 5, calculations have been omitted.

## NUMBER OF TIMES PATRONS FOUND INFORMATION THROUGH THE ERIC SYSTEM

WHICH PROBABLY WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN FOUND OTHERWISEBY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>None</u>	<u>1-5</u>	<u>6-10</u>	<u>More Than 10</u>	<u>Can't Estimate</u>	<u>(N)</u>
High School Diploma	10.5%	10.5%	10.5%	57.9%	10.5%	100% (19)
Bachelor's	14.1	15.5	21.8	40.8	7.7	100% (142)
Master's	4.5	24.9	33.0	31.7	5.9	100% (221)
Specialist's	20.0	10.0	50.0	10.0	10.0	100% (10)
Doctorate	14.3	32.7	26.5	20.4	6.1	100% (49)
Other	14.3	42.9	14.3	28.6	0.0	100% (7)
Overall	9.4	22.1	27.9	33.9	6.7	100% (443)

---

 Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A7A.14

EVALUATION OF THE USEFULNESS OF ERIC SYSTEM  
BY INDIVIDUAL USERS

	<u>%</u>	<u>N</u>
Of Considerable Value	63.7	(314)
Of Some Value	28.4	(140)
Of Doubtful Value	1.8	(9)
Of No Value	.6	(3)
No Response	<u>5.5</u>	<u>(27)</u>
Totals	100.0	(493)

---

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

TABLE A7A.15

EVALUATION OF USEFULNESS OF ERIC PRODUCTS AND SERVICES  
BY ORGANIZATIONS

<u>Products &amp; Services</u>	<u>Very Useful</u> 1	2	3	4	<u>Of No Use</u> 5	<u>Items not Available</u> 6	<u>(N)</u>
Research in Education	68.3%	16.8%	7.4%	2.5%	0.0%	4.5%	100% (202)
Accumulated Indexes for RIE	68.6	13.9	4.6	2.6	0.5	9.8	100% (194)
Current Index to Journals in Education	31.0	21.9	19.8	8.0	0.5	18.7	100% (189)
Clearinghouse:							
Newsletter	23.2	19.4	25.4	17.8	2.7	11.3	100% (185)
Interpretative Summaries	21.3	18.5	27.0	12.4	1.7	19.1	100% (178)
Research Reviews	24.0	20.2	23.5	12.6	0.5	19.1	100% (183)
Bibliographies	29.7	19.8	23.1	11.1	1.1	15.9	100% (182)
Pacesetters in Innovation	13.3	13.3	23.9	22.9	1.6	25.0	100% (188)
Catalog of Selected Documents in the Disadvantaged	9.1	13.9	28.3	19.8	1.6	27.3	100% (187)
Selected Documents in Higher Education	7.1	9.3	16.4	19.7	3.3	44.3	100% (183)
Hard Copy Documents	24.6	16.0	21.9	10.2	0.5	26.8	100% (187)
ERIC microfiche	64.1	16.9	6.6	4.1	0.0	8.2	100% (195)
Thesaurus of ERIC Descriptors	43.5	22.1	13.6	4.2	2.6	13.6	100% (191)
Manpower Research Inventory	5.4	8.2	19.1	21.2	2.2	44.0	100% (184)
OE Research Reports	10.8	19.4	18.3	16.1	1.6	33.9	100% (186)
ERIC Magnetic tape bases:							
RIE	14.8	4.4	3.8	2.2	1.1	73.5	100% (182)
CIJE	10.6	3.9	3.9	1.7	1.1	78.9	100% (180)



TABLE A7a.10

EVALUATION OF USEFULNESS OF ERIC SYSTEM AS A WHOLE  
BY RESPONDENTS' PRIMARY ASSOCIATION

<u>Primary Association</u>	<u>Of Considerable Value</u>	<u>Of Some Value</u>	<u>Of Doubtful Value</u>	<u>Of No Value</u>	<u>(N)</u>
Preschool	71.4	28.6	0.0	0.0	100% (7)
Elementary	71.9	28.1	0.0	0.0	100% (64)
Secondary	65.6	34.4	0.0	0.0	100% (32)
College or University	65.1	31.1	2.8	.9	100% (212)
State Department of Educ	64.3	35.7	0.0	0.0	100% (14)
Regional Educational Lab	78.6	21.4	0.0	0.0	100% (28)
Research and Development Center	38.5	53.8	7.7	0.0	100% (13)
Professional Organization	----	----	----	----	---- (3)*
OE Research Office	----	----	----	----	---- (3)
Other Federal Agency	77.8	22.2	0.0	0.0	100% (9)
Local or Regional Info. Center	----	----	----	----	---- (2)
Business or Industry	----	----	----	----	---- (1)
Other	68.1	25.5	4.3	2.1	100% (47)
Overall	67.4	29.9	2.1	.7	100% (435)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire

\* Where N<5, calculations have been omitted

# USEFULNESS OF THE ERIC SYSTEM AS A WHOLE

## BY RESPONDENT'S DEGREE LEVEL

<u>Degree Level</u>	<u>Of Considerable Value</u>	<u>Of Some Value</u>	<u>Of Doubtful Value</u>	<u>Of No Value</u>	<u>(N)</u>
High School Diploma	56.3%	43.8%	0.0%	0.0%	100% (16)
Bachelor's	62.1	32.9	3.6	1.4	100% (140)
Master's	71.7	28.3	0.0	0.0	100% (223)
Specialist's	60.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	100% (10)
Doctorate	64.6	27.1	6.3	2.1	100% (48)
Other	71.4	23.6	0.0	0.0	100% (7)
Overall	67.1	30.4	1.8	.7	100% (444)

Source: Individual User Questionnaire